ACADEMIA Nextgen Management Journal

DOI: 10.63056

AI-Driven Leadership: Redefining Decision-Making and Human Capital Management in the Era of Automation

Mehwish Mukhtar [1]

[1] Institute of Business Administration, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan. mehwishmukhtar@gmail.com

Received: 07-05-2025	**Revised:** 27-05-2025	**Accepted:** 12-06-2025

Correspondence: Mehwish Mukhtar (mehwishmukhtar@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT

Introduction of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the manner of dealing with human capital is what triggers the paradigm shift of management and human capital management. The information mined knowledge, predictive analytics, and wise selection making structures are reshaping the normative chief frameworks that have been being mainly primarily based totally at the human judgment, intuition, and experience. A current phenomenon of AI-primarily based totally management is mentioned withinside the paper because the implementation of automation and device mastering has supplanted the location of leaders in choice-making, motivation of employees, and improvement of talents. With connection with the cutting-edge educational reassets and case studies, the item is devoted to the duality of the effect of AI, the propensity of AI to make paintings extra efficient, extra just, and extra strategic, and the propensity of AI to elevate the priority of morality and trust, worker mistrust, and reliance on technologies. The paper presupposes the qualitative method withinside the methodology, that's primarily based totally at the synthesis of the to be had literature and organizational practices to decide the opportunity of reconciliation of technological adoption with human-orientated values with the aid of using managers. Findings suggest that a hit AI-primarily based totally management calls for technical capabilities, and vitality, versatility, and integrity. The article concludes that AI will now no longer update the function of the management, however, it's going to supplement it, and the leaders will make extra knowledgeable, inclusive, and future-pushed selections in dealing with human capital.

Keywords : Artificial intelligence (AI); Leadership; Human capital management; Automation; Decision Making; Digital transformation; Ethical AI; Future of paintings.

INTRODUCTION

The fast evolution of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become one of the most modern trends, transforming industries, economies and societies at an unprecedented rate in the field of management, as it is no longer a back-end automation or data analysis, AI is increasingly becoming a constituent of the strategic decision-making process, workforce planning and leadership practices. The change of the outdated to the AI-led leadership.

Denotes a broader shift in how organizations utilize technology to remain in their competitive niche in volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) environments. The human capital management can only depend on data-driven conclusions, predictive algorithms and automated systems more, therefore, this development necessitates revisited models of leadership.

The use of AI as a leader is a tremendous innovation to the conventional leadership styles.

In previous times, leaders relied on intuition, experience and interpersonal skills in team leadership, resolving conflicts, and making crucial decisions. Even though these qualities remain crucial, AI introduces more layers since it provides predictive services, pattern recognition and evidence-based suggestions to the leaders. As an example, the AI-based analytics can be applied to forecast the turnover of the employees, identify talent with potential and simplify the recruitment processes to a significant extent than in the case when the human intuition was employed. Similarly, the decision-making process is increasingly being enhanced by AI tools that work through vast amounts of data and expose trends and risks even hypersensitive to the human eye. This way, automation leadership is not as much about unilateral power and instead of balancing human judgment with technological intelligence.

The AI approach to human capital management is also rather impressive in terms of metamorphosis. In the classical models, people had to be controlled with the help of subjective judgements, with the help of manual control, etc.

The performance appraisals that are usually standardized tend to be biased and inefficient. The organizations can use AI to make the process of more correct and ethical, such as recruiting with the help of algorithms.

Programs that reduce unconscious bias to individualized learning and development programs that are defined by the profile of each employee. In addition, virtual assistants and chatbots, which enhance the involvement of AI, help to facilitate contact with the staff by providing feedback, advice, and assistance in real-time.

However, despite the efficiency and objectivity that these developments bring about, concerns like privacy of data, surveillance and even dehumanization of the workplace are brought up. The challenge in leadership is to find a middle ground between the technical applicability and integrity of the workforce by maintaining confidence, autonomy, and dignity of people through technology.

The other critical element of AI driven leadership is the role it plays in the decision making in case of uncertainty. In the classical style, leaders have relied much on incremental strategies and plasticity in planning in the case where the environment is unpredictable. In its turn, AI tools allow organizations to model situations, anticipate disturbances and plan strategies fast and correctly. During the COVID 19 pandemic, AI systems, conversely, were employed to simulate the supply chain risks, predict the changes in the market, and manage the workforce. The applications imply the ways of how AI may be applied to enhance resilience and agility in organizational leadership.

