ACADEMIA Nextgen Management Journal

DOI: 10.63056

Innovation Management in Startups vs. Established Firms

Tariq Khan [1]

[I] Faculty of Management Sciences, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan. tariqkhan@gmail.com

Received: 09-05-2025	**Revised:** 29-05-2025	**Accepted:** 13-06-2025

Correspondence: Tariq Khan (tariqkhan@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT

Innovation has become essential to the growth of current organizations, allowing them to respond flexibly to unstable marketplaces, evolving customer demands, and increased competition in a global economy. While both startups and established organizations recognize the importance of innovation, they manage it in very different ways. Startups, which are typically characterized by their agility, limited resources, and entrepreneurial nature often pursue disruptive innovations that challenge incumbents' position in an industry. Established organizations, on the other hand, leverage their resources, established processes, and brand recognition to pursue incremental, sustainable innovation. This study reviews the theoretical foundations, organizational characteristics, and strategic practices of innovation management in startups and established organizations. Through a comparative literature review supported by real cases from practice, the article explores the specific advantages of, and challenges faced, by firm type in innovation management, while also considering hybrid models for innovation management namely, corporate venture capital, and accelerators. The dialogue ends with suggestions for facilitating innovation across contexts and considerations at the end of innovation management in a world defined by digital transformation, sustainability, and global cooperation.

Keywords: innovation management, startups, established companies, disruptive innovation, corporate entrepreneurship, open innovation, dynamic capabilities

INTRODUCTION

Innovation has long been viewed as a pillar of economic development and organizational competitiveness. As Schumpeter (1942) argued in his foundational theory of “creative destruction,” innovation allows new businesses to disrupt markets and displace incumbents, leading to cycles of business renewal. In today’s dynamic global, characterized by digital transformation and shifting consumer preferences and technological disruption, innovation is not an option, but rather a necessity for survival and growth.

While both startups and incumbents engage in innovation, their approaches to innovation differ sharply—startups as smaller and resource-constrained companies tend to embrace adaptability, experimentation, and taking risks as part of their innovation process, which frequently focus on transformative ideas that may disrupt entire industries as seen in the emergence of companies like Airbnb, Uber, and SpaceX. Conversely incumbents, focus on established research and development (R&D), advantages of cost and scale, and brand equity. Therefore, their innovation tends to be sustaining, or incremental, focused on enhancement of existing products, efficiency and/or extending market ownership. Examples withinside the businesses which are worried in innovation in the mounted frameworks, and the worldwide deliver chains consist of groups like Apple, Procter and Gamble and Toyota.

The expertise at the similarities and variations among the ones innovation manage techniques are important because of some of reasons. To start with, the innovation atmosphere is turning into greater interlinked, with partnerships, project capital and accelerator schemes, main to increasingly more startups taking part with corporates. Secondly, the innovation techniques could make the distinction among a success and much less a success startups and corporates withinside the aggressive environment. Startups that fail to scale up their improvements might also additionally danger being disregarded and hooked up corporates which can be failing to innovate might also additionally danger being replaced.

Third, policymakers, investors, and bosses ought to apprehend the complementary strengths of startups and mounted corporations to construct innovation ecosystems that force sustainable growth.

The studies hole lies withinside the comparative evaluation of innovation control practices throughout those contexts. While big scholarship exists on entrepreneurship, company innovation, and R&D control, fewer research systematically assessment the practices, advantages, and demanding situations confronted through startups and mounted companies (Christensen, 1997; Chesbrough, 2003; Teece, 2007). This article objective to bridge that hole through supplying a complete exploration of ways innovation is controlled otherwise in startups as opposed to installed corporations, supported via way of means of theoretical foundations, case research, and sensible pointers.

The article is established as follows. Section 2 evaluations the theoretical foundations of innovation control, together with Schumpeterian innovation, the resource-primarily based totally view, dynamic capabilities, disruptive innovation, and open innovation idea. Section three examines the traits of innovation in startups, whilst Section four specializes in set up companies Section 5 offers an assessment of differences and trade-offs. Section 6 addresses hybrid innovation styles that connect the 2 contexts and corporate venture capital and internal incubators. Section 7 examines the challenges and barriers faced by both startups and established firms. Section 8 examines the future of innovation management considering the technological, sustainability, and globalization advances. The last section is 9 which ends with recommendations and reflections.

Through bridging the gap between theory and practice, the study can be added to the current discussion on the topic of innovation management, offering insights into the research to the innovators, managers, and policymakers who want to facilitate innovation in any kind of organization.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF INNOVATION MANAGEMENT

Innovation management is based on different theories that explain how businesses develop, maintain, and capture value through innovations. These theories provide the basis for understanding why different firms, new and established, enact and manage innovation differently and the implications of their contexts for their innovation practices. This section reviews key theories such as the innovative destruction concept, Schumpeter's view, the resource-based view (RBV), dynamic capabilities, disruptive versus sustaining innovation, and open innovation paradigm.

Schumpeter’s Theory of Creative Destruction

Joseph Schumpeter (1942) explained innovation since the mover of financial development, and introduced the concept of innovative destruction. He contended that entrepreneurial innovation upsets existing markets and enterprise trends as well as removing existing company and emerging possibilities of new entrants of the brand. Schumpeter states that startups play a crucial role in the economic cycles because they generate radical gains that redefine entire sectors.

Established companies on the other hand are typically trying to stabilize and achieve the best that they can currently instead of disrupting them. Their innovation is usually incremental oriented towards maintaining a market share. This framework assists in providing a justification as to why startups are focusing on disruptive technologies during the same period as the large-scale companies are attempting to perfect the current merchandises or processes. Tesla intruding the automobile business as compared to the legacy companies (Ford and General Motors) activities in incremental improvements to fuel performance are instances in consideration.

Resource-Based View (RBV)

According to the resource-based view (Wernerfelt, 1984; Barney, 1991), organizations are said to attain a competitive advantage by possessing valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable (VRIN) resources. When it comes to dealing with the innovation in terms of the RBV involves the use of particular assets and capabilities to provide sustainable advantage.

