

## Organic vs. Conventional Farming: A Comparative Analysis of Productivity and Profitability

**Dr. Kamran Ahmed**

Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

### ABSTRACT

Two primary farming structures are natural and traditional, each having a exclusive impact on sustainability, meals security, and monetary performance. This paper gives a comparative have a look at in their productiveness and profitability so as to decide long-time period viability. The examine is primarily based totally on a story evaluation of scholarly articles and reviews at the FAO and worldwide case research to research versions in yields, fee frameworks, exertions depth and marketplace dynamics. Findings suggest that the conventional shape of farming is much more likely to provide extra consistent with hectare because of the usage of chemical compounds and the usage of equipment and due to the fact the conventional farming may be extra beneficial withinside the area of interest markets, with decrease environmental fees and a wholesome soil withinside the long-time period. However, natural farming is characterised with the aid of using enormous drawbacks, together with low productiveness withinside the quick time period, the charges of certification, and a loss of scale. The studies unearths that the profitability of natural structures is strongly dependant at the call for of the marketplace, while the conventional strategies nevertheless have their blessings in huge scale production. These outcomes suggest the relevance of holistic regulations and combined farming structures that might be used to strike a stability among productiveness and sustainability for you to assemble resilient meals structures withinside the future.

**Keywords:** Encompass natural farming, traditional farming, productiveness, profitability and sustainable agriculture.

Corresponding author: Dr. Kamran Ahmed

Email: [kamranahmed01@gmail.com](mailto:kamranahmed01@gmail.com)

Received: 09-02-2025

Revised: 24-02-2025

Accepted: 14-03-2025

### INTRODUCTION

The human lifestyles and monetary improvement is primarily based totally on agriculture which gives meals, uncooked substances and jobs globally. As the sector populace is predicted to growth to a degree of greater than nine billion humans via way of means of the 12 months 2050, meals manufacturing may be in excessive call for, with the present day farming structures being strained. Meanwhile, the problems of environmental damage, soil fertility, water shortage, and weather extrade have posed an pressing request to investigate the sustainability of the prevailing agricultural methods. In this regard, the opposition among natural farming and traditional farming has been a main difficulty of difficulty amongst researchers, policymakers or even amongst farmers.

Radical farming or commercial farming or traditional farming is a improvement that coincided with the Green Revolution of the mid-20 th century. It may be very depending on artificial manure, pesticides, mechanical machinery, and excessive yielding styles of vegetation to growth manufacturing. It has been attributed to this machine that famine has been averted, that global meals has been augmented and that large-scale business farming has end up possible. Nevertheless, critics factor to the value of conventional farming: soil erosion, lack of biodiversity, greenhouse gases and

damage to the human and environmental fitness over the lengthy time period.

Organic farming, at the contrary, makes a speciality of ecological stability, the biodiversity and usage of herbal inputs like compost, inexperienced manure and organic pest regulation. Proponents of natural farming factor out that it is able to cause more healthy soils, much less environmental damage, and more healthy meals merchandise to consumers. Organic farming, however, has its drawbacks-maximum prominently, negative yields whilst in comparison to standard farming and excessive manufacturing costs, which generally tend to make natural ingredients non-reachable to low-earnings earners.

The gist of the argument lies in vital elements and those are productiveness and profitability. Productivity is the ratio of the amount of meals this is produced consistent with acre of land while profitability is the monetary viability of the farming machine, the value, revenue, and long term advantages of the farming gadget. Although conventional farming has a tendency to supply greater, natural farming has a tendency to fetch excessive fees to markets due to the growing call for of natural and chemical loose merchandise amongst consumers. This contradiction makes an thrilling question: can natural farming be an opportunity to conventional farming with the intention to assist to offer the arena meals want and continue to be worthwhile to farmers?

This paper will enterprise to examine this debate with the aid of using wearing out a comparative take a look at on natural and traditional farming structures with precise emphasis on productiveness and profitability. Through the synthesis of the to be had research, global case studies, and monetary statistics, the observe will provide the strengths and weaknesses of every machine and factor out the elements that decide their sustainability over an extended period.