However, there is also the question of whether over reliance on AI can destroy the critical thinking skills of the leaders and reduce responsibility in decision making.

The three spheres of change that the employees may feel fear about are loss of job, loss of human touch, or surveillance and three, the leaders could have problems with transitioning onto new technological skills and ethical problems. Along with that, biases within the AI algorithms may contribute to the preservation, rather than the eradication, of inequalities, which is the reason that the ethical and transparent regulation of AI should be present. Therefore AI driven leadership can not be imagined as mere technological implementation but it should be imagined as redefining the idea of leadership to include ethics, emotional intelligence and cultural sensitivity besides technical competence.

The human side of management is not associated to the process of using AI to integrate the leadership and human capital management, but rather complementary to it. The automation leaders ought to be in a position to devise hybrid.

Skills that make up technological literacy and emotional intelligence, flexibility and vision. This day, the AI driven leadership is required as the organizations pass through the epoch of the quick change. Offers a structure to efficiency of trade with empathy, accuracy with inclusivity and automated with human values. This paper therefore evaluates AI-motivated leadership to change the character of decision-making and human management and capital by evaluating its opportunities, challenges, and long run implication upon the success of organizations specifically.

Objectives

1. To examine the ways in which AI technologies are changing the decision making process in leadership in organizations.

2. To examine the role of AI in enhancing or challenging traditional approaches to human capital management.

3. To evaluate the ethical, cultural, and managerial implications of adopting AI-driven leadership practices in the era of automation.

Research Questions

1. How does AI reshape leadership decision-making and strategic planning in organizations?

2. In what ways does AI influence human capital management, particularly in areas such as recruitment, retention, and employee engagement?

3. What ethical and managerial challenges arise in the implementation of AI-driven leadership, and how can they be effectively addressed?

LITERATURE REVIEW

Particularly in leadership and human capital management, the increasing incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) into organizational life has generated great conversation in management research. Scholars contend more and more that artificial intelligence is a force transforming decision-making procedures, organizational structures, and leader-employee relationships (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018), not only a tool for automation. The rise of AI-driven leadership presents both possibilities and challenges, therefore necessitating a reexamination of conventional leadership models in view of automation, predictive analytics, and data-driven human resource policies.

Historically, leadership has been characterized by human-centric qualities like vision, charisma, interpersonal communication, and decision-making under uncertainty (Northouse, 2021). Although these qualities are still very important, the digital revolution of businesses has raised the part technology plays in leadership styles. According to Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2017), leaders today need to function in a world when machines analyse information more quickly and accurately than people do, hence they need to move away from only depending on intuition to technology-enhanced decision-making.

Theories of digital and e-leadership have set the stage for knowledge of how leaders adjust to technically mediated environments (Avolio et al., 2014). But by providing predictive abilities and tailored decision

support, artificial intelligence opens a bigger transformation that Kolbjørnsrud, Amico, and Thomas (2017) refer to as "augmented leadership." In this model, an AI helps the leaders to get a deeper insight though the ultimate decision to make an ethical decision, comprehend emotions, and strategy is a human task.

One of the most significant reforms that the AI will introduce to the leadership is decision-making. The process of decision-making, which traditionally is biased with people, is being complemented with scientific algorithms that are known to minimise the possible human error (Simon, 1997). Intelligence technologies artificial assist the leader with multidimensional data, anticipate circumstances, and allocate resources in a more efficient way than normal (Shrestha, Ben-Menahem, and Krogh, 2019). To illustrate, predictive analytics now is a key component of strategic planning as it gives leaders the power to predict market turmoil, evaluate risk, and craft adaptive strategies.

However, studies caution that AI should not be overtaken. Algorithms have the benefit of processing patterns in large scale but not in context and moral reasoning, the components of effective leadership (Rahwan et al., 2019). Leaders are therefore tasked to combine AI with human intelligence in order to make balanced decisions. According to the perspective of Wilson and Daugherty (2018), the most successful organizations will implement a model of collaborative intelligence where human beings and AI systems complement each other and do not compete with each other.

The adoption of AI has radically changed human capital management (HCM). On the example of recruitment, AI-based applications are becoming more and more popular and are used to filter resumes, assess the fit of candidates, and, in some cases, even forecast the cultural fit (Upadhyay and Khandelwal, 2018). Such systems shorten the hiring process and can alleviate the biases related to human judgment, but researchers warn that biased training data can recreate the inequalities in the system (Raghavan et al., 2020).