- **Startups** usually lack significant tangible assets but they make up for this with intangible assets such as entrepreneurial vision, flexibility, and robust networks. Often, their innovation relies on mobilizing limited assets in creative ways, as seen in lean startups (Ries, 2011).
- **Established** firms have plentiful financial and technological resources, as well as established R&D departments and distribution networks. However, lots of resources can lead to inertia and thus make it difficult to pivot to radical innovation.

The RBV concept explains why startups are good at "doing more with less," while incumbents often exploit established resources.

Dynamic Capabilities

Teece, Pisano, and Shuen (1997) built upon RBV by introducing dynamic capabilities — the ability of organizations to integrate, build, and/ or reconfigure intra and inter organizational capabilities in rapidly changing environments. Dynamic capabilities emphasize ability to sense, seize, and transform organizational resources (Teece, 2007).

- They exhibit typical dynamic capabilities based on having flat organizational structures, entrepreneurial leaders, and adaptability. Their ability to quickly pivot organizational change in response to market signals exemplifies strong sensing and seizing capabilities.
- **Established** companies, whilst frequently slower, can domesticate dynamic competencies via techniques like company entrepreneurship, ambidexterity, and strategic renewal (O'Reilly & Tushman, 2013).

As an illustration, IBM successfully adapted from a manufacturer of hardware to a leading provider of cloud computing and a carrier by leveraging dynamic capabilities in reconfiguring its business model.

Competing Innovation: Disruptive versus Sustaining

Clayton Christensen's (1997) concept of disruptive innovation implies that there are different innovation pathways. Disruptive innovation begins with the low end of markets when there is either a group of customers not being served or a new category of customers is being introduced to the market, and then it gradually works its way up the market cycle to overrun all market incumbents. Sustaining innovations improve an existing product for a mainstream market.

- **Startups** tend to be the ones championing disruptive innovation, which challenges and displaces established norms and creates entirely new markets. For example, Uber in a ridesharing model, caused a disruption globally to the taxi industry.
- **Incumbents** tend to pursue sustaining innovations, which offer refinements and enhancements to current products. Apple is still innovative, but primarily improves its established product offerings and creates new iterations of an existing product (e.g., different iPhone iterations).

This idea helps explains why enterprises are viewed as disrupted, and incumbent are defended by protecting existing market offerings, but incumbent can develop disruptive innovations by incubating new enterprises within their organizations.

Open Innovation

Henry Chesbrough (2003) opened the concept of open innovation, which highlights the porousness of organizational boundaries withinside the innovation process. Companies can now no longer rely completely on inner R&D however can now have interaction with outside partners, startups, universities, and customers.

- **Startups** gain benefit from open innovation in that they will partner with corporates for investment, mentorship, having access to marketplaces, and they are also making the most of crowdsourcing, hackathons, and open-source participation.
- **Established companies** leverage open innovation through developing company task capital arms, innovation labs, and incubators to faucet into the entrepreneurial ecosystem. For example, Unilever's Foundry software connects the agency with startups to boost up sustainable innovation.

Open innovation principle allows give an explanation for the developing interdependence among startups and incumbents in dealing with innovation.

Organizational Ambidexterity

The other powerful model is organizational ambidexterity that means referring to cappotential to stability exploration (exploring new opportunities) and exploitation (refining current abilities) (March, 1991).

- **Startups** generally excel at exploration, experimenting with new commercial enterprise fashions and technologies. However, they frequently lack the steadiness wished for exploitation.
- **Established corporations** are adept at exploitation because of set up workouts and procedures, however regularly warfare with exploration.

The concept of ambidexterity brings out the trade-off between short time period performance and long time period innovation and shows the reason why every startups company and connected corporations have extraordinary innovation difficulties.

Summary of Theoretical Foundations

Combined, the theories highlight the reason why start ups and hooked up corporation fail at innovation of technique in different ways:

- Schumpeter emphasizes disruption through new entrants.
- Resources-Base View (RBV) and dynamic abilities represent innovation strategies through resource conditions and adaptability.
- Christensen makes a distinction between disruptive as well as sustaining innovation.
- Chesbrough, through open innovation, conveys the permeability around boundaries in innovative ecosystems.
- Ambidexterity signifies a balance between exploration and exploitation, the stability that is required in meaningful ways.

These theoretical lenses are the basis to analyze the practices of the startup business and established business in the innovation in the following sections.

CHARACTERISTICS OF INNOVATION IN STARTUPS

Startups have managed to become significant drivers of innovation within organizations in the international economy as they are defined as early-stage firms that are established with the aim of coming up with scalable and repeatable business products (Blank, 2013). Startups are working in highly dynamic environments that are resource constrained and have a profound influence on the way they innovate. Their practices of innovation are often fast, test-driven and disruptive, with a great focus on making things adaptable and proving the market. This part of the review will examine the main features of startup innovation, which are deemed as agility, risk taking, resource constraints, customer-centricity, technology adoption and ecosystem dependent.

Agility and Flexibility

Agility is also one of the distinctive features of startup innovation. Startups are usually typified through the means of flattened hierarchy, limited bureaucracy, and small circles, which result in fast decision-making and execution (Rigby, Sutherland, and Noble, 2018). Such agility provides possibilities of fast turnaround actions in response to buyer feedback or changes within the environment. This agility is less unusualplace amongst hooked up corporations which can be limited through way of means of stringent procedures.

As an example, Slack Technologies, which began as an internet gaming agency, Tiny Speck, proceeded to react to additional bandwidth of its in-house chat machine and shift much nearer to a place of work verbal exchange tool. This malleability highlights the adaptation and reorientation of the pathways of innovation by the startups without considering the existence of sunk costs or inflexible structures.

Risk Taking and Entrepreneurial Orientation.

Startups are introduced in risky and uncertain conditions. Entrepreneurial orientation, including proactiveness, risk-taking, and innovativeness, are some of the features that founders and teams are likely to exhibit (Lumpkin and Dess, 1996). Startups are not similar to established firms that would tend to take radical risks due to shareholder pressure. Startups would want to experiment and go novelties, to the point of failure.