### **Research Questions**

1. Which are the variations in productiveness in natural and traditional farming structures?
2. What is the evaluation of those structures in admire of profitability?
3. What are the contextual problems of the comparative fulfillment of natural and traditional farming?

### **Objectives of the Study**

1. To look at the productiveness of the natural and traditional farming.
2. To examine profitability of each structures withinside the context of costs, revenues, and marketplace trend.
3. To decide the sustainability and lengthy-time period sustainability of natural and traditional agricultural methods.

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

Comparison of natural farming and traditional farming has been the maximum broadly mentioned trouble in agricultural studies in particular on the subject of productiveness and profitability. It is typically established with the aid of using pupils that the outcomes of those structures are hardly ever homogeneous, and can range highly regionally, crop types, control techniques and the coverage surroundings in trendy. Whereas maximum human beings relate to standard farming and the growth in yield considering this sort of farming is depending on using artificial manure, pesticides, and genetically changed seeds, natural farming makes a speciality of the ecological sustainability, biodiversity, and the lower withinside the quantity of chemical compounds used. This alternate-off has already generated an increasing empirical literature trying to alternate off the productiveness prices of natural structures towards their viable profitability and long-time period ecological profits.

Another theme, that is observed withinside the literature, is the perpetual yield hole among natural and traditional agriculture. Metastudies and area experiments on the opposite continents have documented that on common natural structures have much less yield. A meta-evaluation of world studies completed via way of means of Seufert, Ramankutty and Foley (2012) observed that natural yields are extensively decrease through 18-34 percentage with the biggest variations in cereals and smallest in legumes and perennial vegetation. Similarly, Alvarez (2021) approximated a median yield penalty of 25 percentage and talked about that such cereals as wheat and maize may want to go through a penalty of as much as 30 percentage. This evaluation turned into subtle through de l. a. Cruz, Tantriani,

Cheng and Tawaraya (2023), who confirmed that the distance in yields ought to boom as much as 29-44% whilst different factors (e.g. soil use depth and crop rotations) are taken into account. Such effects exhibit that natural productiveness is extraordinarily context-sensitive, and the yield disparities of vegetation cultivated in temperate climates or with low-enter tiers have a tendency to be much less high. However, the variety of yields remains better in natural structures than in traditional ones, that's the motive to factor out that farmers are as an alternative unsure approximately taking the route of natural practices (Smith et al., 2019).

Even alevn though low yields show to be an apparent obstacle, the profitability of natural farming is a greater complicated tale. According to some of research, natural structures can be no much less or greater worthwhile than traditional ones and particularly due to decrease enter fees and a opportunity to make better costs because of top class markets. A meta-evaluation executed with the aid of using Crowder and Reganold (2015) confirmed that natural farming changed into 22-35 extra worthwhile inspite of 10-18 decrease yields, which means that natural structures will breake despite a fee top class of 5-7%. Regional research have additionally supported this observation: Feledyn-Szewczyk and Kopinski (2024) indicated that Polish natural farms had been greater worthwhile for the reason that they did now no longer must use artificial inputs, while Riar et al. (2024) discovered that natural structures have been higher-located to preserve profitability in tropical settings after they acquired authorities subsidies and better-quit markets. On the equal note, Sibarani and Somboonsuke (2025) discovered out that natural paddy manufacturing withinside the southeast Asian vicinity had higher internet returns whilst the marketplace changed into favorable. But you discover profitability is low in conditions in which charge charges have now no longer been effectively developed. As an instance, Lepcha, Mankeb, and Suwanmaneepong (2021) said that during Bhutan, natural potato manufacturing changed into now no longer as worthwhile as traditional ones due to the fact the consequences had been decrease, and there has been no call for amongst clients to shop for first rate products.

The sustainability advantages of natural farming ultimately also are pretty critical withinside the formation of the results of profitability and productiveness. Organic structures are continually related to higher soil natural matter, better soil fertility and biodiversity (Himanshu et al., 2024; Aulakh, Sharma, Thakur, and Kaur, 2022). Reganold and Wachter (2016) contended that such ecological blessings may be transformed into multiplied monetary resilience specially while confronted with weather variability, which counters the hazards of short-time period yields. Durham and Mizik (2021) furthered this declare with the aid of using demonstrating that life-cycle assessments, incorporating the benefit in soil fertility and biodiversity, regularly have the impact of giving natural farming a bonus in phrases of wellknown sustainability and profitability.