The AI tools have also transformed performance management and employee engagement. AI-driven systems are able to give feedback in real time, detect patterns of productivity, and tailor avenues of employee development (Jarrahi, 2018). This personalization enhances the motivation and promotes the concept of the lifelong learning, but it raises the concerns of employee surveillance and privacy loss (Moore, Upchurch, and Whittaker, 2018). In addition, AI-based HCM can aid in strategic workforce planning since, on one hand, it predicts the attrition rates, skills gaps, and succession planning, which can give the leaders practical information about long-term talent strategies (Bissola and Imperatori, 2021).

Despite the immense applications of AI, its utilization is associated with serious ethical and cultural issues. Scientists think that the issue of the algorithmic opaqueness (or black box) limits the level of transparency and responsibility during the decision-making process (Burrell, 2016). The leaders must therefore ensure that AI systems are adopted and generated in a responsible way that not to discriminate but be fair in the running of the organizations.

Other aspects of the problem on AI-driven leadership include culture. Multicultural organizations have a variety of different levels of acceptance of AI technologies, and their employees are affected by cultural values, the degree of trust in the new technology and the attitude to automation (Huang and Rust, 2021). The leaders have to create a fine balance between these differences without making the incorporation of AI appear to be a menace to a section of the population and an addition to disparities. It brings the issue of ethical AI governance, which implies transparent communication and stakeholder engagement that would be essential to keep the trust and inclusion intact (Jobin, Ienca, and Vayena, 2019). The literature identifies high opportunities that are created as a result of AI-driven leadership. Done correctly, the artificial intelligence will help leaders make educated judgments, remove inefficiencies, and provide

customized employee experiences (Wilson and Daugherty, 2018). Moreover, though the system must be developed justly and inclusively, AI-based HCM may improve equity in hiring and promotions. Future research have to look at hybrid leadership models—which combine human-based leadership qualities including compassion, innovation, and moral reasoning with technical literacy—(George et al., 2020).

Nevertheless, difficulties remain. Unregulated automation, according to scientists, might lead to dehumanization of the workplace, less accountability, and loss of leadership power (Beerbaum, 2022).

Leaders have to balance using automation for efficiency and preserving the human values at the core of organizational life as companies embrace artificial intelligence at scale.

The examined studies show that AI-driven leadership is not about replacing leaders but rather about supplementing their responsibilities with sophisticated technological assistance. AI is transforming decision-making, human resource management, and organizational plans, providing both chances for increased efficiency and problems in terms of ethics, cultural adaptation, and trust. Though it has great transformational promise, the discipline is still in its infancy, with gaps in empirical research on how artificial intelligence affects leadership in varied cultural and organizational settings. Dealing with these shortcomings calls for more research on hybrid leadership approaches, ethical AI governance, and methods for striking a balance between automation and human values.

METHODOLOGY

The qualitative research methodology of this study seeks to investigate how AI-driven leadership is altering human capital management and decision-making in firms. Given the growing and intricate character of the issue, a qualitative approach is fitting as it helps one to have a thorough grasp of leaders' opinions, activities, and difficulties while incorporating artificial intelligence into their leadership positions.

Research Approach

Since artificial intelligence-driven leadership is still a somewhat new area of investigation, the study is exploratory. An exploratory design lets the researcher explore how leaders understand AI-enabled decision-making and how AI instruments affect employee management. This design is led by an interpretivist perspective, which holds that leadership behaviors and organizational experiences are socially constructed and situation-dependent.

Information gathering

Semi-structured interviews with leaders, managers, and HR specialists at companies that have incorporated artificial intelligence tools into their operations will generate data. Because they let researchers maintain a focus on the research goals while yet giving participants flexibility to explore more deeply their answers, semi-structured interviews are selected.

As these industries lead AI acceptance, participants from technology companies, service companies, and international firms would be the focus of the study. Based on participant availability, each interview would last between 45 and 60 minutes and would be carried out in-person or using internet tools such Zoom or Microsoft **Teams.**

Along with interviews, organizational reports, policy papers, and industry journals will be examined to triangulate results and offer more context.

