

Mental Health in the Post-Pandemic Era: Challenges and Coping Strategies

Sumaira Riaz ^a

^a Faculty of Public Health, Jinnah Sindh Medical University, Karachi, Pakistan

Correspondence: Sumaira Riaz (sumairariaz@gmail.com)

Received: 14 May 2025 | **Revised:** 25 May 2025 | **Accepted:** 15 June 2025

ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in sustained effects on culture and mental health throughout the world. It has already led to increased levels of depression, anxiety, burnout, grief, and social isolation among the population. Additionally, post-pandemic factors that further complicate the ongoing realities of post-pandemic culture include socioeconomic instability, future uncertainty, digital fatigue, decreased social cohesion, and increased distress. All of these are contributors to overall socio-economic instability. Meanwhile, various coping techniques like the resilience building, mindfulness, social and family support, and the application of digital mental health tools have been found to be critical in facilitating recovery and adaptation. This paper will review the available literature to discuss the key mental health issues of the post-pandemic period and find suggested coping mechanisms at the individual, community, and systemic levels. The findings highlight the need to involve a combined approach that encompasses professional responses, facilitating policy structures, and ground level campaigns to improve mental health systems and develop resiliency to future occurrences of crisis.

Keywords: Mental health, post-pandemic, coping strategies, resilience, anxiety, depression, digital mental health, social support

INTRODUCTION

COVID-19 was not only a worldwide epidemic but a serious mental and social shock. Although a significant part of the initial attention was focused on physical health and infection control, the pandemic also caused a large-scale crisis of mental health which is still felt during the post-pandemic period. The abrupt changes in everyday life, quarantine, fear of being infected, losing close people in life, and financial insecurity created an atmosphere of constant stress, and its effects on mental health are extensive. In a world where the world has shifted into the new reality, the trauma caused by the pandemic still reverberates in the personal and societal experiences of people today. Among the most urgent pandemic outcomes are increased mental health conditions, including depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and burnout. Disproportional psychological burdens were among the health workers, the students, the frontline workers, and women and children who were the most vulnerable. Evidence suggests that prolonged stress, uncertainty, and loss has taken a toll on emotional exhaustion, resilience, and overall mental health in most communities. These trends justify a review of how mental health is perceived, taught, learned, and valued in our societies in the post-pandemic world. Besides clinical mental illnesses, the pandemic has disrupted relationships, community connection, and work life balance. Telework and virtual communication have resulted in loneliness, isolation, and digital fatigue. Response practices have severed relationships and destabilized social support systems, and in some cases, isolated individuals into silos. As communities begin to re-open, rebuilding meaningful relationships and trust are two key areas of the psychological recovery process.

Coping mechanisms have also shifted with these challenges. From mindfulness sessions and exercise to peer support and online therapy apps, individuals and groups have found new ways to regain sanity and strength. While teletherapy and mental health apps are examples of digital mental health interventions that have increased access to care particularly in low-resource settings, the tools still bring in question of accessibility, privacy, and long-term efficacy, that also creates us a balanced, inclusive approach.

Lastly, the time following the global pandemic calls for a new model of mental health care that will combine clinical support, policy action, and local coping strategies. Societies must have their governments, health care organizations, and community groups come together to configure inclusive systems that also reduce stigma, access, and resilience. Not only does this change the individual welfare of people, it also contributes to societal welfare and economic stability for societies that continue to experience crises in the world.

Objectives

1. To examine the significant psychological issues that will be encountered during the post pandemic phase.
2. To examine coping strategies adopted at individual, community, and systemic levels.
3. To assess the role of digital tools and social support networks in promoting resilience and recovery.

Research Questions

1. What are the key psychological challenges faced by individuals and communities in the post-pandemic period?
2. Which coping strategies have proven effective in addressing post-pandemic mental health issues?
3. How can digital interventions and community support be integrated into sustainable mental health frameworks?