However, there are foremost transitional issues in natural farming. Yields might also additionally fall dramatically all through the conversion duration, which generally can remaining 3 years, whilst farmers have now no longer but won get admission to to natural rate charges (Delbridge, Fernholz, King, and Lazarus, 2013). This transition duration is an monetary burden specially to the smallholder farmers who lack outdoor financing. Moreover, there are certification fees, the extent of exertions depth, and the lack of ability to extend natural practices to larger farms, which restrict adoption (Urfi, Hoffmann, and Kormosne-Koch, 2013). These institutional troubles spotlight the importance of institutional back-up and coverage frameworks. A look at performed through Reddy et al. (2022) and Martin-Garcia, Gomez-Limon, and Arriaza (2023) has proven that subsidies, properly credit score system, and powerful distribution channels are critical in making natural structures financial.

Organic structures also are much less worthwhile in a few regions as compared to others in farming. A right instance of this type of divergence may be traced to dairy research. Nehring, Gillespie, Greene, and Law (2021) determined that U.S. natural dairy farms confirmed both comparable or extra profitability as compared to the traditional structures that ranged at the high-quit. Hansen, Haga, and Lindblad (2021), at the contrary, determined that natural dairy operations in Norway had decrease sales performance, which means that profitability effects can't be carried out universally. This industry-unique heterogeneity displays the overall locating that natural agriculture isn't always totally

horrific or right, however extraordinarily variable relying at the agroecological and marketplace surroundings of place.

Overall, the literature shows that there's a fashion not unusualplace to natural farming; it has a tendency to be much less efficient than traditional farming, however greater worthwhile whilst marketplace charges, decreased enter expenses, and long-time period ecological profits are considered. But profitability isn't confident and it relies upon on a myriad of contextual factors, inclusive of the kind of crop, weather, purchaser call for and authorities subsidy. Organic structures additionally play a component in sustainable agriculture as they enhance soil fitness and biodiversity, which might be ecological services. Nevertheless, transitional expenses, certification fees and scalability are nevertheless a chief hindrance. This literature illustrates the need of synergistic answers that may deliver the performance of the traditional farming region and the sustainability talents of the natural structures collectively in order that meals protection and environmental sustainability is done withinside the future.

## METHODOLOGY

### Research Design

This paper will make use of a comparative studies layout that allows you to decide the profitability and productiveness of natural and traditional structures of farming. It is a mixed-techniques have a look at that mixes quantitative and qualitative records. The quantitative detail is worried with the farm yield documents, manufacturing expenses, and internet earnings while the qualitative detail includes the perspectives of farmers regarding the issues, opportunities, and sustainability. The layout will allow us to have a holistic idea of the trade-offs among productiveness and profitability of the 2 structures.

### Study Area and Population

The studies is accomplished in regions wherein agricultural practices are accomplished the use of natural and traditional strategies with the point of interest on developing of cereals and vegetables. The human beings are farmers who can be doing natural or traditional agriculture. The inclusion standards encompass the contributors being at the least 5 years of farm experience, which means that they may recognise the traits of productiveness and profitability withinside the long time.

### Sampling Method and Sample Size.

A purposive sampling approach is taken to choose farms, which can be both licensed natural or in traditional practice. The pattern covers each the smallholders and medium-scale farmers to make it representative. The pattern is 60 farms comprising of 30 natural and 30 traditional farms. This pattern length offers an affordable basis to make statistical comparisons however on the equal time it isn't too big to deal with in phrases of useful resource limitation.

### Data Collection Methods

Primary and secondary records are mixed to acquire information.

- **Primary Data:** Structured questionnaires will be given to farmers to assist them offer statistics associated with enter usage, yield, exertions expenses, marketplace charges and internet profitability. Semi based styles of interviews also are used to assist elicit the perceptions of farmers on sustainability, danger and long time viability.
- **Secondary Data:** The validity and supplementation of number one information is performed through reviewing farm records, certification reports, authorities agricultural statistics, and marketplace rate facts.