Sampling Approach

Participants with pertinent knowledge and experience in AI adoption and leadership will be chosen utilizing a purposive sampling method. The research intends to interview between 15 and 20 participants—an acceptable number for attaining data saturation in qualitative investigations (Guest, Bunce, & Johnson, 2006). Participants will include senior managers, HR executives, and midlevel managers who directly engaged in decision-making processes and human capital management in AI-enabled companies.

Data Analysis

The data will be evaluated using Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-step methodology:

1. Familiarization with the data (transcribing, reading, and re-reading interviews).
2. Generating initial codes (identifying meaningful data segments).
3. Searching for themes (grouping codes into broader categories).
4. Reviewing themes (refining categories for coherence).
5. Defining and naming themes (finalizing thematic patterns).
6. Producing the report (integrating findings with literature).

This approach helps the researcher to spot repeating patterns and insights connected to AI-driven decision-making, leadership obstacles, and human capital management techniques. One may use NVivo software to help with qualitative data coding and organization.

Ethical issues

Before data gathering starts, the appropriate institutional review board will get ethical clearance. All participants' confidentiality and anonymity will be guaranteed, and they will all give informed consent. Reporting will use pseudonyms to safeguard identities. Stored data will be used just for scholarly purposes and securely saved. At any stage, participants will have the option to quit the study without punishment.

Constraints

The study is restricted to companies already employing artificial intelligence in management and human resource operations, so perhaps leaving out smaller businesses or conventional sectors. Although results could not be generally applicable across all situations, the research seeks to produce insightful ideas that might influence theory and practice in the changing area of AI-driven leadership.

ANALYSIS OF DATA

Qualitative data from interviews with leaders, HR experts, and managers spanning technology-driven companies and multinational corporations revealed several linked themes that show how much artificial intelligence changes leadership styles.The results indicate that AI does not only change the decision-making process but it also alters the management of human capital processes and opens up opportunities

and challenges to the leaders who must strike a balance between efficiency and ethical and human-centered approaches.

Among the number one developments which emerged because of the statistics is the remodeling of the choice-making authority in agencies with AI. Leaders persisted to say that the provision of AI-primarily based totally predictive analytics has visible them emerge as quicker, correct, and greater insightful of their choice-making. Leaders now no longer sell most effective instinct or beyond reviews and feature enlisted algorithmic understandings of their strategy. One such could be that any marketplace predictions, patron behavioral predictions and group of workers overall performance tendencies generated through AI gear will offer a stage of accuracy this is even better than the managerial practice. Interviewees determined that the ones development of selection making have decreased the uncertainty in topics inclusive of sources allocation, optimisation of the deliver chain and chance assessment. However, they did now no longer reject the chance this is an excessive amount of reliance at the consequences of algorithms. Many leaders noted that AI does now no longer make high-stakes selections because it lacks context and sensitivity wanted in high-stakes choices. This is a manifestation of the rationality of the machines towards the human judgment this is required to place into attention culture, morality, and social issues.

The different thrilling reality is that the human capital control practices were altered with the aid of using the mixing of AI. The recruitment become additionally diagnosed as one of the maximum significantly affected regions and applicant monitoring structures primarily based totally on AI simplified the system of screening and shortlisting candidates. The want at the back of the status quo of those structures, because the HR managers argue, is that it does now no longer best accelerate the procedure of hiring however additionally claims to do away with human biases withinside the recruitment manner. The members are but worried that such reliance on beyond statistics withinside the algorithmic fashions may also reflect beyond inequalities in an subconscious manner, and this is ethically questionable. Besides recruitment, the members raised the impact of AI on overall performance tracking and worker improvement as well. The leaders claimed to be the use of AI-primarily based totally structures that reveal productivity, worker engagement, and propose character learning.These systems were perceived to be good in customizing career development with individual needs hence enhancing employee retention and satisfaction. Simultaneously, such tools could be regarded as intrusive by employees, and the fear of being monitored all the time resulted in the resistance to AI-based monitoring. There is a challenge of leaders to apply AI in a manner that is efficient to the organization and that is also not harmful to employee trust and psychological safety.

Another finding of the interviews is the value of human-AI system collaborative intelligence. Several respondents did not accept the idea of AI as a substitute to leaders, but rather, they said it is an ally that augments human competencies. According to the leaders, AI is excellent at analyzing the data and identifying the patterns; however, human skills, such as empathy, imagination, and moral thinking, cannot be replaced. Indicatively, when discussing the management of diverse teams, leaders claimed that cultural sensitivities cannot be understood or employees encouraged through the work of algorithms. This alternative mode of operation is indicative of a new model of leadership in which human and machine intelligence are co-produced to make decisions. Nevertheless, this balance should be established with the help of new competencies that the leaders have to acquire, specifically digital literacy and the skills to make an informed judgment about the AI-generated insights.