The "fail fast, learn faster" mentality, which is common in Silicon Valley and elsewhere, is an example of this mentality. For example, Airbnb started as an experiment where the founders rented out air mattresses to people who traveled to a convention. Their willingness to experiment with novelty, despite receiving skepticism, ultimately led them to disrupt the hospitality industry.

Resource Constraints and Lean Innovation

Startups commonly face big useful resource barriers in phrases of capital, personnel, and infrastructure. However, those constraints frequently gasoline creativity and lean innovation practices. The Lean Startup methodology (Ries, 2011) advocates for constructing minimal feasible merchandise (MVPs), checking out hypotheses with actual clients, and iterating fast primarily based totally on remarks.

- **Dropbox** exemplified lean innovation whilst it released with a easy explainer video showcasing its product idea earlier than constructing a completely practical platform. This method established call for without incurring excessive improvement expenses.
- **WhatsApp** grew with a small engineering team, prioritizing product capability and scalability over heavy advertising expenditures.

Thus, useful resource shortage pushes startups towards performance and frugality, allowing them to validate thoughts with minimum waste.

Customer-Centric and Market-Driven Innovation

Startups regularly depend closely on consumer enter to form innovation. Their innovation is marketplace-pushed in preference to internally pushed via way of means of R&D, as is regularly the case in installed corporations. By enticing immediately with customers, startups become aware of unmet desires and swiftly alter answers.

For instance**, Revolute,** a finch startup, constructed its achievement on addressing frustrations with conventional banking structures together with excessive forex fees. By paying attention to clients and hastily iterating, Revolute evolved merchandise like fee-unfastened worldwide transfers, attracting hundreds of thousands of customers globally.

This robust purchaser awareness guarantees that startup improvements are tightly aligned with marketplace call for, growing the probability of adoption.

Technology-Centric Innovation

Tech is at the heart of most current startups. Digital systems, cloud computing, artificial intelligence, and mobile apps lower barriers to entry and allow for scalable innovation. Startups can often profit from emerging technology much faster than incumbents, because they are not encumbered by legacy systems.

- **Uber** leveraged GPS generation, smartphones, and virtual bills to revolutionize city transportation.
- **Zoom** capitalized on improvements in cloud-primarily based totally video generation to offer a advanced verbal exchange platform, specifically throughout the COVID-19 pandemic.

By embracing modern technology, startups can introduce disruptive answers at velocity and scale.

Ecosystem Interdependence and Collaboration

Startups seldom, if ever, innovate in a vacuum. Instead, startups innovate in innovation ecosystems comprising of project capitalists, accelerators, universities, and corporate partners (Autio & Thomas, 2014). These innovation ecosystems provide a path to resources, mentorship, and market opportunities to compensate for resource deficiencies.

- **Y Combinator**, among the world's premier startup accelerators, has played an integral role in supporting startups such as Airbnb, Dropbox, and Stripe by providing seed funding and mentorship.
- **Partnering** with startups to co-innovate, such as Unilever Foundry, illustrates the interdependence principle in ecosystems whereby the startup benefits from resources available while the incumbent benefits from the innovation.

This collaborative orientation provides a stark contrast to existing incumbent companies, which often engage in innovation internally.

Speed and Time-to-Market

Startups have a strong emphasis on getting to market quickly, realizing that speed is often a critical component of achieving competitive advantage. Their innovation cycles are shorter because they are constantly in a repeatable cycle of prototyping and iterative testing.

For example, TikTok's parent company, ByteDance, was able to rapidly grow its platform by exploring algorithms and localized content strategies in different markets. This speed contributed to TikTok's ability to surpass existing competitors such as Facebook in global downloads in just a few years.

Culture of Experimentation and Learning

A culture of valuing experimentation is embedded in startup innovation. Mistakes are viewed as learning opportunities rather than failures, creating a sense of psychological safety, which enables creativity (Edmondson, 1999).

- **Spotify applies a squad-primarily based** totally version in which small, cross-practical groups test with functions and collect comments earlier than full-scale rollouts.
- **Many startups rent A/B** checking out on virtual systems to test with person interface designs, pricing models, and provider functions.

Such cultures sell non-stop mastering and iterative improvement, maintaining innovation momentum.

Global Orientation from Inception

- **Virtual-first startups** and other startups tend to have a global perspective in their very foundations, building services and products with the ability to grow around the world. Unlike an existing business, which usually expands internationally only when it already achieved success in the domestic markets, start-ups treat international customers with a great deal of consideration much earlier.

- **Spotify and Revolute** illustrate this practice through utilizing digital platforms to expand in one or more markets at the same time exhibiting a born global attitude (Knight and Cavusgil, 2004).

Summary of Characteristics

The innovation practices of startups are fashioned via way of means of their:

- Agility and flexibility, allowing pivots and responsiveness.
- Risk-taking orientation, fostering disruptive thoughts.
- Resource constraints, using lean innovation.

- Customer-centric approaches, making sure marketplace alignment.
- Technology-pushed techniques, leveraging rising gear.
- Ecosystem interdependence, improving get right of entry to to assets.
- Speed to marketplace and way of life of experimentation, maintaining competitiveness.
- Global outlook, positioning startups for global scalability.

All these properties are united to introduce a reason why startups have now emerged as the motif engines of disruptive innovation with within the contemporary economy, yet they have also introduced them to other pitfalls inclusive of too high failure rates and trouble in scaling, and is further described later.

CHARACTERISTICS OF INNOVATION IN ESTABLISHED FIRMS

Established organizations or incumbents will be in a stable environment that is characterized by legacy systems, established revenue streams and organizational hierarchies. Their approach to innovation can be fundamentally different compared to startups due to larger are not characterized by scale, an abundance of resources, institutional routines, and risk aversion. While they lack the agility or disruptive energy of a startup, established organizations have strengths that help to perpetuate innovation over longer periods of time.