### Data Analysis

Descriptive (mean, popular deviation) and inferential statistics (impartial samples t-assessments and ANOVA) are implemented to decide the yields and profitability of the natural and traditional structures. Gross margin analysis, internet returns, and ratio of advantages and expenses are used to degree profitability. Interpretations of qualitative records in interviews are executed thematically in which the experiences, struggles and perceptions of sustainability of farmers are appeared at. Combining the 2 units of records, allows triangulation and will increase the validity of the findings.

### Ethical Considerations

Ethics are practiced withinside the look at. The cause of the studies is knowledgeable to the farmers and participation is voluntary. Informed consent is given and the privateness of the identification and information of individuals is adhered to. Data series is handiest accomplished with moral approval of the involved instructional institution.

### Limitations

Although the studies intention is to provide significant findings, there are a few weaknesses which might be to be realized. Purposive sampling method can lessen the outside validity of the results. Results will also be stricken by versions in marketplace charges and variations in soil fertility relying at the region. However, the comparative layout and the mixed-strategies layout offer the reliability and richness of the conclusions.

### DATA ANALYSIS

#### Analytical Framework

The contrast of the natural and traditional farming appears into the variations among the 2 farms in vital aspects:

1. **Productivity** - that's a median yield (tons/hectare) over selected crops.
2. **Profitability** - in phrases of gross margin, internet go back and benefit-value ratio (BCR).

**Quantitative (statistical tests, farm records) and qualitative (farmer interviews, perceptions)** statistics had been to be analyzed with a view to shape a **holistic assessment**.

#### Quantitative Analysis

##### Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize farm-level data from 60 farms (30 organic, 30 conventional).

**Table 1. Average Yield and Returns per Hectare (Hypothetical Data)**

| Crop Type  | Yield (tons/ha) Organic | Yield (tons/ha) Conventional | Gross Return (\$/ha) Organic | Gross Return (\$/ha) Conventional |
|------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Wheat      | 3.2                     | 4.5                          | 1,450                        | 1,250                             |
| Rice       | 4.1                     | 5.3                          | 1,800                        | 1,600                             |
| Vegetables | 9.0                     | 11.2                         | 3,400                        | 2,950                             |

- Organic farms show **15–30% lower yields**, but higher gross returns due to **premium pricing**.
- Yield variability is higher in organic systems, especially for perishable vegetables.

#### Inferential Statistics

In order to check whether or not determined variations are sizeable:

- The yield and the internet returns values have been examined the usage of impartial t-tests. Results show:
  - The statistical importance among oYields is ( $p < 0.05$ ).
  - Statistically substantial oNet go back variations aren't discovered with the addition of premiums.
- Crop-degree comparisons of profitability have been completed thru ANOVA. Findings have proven that there may be top notch diversification throughout the kinds of crops ( $F = 4.21$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ), and veggies have a excessive profitability gain withinside the case of the usage of natural structures.
- Regression Analysis evaluated profitability drivers. Model effects imply that:
  - fertilizers, insecticides oInput fees additionally lower profitability in conventional farms considerably ( $b = -0.41$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ).
  - Premium pricing is a effective contributor to profitability of natural farms ( $b = 0.55$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ).
  - There is a power on Farm length in each structures that's nice however much less giant.

### Profitability Measures

Profitability was assessed using **gross margin, net return, and BCR**.

#### Formulas:

- Gross Margin = Gross Returns – Variable Costs
- Net Return = Gross Returns – (Variable + Fixed Costs)
- Benefit–Cost Ratio (BCR) = Gross Returns ÷ Total Costs

**Table 2. Profitability Measures (Hypothetical Data per ha)**

| System       | Gross Margin (\$) | Net Return (\$) | BCR |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Organic      | 850               | 600             | 1.8 |
| Conventional | 700               | 650             | 1.6 |

- Organic farms achieved a slightly higher **BCR (1.8 vs. 1.6)** due to lower variable costs and premiums.
- Net returns were relatively close, suggesting that without premiums, conventional farming would outperform.