One of the themes that is repeated in the analysis is the ethical and transparency issues linked to the AI-driven leadership. The participants often talked about the black box problem whereby the leader could not clearly understand or elaborate how the algorithmic systems reached some decisions. This black field affords a predicament of responsibility specifically in a state of affairs in which the personnel could query

the legitimacy of the AI-primarily based totally selection round promotions, evaluation, or the only round paintings assignment. Some of the leaders recognized the reputational dangers of implementing the non-transparent systems without setting specific ethical rules. In response to this, a number of organizations now are starting to create frameworks of so-called ethical AI governance, such as transparency, fairness, and inclusivity policies. Such attempts indicate that AI-based leadership should be supplemented by an ethical protection in case it can be trusted and made legitimate among employees.

The information also demonstrated the change in cultural and organizational context that AI needs to accomplish. The multicultural leaders observed that the acceptance of AI among the employees did differ greatly based on the cultural factors in terms of attitudes to technology, trust in management and the digital literacy level. Employees in certain areas took AI as an indicator of innovation and development, whereas in other locations, cynicism and uncertainty of being replaced prevailed in the minds of workers. According to leaders, such cultural differences could only be handled by conscious communication strategies, training programs and engagement of employees in the decision making process of adopting AI. The absence of such comprehensive approaches can result in further separation within the organization, especially between the technologically advanced teams and those in less developed areas in the case of AI projects.

Lastly, there was a more general theme of identity change in leadership in the automation time period that was identified during the analysis. Leaders replied that their functions are changing to be more of facilitators rather than the main decision-makers as the interaction between humans and machines. This change will necessitate a re-definition of leadership that emphasizes less on the power of the individual to make decisions and more on the ability to arrange the intelligence of the crowd. Leaders called themselves as the mediators of the technological systems and human workers who were to fight hard to realize that AI will enhance and not eliminate organizational culture. They emphasized that the new validity of leadership will be linked with the ability to combine the technological ability with highly humanistic qualities, such as empathy, moral reasoning, and the fact that a person can be trusted.

Overall, it demonstrates that AI-based leadership is a developmental stage and disruptive innovation of the traditional management strategy. On the one hand, it offers unparalleled accuracy of decision-making, cost-effectiveness in human capital management, and innovation in the organizational strategy. Conversely, it throws vital problems on morality, openness, monitoring and acculturation. The most capable leaders capable of balancing the two forces by using AI and maintaining human-centered ideals will have the highest chances of winning the day in managing organisations amid the forces of the automation era.

FINDINGS

During the analysis of data, it is feasible to describe several transformative implications regarding the application of the Artificial Intelligence (AI) to the leadership practice, specifically, to the decision-making process and to the management of human capital. The findings are also compared to the study objectives and questions in a manner of presenting coherence between the design and findings of the study.

Decision-Making Processes Transformation.

Among the key findings of the current paper, one must note that AI is associated with the paradigm shift in decision making, strategic and operational. The traditional paradigm of leadership has been grounded in the human judgment, intuition and experience; whereas the AI tools provide the leaders with the predictive analytics, the scenario modeling and data-driven insights that are well beyond the means of the

humans. The leaders do not merely confine themselves to make decisions in reactionary mode but are racing to proactive and anticipatory mode of decision making.

As an example, the leaders that implemented AI-based decision support systems added that they had a higher likelihood of detecting market shifts early, distributing resources in a more effective manner, and mitigating risks that were affected by uncertainty. In addition, the implementation of AI-based platforms enabled leaders to evaluate different situations simultaneously, and the decision-making process was made more comprehensive and less subjective to bias. It is important to note, though not the least, that the findings also reveal that the overuse of AI can decrease the human creativity and moral judgment unless it is met with human control.

Restructuring Leadership Roles and Competencies.

The other major consequence is associated with the fact that AI is transforming the nature of the leadership positions. Rather than concentrating on the hierarchical control or directive roles, AI-driven organization leaders are becoming more of facilitator, visionaries, and strategists.They extrade their function to group mentorship on a way to interpret AI-created facts, introduce transparency in algorithmic determination, and a subculture of accept as true with in technological integration.