This segment explores the defining capabilities of innovation in hooked up corporations, consisting of useful resource strength, based R&D, incrementalism, paperwork, economies of scale, company innovation mechanisms, and worldwide reach.

Resource Abundance and Financial Strength

The accessibility to large economic, human, and technological resources is one of the greatest advantages of hooked up corporations in dealing with innovation. In contrast to startups being held back through a combination of capital constraints, incumbents are able to finance large-scale research projects, maintain teams of experts, and experiment with long-term projects.

For example, Alphabet's Google X (which is currently X Development) has made significant investments into "moonshot" projects, like self-driving vehicles (Waymo), or Project Loon (Internet Balloon). These "moonshot" endeavors take large amounts of capital and a long-term commitment of time; startups cannot typically afford to take on these projects. Economic protection allows established corporations to hedge their risks in a number of innovation avenues.

Structured Research and Development (R&D)

Established companies typically have formal R&D departments, in response to their systematic approach toward innovation. R&D is intended to promote knowledge creation, technological advancement, and product development in controlled conditions (Nelson & Winter, 1982).

- **Pharmaceutical corporations like Pfizer and Novartis** make investments billions yearly in R&D to find out and expand new drugs.
- **Toyota** applies based R&D now no longer simplest in car technology however additionally in hydrogen gasoline mobileular and hybrid automobile improvements.

This existing model differs from startups' lean experimentation, and allows incumbents to pursue both incremental improvements and long-term breakthroughs.

Focus on Incremental Innovation

While startups often prioritize disruptive innovation, established firms often tend to focus on incremental innovation - gradual improvements to existing products, services, and processes. This approach reduces risk while increasing customer satisfaction and maintaining market share (Christensen, 1997).

- **Apple** exemplifies incremental innovation via iterative improvements of its iPhone series, specializing in digital digicam upgrades, layout refinements, and overall performance enhancements.
- **Coca-Cola** frequently introduces new flavors and packaging improvements in preference to reinventing its center product.

Incrementalism matches well with the stability and predictability that owners and markets expect from incumbents.

Bureaucracy and Organizational Inertia

Large firms face issues related to forms, hierarchies, and rigid processes, often in the way of innovation. Layers of approval, risk aversion, and internal politics build inertia, making it challenging for incumbents to adapt quickly (Hannan & Freeman, 1984). For example, Kodak failed to monetize digital photography despite inventing the first digital camera, almost entirely as a result of its bureaucratic reluctance to disrupt its profitable film business. Similarly, Nokia's waning position in the phone market reflected its incapacity to adapt quickly to changing consumer demands. These examples illustrate how forms may inhibit timely innovation.

Economies of Scale and Efficiency

Large companies benefit from economies of scale as they have the ability to innovate successfully once they have identified a successful concept. Their huge manufacturing capacity, worldwide deliver chains, and distribution networks permit speedy commercialization and mass adoption of improvements.

For example, while Samsung invests in new show technology, its large production infrastructure guarantees short scaling throughout worldwide markets. Similarly, Microsoft leverages its worldwide distribution channels to roll out improvements like cloud offerings (Azure) to hundreds of thousands of organizations worldwide.

Economies of scale therefore allow incumbents to extend the effect of innovation.

Corporate Innovation Mechanisms

To deal with the bureaucratic challenges, many incumbents have adopted inner mechanisms to foster entrepreneurial conduct and agility. These include:

- **Innovation Labs:** Spaces devoted to experimentation and radical innovation **(e.g., AT&T Foundry and L'Oréal's Innovation Lab).**
- **Corporate Venture Capital (CVC):** Investment in startups to get right of entry to outside innovation **(e.g., Intel Capital, one of the world's biggest CVCs).**
- **Intrapreneurship Programs:** Encouraging personnel to behave like marketers with inside the company **(e.g., 3M's 15% rule, which gave upward push to Post-It Notes).**

Such mechanisms mixture the strengths of incumbents with startup-like agility.

Strong Brand Equity and Customer Base

Established companies have a strong brand reputation and loyal customers, which provides foundations for the adoption of innovation. Their brand equity decreases customer hesitation with respect to new service and product offerings giving them a competitive advantage over new companies.

For instance, when Nike introduced their self-lacing shoes (HyperAdapt 1.0), consumers relied on this innovation due to the established reputation of Nike. Likewise, due to its global brand recognition, Amazon has been able to introduce new offerings, such as Alexa and Amazon Go, rather easily.

Having a strong product presence allows incumbents to scale innovations more easily.

Global Reach and Market Power

Large companies often operate across various countries, which helps them implement innovative ideas across geographic markets. Their international context provides access to diverse talent, resources, and customer intelligence, thus enhancing their ability to innovate.

- **IBM's Watson AI platform** become scaled throughout healthcare, finance, and training worldwide.
- **Unilever** integrates nearby purchaser insights from rising markets to tailor improvements, inclusive of single-use sachets for growing economies.

The global focus adds to incumbents' ability to innovate competitively and inclusively.

Risk Aversion & Shareholder Demands

And possibly the most relevant attribute of incumbent innovation is the chance aversion that is formed through the shareholder stability and profitability wishes. Although new ventures can catastrophe and commit themselves to experimentation as an innovative process, failure in an old company can cause loss of reputation and financial expenses. The naming of one of the references is the Microsoft Zune that failed against the Apple iPod and ended up losing a significant amount of money. The presence of such disasters tends to strengthen incumbents in the process of innovating towards incrementalism, as opposed to radical innovation.

Summary of Characteristics

Innovations in established companies are characterized by:

- Resource abundance allowing long-time period tasks.
- Structured R&D making sure systematic know-how creation.
- Incremental innovation cognizance aligned with marketplace balance.
- Bureaucracy and inertia slowing radical innovation.
- Economies of scale facilitating speedy commercialization.
- Corporate innovation mechanisms designed to counteract rigidity.
- Brand fairness and worldwide presence, assisting adoption and scalability.
- Risk aversion, shaping conservative innovation approaches.