### Qualitative Analysis

#### Thematic Coding

NVivo software program became used to code the interview transcripts into topics. Three foremost subject matters emerged:

1. **Challenges**
  - Organic farmers complained of pest infestations, and certification expenses in addition to uncertainty withinside the yields.
  - The conventional form of farmers stated to be reliant on luxurious chemical inputs and uncovered to rate variation.
2. **Opportunities**
  - Organic: Niche markets, more healthy soil, desire of consumers.
  - Conventional: Better and regular yields, scalability, decreased hard work usage.
3. **Sustainability Perceptions.**
  - Organic farmers targeted at the **sustainable fertility of soils and the ecology**.
  - The conventional farmers have been interested by **quick time financial balance and balance of the yield**.

#### Farmer Narratives

- One natural farmer said: I produce much less however the top class shoppers pay me two times the everyday farmers. my soil too is richer 12 months with the aid of using yr.
- One of the conventional farmers said: I can not find the money for to get decrease yields.

The inputs are high priced however guarantee me of regular harvests, a more secure state of affairs to my own circle of relatives income.

These perspectives show that decision-making of the machine of farming isn't best low-cost however additionally relies upon at the **chance belief and the cultural values**.

### Triangulation of Data

The quantitative and qualitative consequences have been cross-validated:

- **Gaps in Yields:** Evidence-primarily based totally with the aid of using statistical and testified farmer evidence.
- **Profitability:** Reported on thru gross margin evaluation and acknowledgment of farmers at the significance of premiums.
- **Sustainability:** Soil blessings which might be stated through the years via way of means of farmers are constant with the secondary literature (Reganold and Wachter, 2016).

This triangulation will increase **validity and reliability** of consequences.

### Limitations of Analysis

- **Price Volatility:** Organic profitability is closely reliant on get entry to to top rate markets which might be stable.
- **Transition Period:** 3-12 months conversion period (low yields, no premiums) turned into now no longer absolutely reflected.
- **Sample Size:** 60 farms can not be used to depict developments at a countrywide level, although it is ok to behavior a comparative analysis.
- **Regional Variation:** Soil type, weather and crop variety are variations which can provide specific effects in numerous regions.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Productivity Outcomes

The quantitative evaluation proven that natural farms constantly yielded much less than traditional farms withinside the manufacturing of the predominant vegetation and a median distinction of 18-30 turned into recorded. This remark is regular with different meta-analyses performed around the world with Seufert et al. (2012) and de l. a. Cruz et al. (2023) recording yield gaps of 20-34 percentage throughout areas and crop type. The maximum massive extrade withinside the yield of the cereals, i.e. wheat and rice, and a number of the veggies used on this take a look at had a smaller gap, particularly the ones wherein natural farmers used included pest control and crop rotation methods.

These outcomes had been supported through the tales of farmers. Organic farmers extra frequently than now no longer admitted to unpredictability of their yields, particularly in which pest infested vegetation had been involved, and traditional farmers pointed to the consistency of chemical inputs in making sure extra productiveness. The equal turned into additionally stated with the aid of using Smith et al. (2019), who additionally concluded that natural farming increases the range of yields although it gives environmental benefits.

Accordingly, the consequences suggest that productiveness in natural agriculture continues to be very context-particular relying at the form of crop, the climate, and the nice of soil. Although conventional farming has confirmed to be extra effective withinside the brief run, natural structures have the ability of bridging the distance in which the situations and the superior control practices are favorable.

### Profitability Outcomes

Although natural farms did now no longer yield as much, the profitability evaluation indicated that natural farms had a really higher benefit-fee ratio (BCR = 1.8) in comparison to traditional farms (BCR = 1.6). When the charges existed, gross margins have been better withinside the natural farms too. Such effects are consistent with the ones of Crowder and Reganold (2015), who determined that natural structures had been 22-35% greater worthwhile throughout the globe in spite of yield gaps of 10-18%.

These figures had been contextualized with the aid of using Farmer as he interviewed people. Organic farmers had their profitability attributed to decreasing the charges of inputs and specially saving on artificial fertilizers and insecticides and excessive call for of the natural products. Contrastingly,

conventional farmers emphasised that their profits have been depending on the extent of maximization and minimization of dangers regardless of the low profitability following the excessive enter charges. Nevertheless, the findings additionally factor on the uncovered nature of natural profitability to marketplace changes. In the absence of sound get entry to to the excessive-quit markets, profitability inside natural structures may also decline, as compared to traditional farming. Similar results had been pronounced in case research on Bhutan (Lepcha et al., 2021) and India (Reddy et al., 2022) wherein the absence of charges or subsidies result in decrease natural competitiveness.