LITERATURE REVIEW

The post-pandemic era has brought unprecedented attention to the long-term psychological consequences of COVID-19, with studies consistently reporting elevated rates of depression, anxiety, stress, and psychological distress across populations worldwide. Vulnerable groups such as youth, university students, frontline healthcare workers, and individuals with pre-existing mental health conditions have been disproportionately affected. These populations often faced compounded stressors including social isolation, disrupted education, financial insecurity, and uncertainty regarding future opportunities (Ding et al., 2023; Billah et al., 2023; Riedel et al., 2021). Evidence also highlights gender and age disparities, with females and younger individuals frequently exhibiting higher rates of psychological symptoms compared to males and older adults, suggesting that demographic factors play a significant role in determining susceptibility to post-pandemic mental health difficulties (Gurvich et al., 2020; Foster et al., 2022).

The literature emphasizes the centrality of coping strategies in mediating the severity and trajectory of mental health outcomes. Adaptive strategies such as positive reframing, acceptance, humor, problem-solving, and cognitive restructuring are consistently associated with reduced symptoms of depression and anxiety and better overall psychological well-being (Budimir et al., 2021; Meyer et al., 2022; Lampraki et al., 2025). The role of social and family support emerges as particularly important, functioning as a protective factor that fosters resilience, facilitates emotional regulation, and accelerates recovery from distress (Vallejo-Slocker et al., 2022; Fluharty et al., 2021). For students and young adults, interventions that provide academic, financial, and psychosocial resources while promoting adaptive coping behaviors have been shown to mitigate the mental health burdens of the pandemic (Luo & Mohammed, 2023; Scorsolini-Comin et al., 2021).

Conversely, the reliance on maladaptive coping mechanisms—such as avoidance, self-blame, behavioral disengagement, substance use, or excessive self-distraction—has been linked with persistent psychological distress, worsening depression, and heightened anxiety (Kar et al., 2020; Elshaer, 2023; Hong & Skiba, 2024). These negative coping responses often reflect a lack of access to effective mental health resources, limited social support, or the overwhelming nature of pandemic-related stressors, particularly in contexts where individuals felt powerless to change external circumstances. According to the literature, problem-focused coping is less likely to prove effective in an uncontrollable situation (in a worldwide health crisis), and emotion-oriented and sociable support coping should be more useful (Sampogna et al., 2021; Abidin et al., 2025). Healthcare professionals provide a special category of respondents whose stressors are quite specific: a large workload, the possibility of infections, and emotional pressure due to patient care. It has been shown that emotion-oriented coping, mindfulness, and support systems in institutions were important in maintaining their psychological health, although differences in coping styles were also found based on role, gender, and cultural background (Puia et al., 2025; Richardson et al., 2024).

When the adolescents and children were at risk, adaptive strategies such as routine following, aesthetic activities, and supportive groups neutralized the psychological impacts of lockdowns and disruptions (Vallejo-Slocker et al., 2022; Foster et al., 2022). Moreover, the most marginalized groups, such as youth, were exposed to a greater level of mental health vulnerability, and thus implementing interventions that are inclusive and for various groups was necessary (Hong and Skiba, 2024).

On the whole, the literature brings to a convergent point that coping approaches greatly determine mental health evolutions within the post-pandemic environment. The adaptive approaches can lead to resilience and faster recovery, while maladaptive approaches cause growing psychological distress and disability in the long term. The implications of the findings lead to the recommendation of implementing education campaigns for the population that should facilitate adaptive coping, invest in psychosocial resources, and encourage the implementation of resilience building initiatives in schools, workplaces, and in health care settings (Gurvich et al., 2020; Chianumba et al., 2024). Some of the key action items that could be introduced in order to lessen the ongoing psychological impacts of COVID-19 and to better prepare societies for future crises include enhancing social support systems, developing additional digital mental health resources, and embedding coping-oriented training into enhanced mental health policy.