The combination of the ones tendencies reveals the weaknesses and strengths of incumbents when it comes to addressing innovation. Although they can do incremental and large-scale innovation, their structures tend to constrain disruptive ability - which is becoming increasingly bridged by way of method of startups..

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF INNOVATION IN STARTUPS VS. ESTABLISHED FIRMS

There are giant variations withinside the manner innovation manage differs among startups and set up corporations due to their particular structural, cultural and tremendous beneficial aid environments. Startups, of their turn, are reassets of disruptive and nimble innovation, while set up agencies offer the fulfillment of incremental, massive, and lengthy-time period innovation. A comparative assessment will factor out all complementarities and contrasts, so one can help us in taking pictures the motive why the only forms of businesses have interaction in indoors greater significant innovation ecosystems all of the time.

Strategic Orientation

- **Startups** use exploration-orientated strategies, which might be specialised withinside the discovery of latest opportunities, checking out company models, and disrupting industries. Innovation plans of theirs are frequently centered on survival and fast increase in place of at the sustainability of the lengthy time period.

- **Established corporations** are primarily based totally on exploitation-pushed practices, enhancing the products, tactics, and markets of the contemporary to stay competitive. Their innovation is extra correlated with the protecting marketplace share, shareholder rate and operational overall performance.

This distinction introduces the idea of exploration vs. exploitation through March (1991), and is the reason the tendency of startups toward radical innovation concurrently with the sensibility of incumbent approximately incrementalism.

Availability and Utilization of assets.

- **Startups** function below harsh useful resource constraints and this promotes lean experimentation, creativity and frugality. They employ MVPs, purchaser validation and partnership to maximise restricted capital.

- **Existing corporations** own wealthy monetary, technological, and human capital, and that they permit them to visit capital-extensive enhancements, including pharmaceutical studies or aerospace engineering.

Whereas begin ups innovate out of necessity, incumbents innovate out of capacity. Nevertheless, the abundance of beneficial sources can similarly encourage waste and inertia many of the current gamers and beneficial aid shortage also can be beneficial, introducing startups to the overall performance trajectory.

Speed and Agility of innovation.

- **Startups** also are greater agile, have shorter innovation lifecycle, pivot faster, and make selections faster. Their horizontal hierarchies permit activate reactions to the remarks of purchasers.

- **Older corporations**, that have been obstructed with the aid of using fashion of workplace paintings and threat-averse cultures, frequently have slower innovation strategies. Response time is decreased via way of means of choice layers and antique structures.

As an illustration, Zoom (startup) at some degree all at once scaled on the equal time, for the duration of the COVID-19 pandemic, as installation gamers which includes Cisco Webex scaled greater slowly, in spite of having extra reassets.

Risk Appetite and Tolerance of Failure.

- **Startups** encompass chance withinside the entrepreneurial method that is usually controlled via way of means of the philosophy of the fail speedy, research faster. Failure is controlled as a gaining knowledge of opportunity.

- **Established groups** are risk-averse because of the expectancy of shareholders and reputation. The monetary loss and brand damages may be resulting from failures, number one to careful innovation practices.

That is why radical innovation is regularly pioneered with the aid of using the startups, and others outline more secure and incremental projects.

Customer Orientation

- **Startups** are specifically customer-orientated, and that they have a tendency to co-create answers with their customers and alternate services at any given time primarily based totally on real-time marketplace comments entirely, that's totally on a complete basis. Their improvements may be pre-disposed closer to reason unmet or area of interest wants.

- **Establishes** also are recognized to price clients greater however commonly depend upon predetermined marketplace studies, emblem reputation, and marginal upgrades. They have big consumer base because of this that they do now no longer must make radical modifications, 11 11 aleven though it additionally manner that it might blind them to the rising desires.

As an example, Airbnb recognized the gaps withinside the hospitality marketplace as some thing that within the hospitality marketplace, which includes Hilton, had ignored. On the opposite hand, Apple exploits its mounted base of capital clients to introduce minor but high-worthwhile product upgrades.

Type of innovation: Disruptive and Sustaining.

- **Startups** have better possibilities of creating disruptive enhancements that set up new markets or crowdsourcing current markets (Christensen, 1997). They are the instance of Uber, a ride-hailing service, and Spotify, a music-streaming service.

- **Established businesses** are worried with maintaining the adjustments which can upload cost to present offerings to fit the current customers. These encompass the hybrid vehicle upgrades via way of means of Toyota and the product diversification of Coca-Cola.

This destroy is characterised with the aid of using variations in survival needs: new enterprise ventures must differentiate tons to survive, concurrently with mounted companies which ought to protect and construct on modern-day marketplace region share.

Organization Structure and Culture.

- **Startups** have common flat, bendy agencies that inspire cooperation, innovativeness, and experimenting. Their cultures sell entrepreneurship, flexibility and speedy learning.

- **Established agencies** are typified through way of technique of hierarchical groups in addition to formalized strategies and standardised routines. Although those make contributions to the overall overall performance and reliability, it'd rescue you dramatic innovation.

Nevertheless, intrapreneurship applications and innovation labs are strategies of imitating startup cultures practiced through numerous incumbents.

Technology Adoption

- **Early adopters** of developing technology are startups, who use them as scalable and virtual-first answers. They are relieved of the manner of technique of legacy structures and can be capable of combine with new tool in a unbroken fashion.

- **-The older organizations** will be predisposed to enjoy technological inertia due to sunk fee in older infrastructure. They ought to but set up new era at scale as rapid because it comes.

Indicatively, improvements which include fintech startups (including Revolut) to start with had visible no want to construct blockchain or mobileular banking, however later, on identical measure, set up banks discovered it easy to introduce virtual answers amid in advance resistance.

Ecosystem Dependence

- **The startups** depend closely on outside ecosystems in collaboration with the undertaking capital, accelerators, incubators, and employer partnerships. Networks and collaborations thrive their innovation (Autio and Thomas, 2014).

- **Established corporations** manage ecosystems, regularly with the aid of using gambling the position of anchor institutions. Their use of partnerships is selective as a way to increase in-house innovation, as is obvious with agency mission capital investments.