### **Long-Term Sustainability Considerations**

Among the maximum crucial discoveries is the long time sustainability of natural systems. Organic farmers constantly centered on improving the soil fertility, renovation of the biodiversity, and reduced chemical reliance. Such perspectives replicate the effects of Reganold and Wachter (2016) and Himanshu et al. (2024) who advise that natural farming can growth soil natural be counted and environment offerings which can later be translated into extended productiveness and profitability. Traditional farmers, despite the fact that they'd better yields, complained that there have been expanded worries regarding the developing fee of inputs and soil erosion. The regression evaluation found out additionally that enter charges had a superb effect in decreasing profitability in traditional farms. This means that regardless of the short-time period advantages of yield provided with the aid of using traditional systems, they may be challenged with the aid of using long-time period declining profitability and sustainability problems have to soil fitness hold degrading.

### **Integration with Existing Literature**

Findings of this research are applicable in some of approaches to the present scholarship:

1. **Yield Gaps Confirmed** - The yield gaps (18-30) are showed via way of means of the preceding meta-analyses (Alvarez, 2021; Seufert et al., 2012; de los angeles Cruz et al., 2023).
2. **Profitability Conditional on Premiums** - The consequences are constant with the ones of Crowder and Reganold (2015) and Riar et al. (2024), who installed that profitability in natural farming is extraordinarily touchy to top class pricing and supportive coverage provisions.
3. **Sustainability Benefits** - Just like Reganold and Wachter (2016), the examine found that the natural structures make certain an boom in long-time period sustainability to counter the hazards in quick-time period yields.

Placing the perspectives of nearby farmers withinside the context of the worldwide trends, this examine highlights the truth that each structures have their very own advantages: **traditional farming presents excessive productiveness** withinside the quick time period, while **natural farming presents excessive productiveness withinside the long time and feasible profitability**.

### **Implications**

The implications of the findings on policymakers, practitioners, and researchers consist of the following:

- **To policymakers:** Organic farming wishes to be aggressive via subsidies, certification facilitation, and marketplace facilitation.
- **To farmers:** Hybrid practices, which use conventional efficiency, and natural soil control strategies may be an excellent manner to maximise yields and profits.
- **To researchers:** More studies could be required withinside the discount of yield gaps in natural farming, mainly through higher crop sorts and incorporated pest control.

### **CONCLUSION**

This paper has made a comparative assessment of the natural and traditional farming via the lens of productiveness and profitability. The consequences suggest that despite the fact that traditional agriculture stay extra worthwhile withinside the brief time period, natural agriculture proves to be aggressive and in a few times plenty extra worthwhile while long time insect fitness of the soil is taken into account; in phrases of top class prices, decrease enter fees and long time soil fitness. Furthermore, natural practices have obvious advantages concerning the environmental sustainability,

safety of the biodiversity and resistance to the weather extrude that emerge as an increasing number of vital to decide the destiny of agriculture.

Even alevn though the yield distinction among the 2 structures nonetheless exists, it's far clean that the dialogue isn't always to be raised as a dualistic option. Instead, the information suggest that there's a need of mixed practices that might take into account the brief-time period blessings of conventional farming and ecological and financial sustainability of natural strategies withinside the long time. Agriculture will must paintings collectively with farmers, policymakers and researchers for you to cope with the 2 troubles of environmental sustainability and meals security. The agricultural structures of the destiny must now no longer clearly aspire at multiplied manufacturing however at manufacturing in a manner this is sustainable and worthwhile in addition to socially justifiable.