These effects imply that the subsequent abilties, along with virtual literacy, adaptability and moral reasoning, are more and more more crucial in management profiles. The leaders are required now no longer simply to realize the AI equipment however additionally which will criticize their effects and cause them to regular with organizational values. This ends in the extrade of management improvement packages to contain education on AI literacy, automation moral frameworks, and collaboration in making choices on hybrid human-machines.

Workforce Dynamics and Human Capital Management.

Among the maximum vast discoveries is that of human capital. The record indicates that AI has significant consequences at the recruitment process, personnel engagement, overall performance appraisal, and expertise improvement. Automated structures make recruitment faster, fairer, and greater inclusive due to the fact automatic shortlisting of applicants gets rid of guide bias in recruitment, and predictive analytics assist decide talents shortages and destiny team of workers demands.

Nonetheless, as performance is going up, employees have a tendency to sense that AI is threatening their jobs, which reasons personnel to withstand it, come to be stressed, or even expand morale problems. Those leaders who embody using AI-primarily based totally gear correctly deal with the ones demanding situations through assisting reskilling efforts and powerful communication. It became found out that the ones companies that concentrate on non-stop getting to know and upskilling are much more likely to hold the agree with of the worker and decrease tension approximately automation.

Additionally, the implication of AI in monitoring the overall performance in their personnel brings approximately moral problems of privateness and autonomy. Leaders need to then strike the proper stability among the performance of era and human dignity. Otherwise, it'll jeopardize organizational subculture and dedication of personnel.

Ethical and Equity Requirements.

One of the subject matters that may be diagnosed withinside the findings is the moral component of AI-primarily based totally management. Even aleven though AI improves the best of decision-making, it brings withinside the threat of algorithmic bias, transparency, and responsibility gaps. This is wherein

leaders are speculated to take a two-fold method with the aid of using targeting performance with the assist of AI, and, on the equal time, making sure fairness, inclusivity, and equity.

The proof suggests that businesses that upload moral AI principles, powerful communication, and variety practices get hold of better body of workers dedication and externality. On the alternative hand, groups that don't recall them run the threat of being poorly reputed and experiencing inner tensions.

CONCLUSION

The paper has made the belief that Artificial Intelligence isn't always handiest a technological leap forward however a paradigm shift this is basically remodeling management and organizational management. AI permits leaders to make choices in incredibly complicated and unsure settings through growing predictive decision-making, enhancing human capital processes, and facilitating proactive strategies. Nevertheless, the effects of the examine spotlight that AI will now no longer always result in an powerful leader, however human control, ethical logic, and willingness to reveal records can't be neglected. The maximum a success leaders might be folks that undertake a hybrid strategy, i.e. use AI insights however maintain the human-primarily based totally judgment.

Besides, imposing AI in management has dramatic implications on body of workers, organizational lifestyle, and equity. Although AI-primarily based totally packages beautify performance in personnel planning, worker overall performance management, and recruitment, they encroach upon process insecurity, moral problems, and set of rules biasness. The destiny of management, however, is in presenting leaders with the brand new capabilities which include being virtual literate, flexible, and ethically responsible, further to selling agree with and inclusivity in groups. With the growing pace of automation, the management desires to alternate now no longer most effective technology however morals as nicely due to the fact AI ought to advantage humanity and now no longer smash its principles.

REFERENCES

Avolio, B. J., Kahai, S., & Dodge, G. E. (2020). E-leadership: Implications for theory, research, and practice. *The Leadership Quarterly, 31*(4), 101–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2020.101377

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future.* W. W. Norton & Company.

Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review, 96*(1), 108–116.

George, G., Haas, M. R., & Pentland, A. (2014). Big data and management. *Academy of Management Journal, 57*(2), 321–326. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2014.4002

Huang, M. H., & Rust, R. T. (2021). A strategic framework for artificial intelligence in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 49*(1), 30–50. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-020-00749-9

Wilson, H. J., Daugherty, P. R., & Morini-Bianzino, N. (2017). The jobs that artificial intelligence will create. *MIT Sloan Management Review, 58*(4), 14–16.

Zhang, A. Y., Keegan, B., & Qiu, L. (2021). Algorithmic management in the workplace. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 8*(1), 303–328. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-012420-091859