This connection bureaucracy symbiotic relationships wherein startups provide agility and creativity, and agnostics provide reassets and marketplace access.

Scaling and Commercialization.

- There is disturbing circumstance in scaling of startups due to the fact the assets, infrastructure, and logo reputation are less. Most of them do now no longer be successful on the valley of loss of life level of product validation and commercialization.

- The hooked up corporations are suitable at scaling upgrades because of worldwide supply chains, brand equity, and financial balance. They may come to be spreading an innovation broadly and at a stunning charge one they've undertaken.

A case in factor, Tesla changed into now no longer capable of scale generating each with its groundbreaking technology, and simultaneously as hooked-up car manufacturers which include Volkswagen ought to all of sudden begin making electric powered powered motors in bulk as quickly as they have been dedicated.

Comparative Summary

Dimension	Startups	Established Firms

Strategy	Exploration, disruption	Exploitation, sustainability
Resources	Scarce, frugal, network-dependent	Abundant, formalized, internally focused
Speed & Agility	Fast, adaptive, iterative	Slow, bureaucratic, deliberate
Risk Orientation	High danger tolerance, experimental	Risk-averse, careful
Customer Approach	Co-creation, unmet desires awareness	Market studies, incremental enhancements
Innovation Type	Disruptive	Sustaining/incremental
Structure & Culture	Flat, entrepreneurial, experimental	Hierarchical, process-pushed
Technology Adoption	Early, bendy	Delayed, scalable
Ecosystem Role	Dependent on networks	Ecosystem anchors
Scaling Capacity	Limited, fragile	Strong, international

Complementarities and Convergence

Even aleven though there are excessive swings in startups and incumbents, their innovation strategies are more and more more converging:

- **New groups** are searching for balance and scaling, regularly adopting mature institution practices as they grow (e.g. organizational procedures at Uber and Airbnb seeing that IPOs).
- **Existing businesses** have turn out to be extra startup-like, together with agile challenge management, lean experimentation, and open innovation (e.g. business enterprise accelerators and intrapreneurship applications).

Such complementarities cause collaboration: startups provide disruptive thoughts on precisely the equal time because the incumbents provide reassets and commercialization strength. The one innovation strategies which can be broadly used consist of joint ventures, acquisitions, and partnerships as region strategies.

Synthesis

Comparative evaluation notorious exhibits a paradox that startups motive radical alternate however fail to scale, while while set up groups hold markets, they're not able to disrupt. Each of them ends in the flourishing of innovation ecosystems. At the equal time because the position of incumbent as innovation exploiters, are the position of startups as innovation explorers. They each create a balanced innovation area this is vital to each technological and economic development.

ENTREPRENEURIAL ECOSYSTEMS AND CO-OPERATION AMONG NEW AND ANTIQUE FIRMS

Innovation Ecosystems Concept

Innovation is now not now an remoted coming however alternatively part of large systems which are tied to greater than simply one actors, establishments and resources. The innovation ecosystems idea is the ones agencies of interdependent networks and stakeholders, in mixture with startups, hooked up groups, universities, authority corporations, buyers, and customers, are together emphasizing technological improvements and company improvements (Adner, 2017). The gain to those ecosystems, which might be identified, is the available aid of the usage of shared objectives, useful useful resource trades, and joint learning, and allows organizations to make the most outside understanding and skills.

In numerous innovation contexts, begin ups are continually disruptive innovators, introducing agility, risk-taking, and new solutions, simultaneously linked groupings provide resources, marketplace access, and scalability. The mixture of them builds synergies useful to the complete surroundings.

Collaboration Drivers: Startups and Established Firm

The partnership among new and vintage businesses is turning into increasingly standard as one of the techniques that should be used to benefit aggressive gain in risky and technologically orientated markets. The primary drivers of collaboration consist of some.:

- **Complementary Capabilities:** Startups make a contribution agility, creativity, and area of interest technology, at the same time as mounted corporations provide economic strength, infrastructure, and installed patron bases (Weiblen & Chesbrough, 2015).
- **Access to Markets:** Startups advantage from installed corporations' international distribution networks and reputational capital.
- **Access to Innovation:** Established companies leverage startups' disruptive improvements to conquer bureaucratic inertia and keep competitiveness.
- **Risk Sharing:** Collaborations unfold dangers related to research, development, and commercialization.
- **Learning Opportunities:** Mutual expertise sharing complements each exploratory and exploitative innovation activities.

Models of Collaboration

There are a few collaborative models inside innovation ecosystems, every having particular possibilities and difficulties:

- **Corporate Venturing**: Companies which have been in enterprise for a protracted duration put money into startups via mission capital or direct acquisition to benefit get admission to to rising gains.

- **Accelerators and Incubators:** Incubation or acceleration programs are mounted with the aid of using corporates to foster startups concurrently putting them inside their surroundings of innovation.

- **Strategic Alliances:** Long-time period length innovation collaboration is the collaboration of innovation made via joint ventures, partnerships, or licensing agreements.

- **Open Innovation Platforms:** Digital systems allow first rate and beneficial change of resources, co-advent and innovation competitions connecting startups and groups worldwide (Chesbrough, 2020).

- **Supplier- Buyer Relationships:** Startups may additionally offer proximity to interest generation, or offerings to huge groups, slowly turning into a part of their innovation pipeline.

Advantages of Cooperation to Startups.

In the case of startups, cooperation with installation groups offers:

- **Legitimacy, Reputation:** The reference to a identified organisation complements the credibility a few of the traders and consumers.
- **Access to Resources:** Financial resources, manufacturing vegetation and marketplace intelligence end up to be had.
- **Scalability**: Scalability is to be had on installed corporations via distribution channels and infrastructure.
- **Learning and Mentorship:** Startups provide commercial enterprise business enterprise acumen and operational talents with the aid of using expert managers.

Advantages of Collaboration withinside the case of the installed Firms.