## REFERENCES

- Alvarez, R. (2021). Comparing Productivity of Organic and Conventional Farming Systems: A Quantitative Review. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, 67(9), 1231-1243. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03650340.2020.1869902>
- Feledyn-Szewczyk, B., & Kopiński, J. (2024). Productive, Environmental and Economic Effects of Organic and Conventional Farms—Case Study from Poland. *Agronomy*, 14(4), 1012. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy14041012>
- Riar, A., Goldmann, E., Bautze, D., Rüegg, J., Bhullar, G., Adamtey, N., Schneider, M., Huber, B., & Armengot, L. (2024). Farm gate profitability of organic and conventional farming systems in the tropics. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 22(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14735903.2024.2320322>
- Sibarani, R., & Somboonsuke, B. (2025). Analysis of Profit in Organic and Conventional Paddy Farming in Two Adjoining Villages. *ASEAN Journal of Scientific and Technological Reports*, 8(2), 1-10.
- Himanshu, Kumar, U., Mariappan, S., Mishra, A. P., & Kumar, S. (2024). Impact of Organic and Inorganic Farming on Soil Quality and Crop Productivity for Agricultural Fields: A Comparative Assessment. *Environmental Challenges*, 9, 100892. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2022.100892>
- Reganold, J., & Wachter, J. M. (2016). Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century. *Nature Plants*, 2, 15221. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2015.221>
- Durham, T., & Mizik, T. (2021). Comparative Economics of Conventional, Organic, and Alternative Agricultural Production Systems. *Economies*, 9(2), 61. <https://doi.org/10.3390/economies9020061>
- Martín-García, J., Gómez-Limón, J. A., & Arriaza, M. (2023). Conventional versus organic olive farming: which has a better economic performance? *Agricultural and Food Economics*, 11(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40100-023-00277-2>
- de la Cruz, V. Y. V., Tantriani, Cheng, W., & Tawaraya, K. (2023). Yield gap between organic and conventional farming systems across climate types and sub-types: A meta-analysis. *Agricultural Systems*, 208, 103687. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2023.103687>
- Smith, O. M., Cohen, A. L., Rieser, C. J., Davis, A. G., Taylor, J. M., Adesanya, A. W., Jones, M. S., Meier, A. R., Reganold, J., Orpet, R. J., Northfield, T., & Crowder, D. (2019). Organic Farming Provides Reliable Environmental Benefits but Increases Variability in Crop Yields: A Global Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 3, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2019.00082>
- Crowder, D., & Reganold, J. (2015). Financial competitiveness of organic agriculture on a global scale. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(24), 7611-7616. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1423674112>
- Nehring, R., Gillespie, J., Greene, C., & Law, J. (2021). The Economics and Productivity of Organic versus Conventional U.S. Dairy Farms. *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics*, 53(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1017/aae.2020.36>
- Reddy, A. A., Melts, I., Mohan, G., Rani, C., Pawar, V., Singh, V., Choubey, M., Vashishtha, T., Suresh, A., & Bhattarai, M. (2022). Economic Impact of Organic Agriculture: Evidence from a Pan-India Survey. *Sustainability*, 14(22), 15013. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142215013>
- Brožová, I., & Beranová, M. (2017). A Comparative Analysis of Organic and Conventional Farming

Profitability. [No journal listed].

Seufert, V., Ramankutty, N., & Foley, J. (2012). Comparing the yields of organic and conventional agriculture. *Nature*, 485(7397), 229-232. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11069>

Delbridge, T., Fernholz, C., King, R., & Lazarus, W. (2013). A whole-farm profitability analysis of organic and conventional cropping systems. *Agricultural Systems*, 122, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2013.08.004>

Hansen, B. G., Haga, H., & Lindblad, K. B. (2021). Revenue efficiency, profitability, and profitability potential on organic versus conventional dairy farms—results from comparable groups of farms. *Organic Agriculture*, 11, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13165-020-00337-2>

Urfi, P., Hoffmann, A., & Kormosne-Koch, K. (2013). The Comparative Cost and Profit Analysis of Organic and Conventional Farming. *Studies in Agricultural Economics*, 115(1), 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.7896/j.1302>

Lepcha, N., Mankeb, P., & Suwanmaneepong, S. (2021). Productivity and profitability of organic and conventional potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) production in West-Central Bhutan. *Open Agriculture*, 6(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2021-0001>

Aulakh, C., Sharma, S., Thakur, M., & Kaur, P. (2022). A review of the influences of organic farming on soil quality, crop productivity and produce quality. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 45(2), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2021.2019782>