Research Design

This research utilizes a **narrative literature review design** to examine the difficulties and management techniques of mental health in the post-pandemic period. A literature review design was chosen because it allows the synthesis of findings from a wide range of empirical studies, systematic reviews, and theoretical papers, providing a comprehensive understanding of the topic. Unlike systematic reviews, which focus on strict inclusion and exclusion criteria, a narrative review permits flexibility in drawing from diverse contexts, populations, and methodological traditions, which is important given the global scope of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Data Sources and Search Strategy

Relevant literature was gathered from **peer-reviewed journals, reports, and online databases** including PubMed, PsycINFO, ScienceDirect, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. The search covered publications from **2020 to 2025**, reflecting the period of the COVID-19 pandemic and the post-pandemic transition phase. Keywords and Boolean operators were applied systematically to retrieve sources. The primary search terms included:

- “*mental health*” OR “*psychological distress*” OR “*depression*” OR “*anxiety*”
- AND “*COVID-19*” OR “*post-pandemic*” OR “*pandemic aftermath*”
- AND “*coping strategies*” OR “*resilience*” OR “*psychological adaptation*”.

The initial search yielded **over 3,000 articles**. After screening abstracts for relevance, removing duplicates, and excluding papers that did not focus on coping or post-pandemic challenges, **112 articles** were selected for detailed review.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria:

1. Studies published between 2020–2025.
2. Articles focusing on mental health outcomes (depression, anxiety, stress, resilience).
3. Studies highlighting coping strategies (adaptive or maladaptive).
4. Peer-reviewed empirical studies, systematic reviews, and theoretical analyses.
5. Research conducted across diverse populations (students, healthcare workers, general public, vulnerable groups).

Exclusion criteria:

1. Articles published before 2020.
2. Studies focusing solely on physical health impacts of COVID-19.
3. Commentaries, opinion pieces, or non-peer-reviewed reports.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

A **three-step approach** was followed:

1. **Extraction** – Key details were extracted, including author(s), year, country, study design, sample characteristics, mental health outcomes, coping strategies, and main findings.
2. **Categorization** – Studies were grouped into themes: (a) prevalence and nature of post-pandemic mental health challenges, (b) adaptive coping strategies, and (c) maladaptive coping strategies.
3. **Synthesis** – Findings were narratively synthesized, with comparisons made across regions, populations, and study types. Where possible, patterns and contradictions were highlighted.

Ethical Considerations

As this study relies on secondary sources, no direct human participants were involved. However, ethical standards were maintained by ensuring that all references were **accurately cited** and original authors were credited for their contributions. The analysis aimed to remain unbiased, inclusive of diverse populations, and sensitive to the stigmatized nature of mental health.

DATA ANALYSIS, RESULTS, AND DISCUSSION

The reviewed studies were analyzed thematically, focusing on three central areas: **(1) prevalence and nature of mental health challenges in the post-pandemic context, (2) adaptive coping strategies associated with positive psychological outcomes, and (3) maladaptive coping strategies linked to poorer outcomes**. By categorizing findings into these clusters, patterns and divergences across different populations and contexts were identified. The comparative analysis revealed not only the widespread nature of psychological distress but also the varied effectiveness of coping strategies shaped by age, gender, socio-economic status, cultural context, and occupational role.

Results

Mental Health Challenges in the Post-Pandemic Era

Across studies, there was a **marked increase in depression, anxiety, stress, and post-traumatic symptoms**. Ding et al. (2023) and Budimir et al. (2021) reported that youth and university students showed significantly higher prevalence rates of depression and anxiety compared to pre-pandemic levels, largely due to **academic disruptions, financial stress, and social isolation**. Healthcare professionals, particularly frontline workers,

experienced heightened **burnout, insomnia, and secondary traumatic stress** (Riedel et al., 2021; Puia et al., 2025).

Gender differences were consistently reported: **females and younger individuals** showed higher psychological vulnerability (Foster et al., 2022; Gurvich et al., 2020). Vulnerable groups such as children (Vallejo-Slocker et al., 2022) and LGBTQ+ youth (Hong & Skiba, 2024) demonstrated distinct stressors related to disrupted routines, identity struggles, and reduced access to support networks.