In the case of installation agencies, collaboration with startups provides:

- **Quick and Nimble:** Startups offer disruptive improvements that jump-begin innovation strategies that don't pass anywhere.
- **Emerging Technologies Accessibility:** Corporates stay beforehand of technological traits via way of means of manner of way of gaining access to startup enhancements.
- **Cultural Renewal:** Being uncovered to entrepreneurial attitudes cultivates innovativeness and versatility inside indoors bureaucratic firms.
- **Less R&D Spending:** When the usage of startups, the cost and the dangers of inner innovation applications reduce.

Difficulties with Cooperation.

Along with the capability benefits, cooperation among the startups and set up businesses is fraught with difficulties:

- **Cultural Differences:** Startups entail threat and expeditiousness, concomitant to company charge framework, chain of command, and regularity.
- **Bureaucratic Red Tapes:** The incapability to quick make choices in big corporations is a consistent supply of frustrations to startups in want of nimbleness.
- **Intellectual Property (IP) Issues:** The questions on the possession of co-developed generation may additionally come up.
- **Power Imbalances:** Established organizations also can take over the negotiation procedure prescribing the independence of startups.

- **Short-time period vs. Long-time period orientation Orientation**: Startups also can popularity on speedy growth, while corporates are specializing in sustainable, long-time period length returns

Case Examples of Startup-Corporate Collaboration

- **Google and Android:** Google's acquisition of Android in 2005 exemplifies how corporates can scale disruptive era superior thru startups.
- **BMW Startup Garage:** BMW engages startups to mix innovative mobility solutions into its environment, reaping benefits every parties.
- **Unilever Foundry:** Unilever collaborates with startups in sustainability and consumer gadgets to co-increase inexperienced solutions.

Strategic Importance of Ecosystems

Innovation ecosystems are an increasingly critical feature in a digital transformation and global competition generation. The cooperation between startups and set up companies today not first-class increases organization-diploma competitiveness, but it also improves the power of national innovation systems and leads to the improvement of the global technological level. The policymakers and environment orchestrators have a significant role in ensuring such collaborations by providing supportive regulations, funds as well as infrastructure (Autio & Thomas, 2014).

DIFFICULTIES AND PROBLEMS OF THE INNOVATION MANAGEMENT IN START-UPS AND ESTABLISHED

Introduction

Though innovation is crucial to all startups and established organizations, the innovation management process is also accompanied by terrific traumatic circumstances that depend on organizational setting, assets, and market positions. Start-ups have problems inside the main that are linked to positive aid scarcity, legitimacy, and access to markets, simultaneously set up agencies are faced with challenges emerging within the bureaucratic system, inertia and resistance to disruptive extrade (Teece, 2010). It is important to identify the ones concerning conditions as a key to understanding how to formulate the effective innovation strategies that will suit the context of an organization.

Challenges in Startups

Resource Constraints

- The startups are usually those that are typified by limited economic capital, human resources, and infrastructure so that the innovation commitment cannot be based in the long-term (Criscuolo et al., 2012).

- Absence of get proper of access to to advanced R&D facilities inhibits technological experimentation.

High Uncertainty and Risk

- Modern products are not recognized in the market and startups tend to incur excessive costs of failures because of untested models of corporations (Blank, 2013).

- Growth risk will be vulnerability to swift changes in client name for or competitor upgrades.

Legitimacy and Credibility

- The startups that conflict to be true with and the investors, customers, and partners because of their newness and untrack record (Aldrich and Fiol, 1994).
- This lack of legitimacy interferes with fundraising and penetrating the market.

Talent Retention and Acquisition.

- Financial reassets are limited; hence, startups are finding it difficult to compete with large organizations to attract and retain expert workers.

Scaling Innovation

- Although startups may be efficient in the innovation process, to scale products and services to large markets, may involve collaborating with installation groups or out of doors investors.

Problems in the Existing firms.

Organizational Inertia

- The structures of bureaucracy and hierarchical decision making gradually slows down innovation processes (Hannan and Freeman, 1984).
- Experimentation is impeded by resistance to extrade experienced among employees who are conscious of installation workout routines.

Risk Aversion

- The old organisations tend to focus more on stability and predictable returns as opposed to immoderate-risk, immoderate-reward innovation commitments (March, 1991).
- The managers can also take interest in the incremental as opposed to radical innovation as a way of not losing any finances or reputation.

Innovation-Exploitation Dilemma

- The challenges of balancing between the exploration of new opportunities and exploitation of contemporary talents is remarkable (O'Reilly and Tushman, 2013).
- Radical innovation initiatives can be crowded out by the excessive awareness on the operations of the center business enterprises.

Soloed Structures

- Strict departmentalization hinders teamwork and information exchange during the units and curtails the opportunities of innovation.

Cannibalization Fear

- The companies can also go further to resist discontinuous innovations that jeopardize modern products or revenue streams (Christensen, 1997).

Generally Speaking, the Barriers to Both Startups and Established Firms.

In spite of the differences, all styles of agencies confront such common conditions in the area of fines:

- **Quick Technological Adaptation:** The two desire to keep up with the everchanging digital, artificial intelligence, and biotechnological trends.

- **Intellectual Property (IP) Protection:** Achieving IP protection and avoiding copying is a reality amongst startups that have invented a new mind, and corporates with extensive portfolios.

- **Global Competition:** Both startups and hooked up agencies are seeking to compete in more and more globalized markets, going through pressure exerted by international competitors.

- **Cultural Obstacles to Innovation:** It is hard to create a culture of living that embraces creativity, experimentation and tolerance to failure in any setting.

Overcoming Challenges: Strategies and Approaches

For Startups:

- Building alliances with corporates for beneficial useful resource get access to.
- Leveraging open innovation and crowdfunding structures for financing.
- Adopting lean startup methodologies to manipulate risks correctly (Ries, 2011).

For Established Firms:

- Developing ambidextrous organizational structures that help every exploitation and exploration.
- Establishing corporation task arms and partnerships with startups.
- Implementing cultural change packages to encourage creativity and reduce resistance to innovation.

For Both:

- Developing cooperative ecosystems with universities, studies institutions, and the agencies of authority.

- Incorporating innovation as a center strategic imperative through way of means of leadership.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

The manipulate of innovation is a very important factor in the survival and competitiveness of organizations in the contemporary global economy that is dynamic. The paper brings forward phenomenal yet complementary innovations between startups and set up firms in the innovation management capabilities. Startups are agile, innovative, and disruptive but do not align with useful resource scarcity, legitimacy, and conditions of worry of scale. The set up groups on the other hand come with sufficient resources, established process and established markets but none of these come without barriers to innovation that include organizational inertia, risk aversion as well as the innovator dilemma.

The comparative evaluation shows that the process of innovation is not universal. Startups are in a better position to check faster, pivot and jump ahead solutions, simultaneously, as established businesses are in a better position to scale innovations, retain their incremental improvements and use the gift infrastructure. They jointly constitute significant aspects of larger innovation systems, where collaborations allow each other to increase and generate charges.

Those conditions of stress discussed, such as the lack of resources in startups to bureaucracy entrenchment integrates, highlight the necessity of adaptive strategies depending on situations in organizations. Notably, all types of corporations were confronted with global forces, which together with technological upheaval, cultural impediments to innovation, and increasing global competition.

With industry dynamics changing due to the influence of digital transformation, sustainability needs, and globalization, openness, collaborative, and ambidexterity may be necessitated by the effective innovation manipulate agencies. Orchestrators of the surroundings as well as policymakers also have a crucial role in offering regulatory, financial, and institutional support to enable innovation at some point in agencies of any size.

RECOMMENDATIONS

For Startups:

1. **Make Use of Lean and Agile Approaches:** Embrace lean startup thinking and agile models in order to minimize the levels of uncertainty and increase the time-to-marketplace.

2. **Form Strategic Alliances:** Establish partnerships with corporates, universities, and firms of the authority to gain access to resources, knowledge, as well as markets.

3. **Strengthen Legitimacy:** Continue to be reputable by means of certifications, strategic alliances, and patron endorsements.

4. **Find Alternative Financing Sources:** Find project capital, crowd funding and government grants to limit dependence on one source of funds.

5. **Invest in Talent and Culture:** Develop an enabling environment, which brings in talent in entrepreneurship and promotes innovation.

For Established Firms:

1. **Promote Organizational Ambidexterity**: Balance exploration of recent possibilities with exploitation of current abilities via structural flexibility.
2. **Reduce Bureaucracy:** Streamline decision-making techniques to inspire fast experimentation and adoption of modern thoughts.
3. **Foster a Culture of Innovation:** Encourage threat-taking, creativity, and cross-purposeful collaboration via management tasks and incentives.
4. **4.Open innovate:** Build relationships with startups, research organizations, and out of doors innovators to achieve adequate of access to various mind.
5. **Overcome Yesterday Fears:** Develop distinct gadgets or innovation centers to amplify disruptive mind without jeopardizing the present-day organization models.

Established Firms:

1. **Strengthen Intellectual Property (IP) Strategies:** Ensure strong mechanisms for shielding and commercializing innovations.
2. **Adopt Sustainability-Oriented Innovation:** Integrate environmental and social issues into innovation practices to satisfy stakeholder expectations.
3. **Leverage Digital Technologies:** Utilize AI, massive data, and cloud systems to pressure efficiency, client-centricity, and scalability.
4. **Embed Ecosystem Thinking:** Actively take part in innovation ecosystems that foster collaboration throughout industries and sectors.
5. **Develop Resilience:** Build adaptive abilities to reply successfully to crises, disruptions, and moving marketplace dynamics.

Final Thoughts

The innovation strength and weaknesses associated with set up company are also renowned as the manipulate in startups instead of the set up company. Both models are not superior in themselves, rather it is the critical aspect of strategic alignment and collaboration. Startups bring disruptive electricity and agility, despite set up agencies offering scale and stability. Through the adoption of open innovation, cultural change and surroundings based absolutely methods, each can contribute significantly to the betterment of industries and the society in general.

It follows that innovation manipulate must be perceived as a dynamic, collaborative and contextual process, i.e., a process that would thrive on diversity, experimentation and strategic foresight.

REFERENCES

Adner, R. (2017). Ecosystem as structure: An actionable construct for strategy. *Journal of Management, 43*(1), 39–58. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206316678451

Aldrich, H. E., & Fiol, C. M. (1994). Fools rush in? The institutional context of industry creation. *Academy of Management Review, 19*(4), 645–670. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1994.9412190214

Blank, S. (2013). *The startup owner's manual: The step-by-step guide for building a great company*. Wiley.

Chesbrough, H. W. (2020). *Open innovation results: Going beyond the hype and getting down to business*. Oxford University Press.

Christensen, C. M. (1997). *The innovator's dilemma: When new technologies cause great firms to fail*. Harvard Business Review Press.

Criscuolo, C., Nicolaou, N., & Salter, A. (2012). The elixir (or burden) of youth? Exploring differences in innovation between start-ups and established firms. *Research Policy, 41*(2), 319–333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2011.12.001

Hannan, M. T., & Freeman, J. (1984). Structural inertia and organizational change. *American Sociological Review, 49*(2), 149–164. https://doi.org/10.2307/2095567

March, J. G. (1991). Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning. *Organization Science, 2*(1), 71–87. https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2.1.71

O'Reilly, C. A., & Tushman, M. L. (2013). Organizational ambidexterity: Past, present, and future. *Academy of Management Perspectives, 27*(4), 324–338. https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2013.0025

Ries, E. (2011). *The lean startup: How today's entrepreneurs use continuous innovation to create radically successful businesses*. Crown Business.

Teece, D. J. (2010). Business models, business strategy and innovation. *Long Range Planning, 43*(2–3), 172–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.003

Weiblen, T., & Chesbrough, H. W. (2015). Engaging with startups to enhance corporate innovation. *California Management Review, 57*(2), 66–90. https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2015.57.2.66