Adaptive Coping Strategies

Adaptive coping strategies played a **protective role** in reducing psychological distress. Common strategies included:

- **Positive reframing and acceptance:** Associated with lower depression and anxiety symptoms (Kar et al., 2020; Meyer et al., 2022).
- **Social and family support:** Consistently identified as a strong buffer against stress and predictor of resilience (Fluharty et al., 2021; Richardson et al., 2024).
- **Routine maintenance and problem-solving:** Particularly effective among students and working professionals in restoring structure and control (Billah et al., 2023; Luo & Mohammed, 2023).
- **Humour and distraction:** Reported as temporary yet beneficial strategies in mitigating acute stress, especially in younger populations (Budimir et al., 2021).

Importantly, healthcare workers who engaged in **emotion-focused coping combined with institutional support** showed reduced burnout and greater resilience (Puia et al., 2025; Abidin et al., 2025).

Maladaptive Coping Strategies

In contrast, maladaptive coping was linked to **worsened psychological outcomes**. Strategies such as **avoidance, behavioural disengagement, self-blame, substance use, and excessive self-distraction** were associated with persistent distress and poor recovery trajectories (Ding et al., 2023; Lampraki et al., 2025).

For instance, Fluharty et al. (2021) noted that individuals relying heavily on avoidance reported **higher levels of depressive symptoms over time**, while Kar et al. (2020) found substance use to exacerbate anxiety. These findings emphasize that maladaptive strategies may provide **short-term relief but intensify long-term vulnerability**.

Discussion

The analysis highlights that while the pandemic has universally impacted mental health, the degree and nature of distress are shaped by **demographic and contextual factors**. Younger populations and females were disproportionately affected, underscoring the need for targeted interventions. The findings confirm that **adaptive coping strategies—particularly social support, acceptance, and structured routines—serve as effective buffers**, whereas maladaptive coping leads to deterioration in psychological well-being.

Importantly, the results underscore the **context-dependency of coping effectiveness**. For instance, problem-focused coping is beneficial in controllable stressors, but during the pandemic, **emotion-focused and socially supportive strategies proved more effective** (Meyer et al., 2022; Sampogna et al., 2021). The evidence indicates that resilience-enhancing interventions should prioritize flexible coping strategies over commitment to one strategy.

There are institutional and policy implications as well. Healthcare systems will need to provide **organizational support, mental health training, and counseling services** for professionals to mitigate burnout. Similarly, peer-support, financial support, and psychological support should be offered in universities in order to cope with the long term load among students.

Altogether, the synthesis shows that the post-pandemic mental health environment requires a multilevel strategy, which should include improving individual coping strategies, family, and community-supporting structures, and institutionalize mental health policies to ensure long-term resilience.

CONCLUSION

The world has escalated mental health issues during the post-pandemic period, and depression, anxiety, and stress are prevalent among young people, students, medical staff, and the vulnerable population. Resilience and recovery are associated with adaptive coping strategies including social support, acceptance and problem solving and maladaptive reactions including avoidance and substance use aggravates psychological consequences. Effectiveness of coping in different contexts differs, and it is important to note that interventions are required to be context-specific. In order to find solutions to this crisis, adaptive coping should be encouraged, the community and institutional support are to be reinforced, and the mental health must be of primary concern in policy and healthcare systems. Together with personal resilience and social intervention, societies will be able to overcome the post pandemic psychological weight and become prepared to subsequent crisis situations.

REFERENCES

- Gurvich, C., Thomas, N., Thomas, E. H. X., Hudaib, A.-R., Sood, L., Fabiatos, K., Sutton, K., Isaacs, A., Arunogiri, S., Sharp, G., & Kulkarni, J. (2020). Coping styles and mental health in response to societal changes during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, Published October 4, 2020
- Ding, H., Xu, Z., Hu, W., Guo, Y., Wang, C., Li, S., Hui, Z., Wang, J., Peng, X., & Xia, W. (2023). Changes of stressful life events, coping strategies and mental health among youths in the pre- and post-coronavirus 2019 pandemic era: A cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, Published August 1, 2023
- Budimir, S., Probst, T., & Pieh, C. (2021). Coping strategies and mental health during COVID-19 lockdown. *Journal of Mental Health*, Published January 27, 2021
- Luo, W., & Mohammed, J. (2023). Mental health status and coping strategies of Chinese university students during the COVID-19 pandemic: A rapid review. *PLOS ONE*, Published December 22, 2023
- Abidin, F. A., Prathama, A. G., Fitriana, E., Komala, E. S., & Tjandjaja, J. (2025). Psychological distress and coping strategies among Indonesian psychologists during the COVID-19 pandemic: a two-wave cross-lagged study. *Health Psychology and Behavioral Medicine*, Published January 27, 2025.
- Vallejo-Slocher, L., Sanz, J., García-Olivera, M. P., Fresneda, J., & Vallejo, M. (2022). Mental Health, Quality of Life and Coping Strategies in Vulnerable Children During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Psicothema*, Published May 1, 2022
- Kar, N., Kar, B., & Kar, S. (2020). Stress and coping during COVID-19 pandemic: Result of an online survey. *Psychiatry Research*, Published November 26, 2020
- Elshaer, I. (2023). Front-line hotel employees mental health and quality of life post COVID-19 pandemic: The role of coping strategies. *Heliyon*, Published June 1, 2023
- Riedel, B., Horen, S. R., Reynolds, A., & Hamidian Jahromi, A. (2021). Mental Health Disorders in Nurses During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Implications and Coping Strategies. *Frontiers in Public Health*, Published October 26, 2021
- Foster, S., Estévez-Lamorte, N., Walitza, S., Dzemaili, S., & Mohler-Kuo, M. (2022). Perceived stress, coping strategies, and mental health status among adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic in Switzerland: a longitudinal study. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, Published December 14, 2022
- Puia, A., Pop, S. R., Manzat, B. O. C., Pintea, S., Puia, I., & Fadgyas-Stanculete, M. (2025). Coping Strategies Among Healthcare Workers During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Emotional Responses, Challenges, and Adaptive Practices. *Medicina*, Published February 1, 2025
- Fluharty, M., Bu, F., Steptoe, A., & Fancourt, D. (2021). Coping strategies and mental health trajectories during the first 21 weeks of COVID-19 lockdown in the United Kingdom. *Social Science & Medicine* (1982), Published April 22, 2021.
- Hong, C., & Skiba, B. (2024). Mental health outcomes, associated factors, and coping strategies among LGBTQ adolescent and young adults during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, Published December 1, 2024.
- Richardson, D., Aranda, F., Cook, J. A., & Swarbrick, M. (2024). How Individuals with Mental Health Challenges Coped During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Clinical Nursing Research*, Published August 15, 2024.
- Billah, M., Rutherford, S., Akhter, S., & Tanjeela, M. (2023). Exploring mental health challenges and coping strategies in university students during the COVID-19 pandemic: A case study in Dhaka city, Bangladesh. *Frontiers in Public Health*, Published May 3, 2023.
- Scorsolini-Comin, F., Patias, N. D., Cozzer, A. J., Flores, P., & Von Hohendorff, J. (2021). Mental health and coping strategies in graduate students in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Revista Latino-Americana de Enfermagem*, Published October 29, 2021.
- Meyer, D., Van Rhee, T. V., Neill, E., Phillipou, A., Tan, E., Toh, W. L., Sumner, P., & Rossell, S. (2022). Surviving the COVID-19 pandemic: An examination of adaptive coping strategies. *Heliyon*, Published May 1, 2022.
- Lampraki, C., Jopp, D., Roquet, A., Hoffman, A., & Uittenhove, K. (2025). Development of depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 crisis: the role of coping strategies and their change. *BMC Psychology*, Published March 14, 2025.
- Sampogna, G., del Vecchio, V., Giallonardo, V., Luciano, M., Albert, U., Carmassi, C., Carrà, G., Cirulli, F., Dell'Osso, B., Menculini, G., Nanni, M., Pompili, M., Sani, G., Volpe, U., Bianchini, V., & Fiorillo, A. (2021). What Is the Role of Resilience and Coping Strategies on the Mental Health of the General Population during the COVID-19 Pandemic? Results from the Italian Multicentric COMET Study. *Brain Sciences*, Published September 1, 2021.