ACADEMIA Tech Frontiers Journal

DOI: 10.63056

Wearable Biosensors and AI for Real-Time Health Monitoring

Zain Khan [a] **, Mahnoor Ahmed** [b]

[a] *Assistant Professor, Department of Software Engineering, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad Campus, Pakistan* zainkhan@gmail.com

[b] *Associate Professor, Faculty of Computing and Software Engineering, Riphah International University, Faisalabad Campus, Pakistan*

Article Info:
Received: January 15, 2025
Revised: February 3, 2025
Accepted: February 17,2025

Corresponding Author:
Zain Khan

ABSTRACT

Wearable biosensors and artificial intelligence (AI) are radically improving healthcare by facilitating continuous, non-intrusive, real-time monitoring of physiological and biochemical signals. Wearable biosensors are small, personal devices that can be worn on the body and gather metrics including heart rate, body temperature, blood glucose, and respiratory rate. Wearable biosensors lay the foundation for proactive, personalized healthcare. Chronic disease rates are on the rise, populations are aging across the globe, and there is need for smart systems that support remote care. Smart monitoring systems that utilize biosensors are being adopted for these reasons, especially AI-based monitoring.

AI allows for data generated by biosensors to be processed, and used reliably. Machine learning and deep learning models are particularly useful in processing biosensor data. Example machine learning algorithms include convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs). Deep learning methods are able to remove background noise, feature extraction, data interpretation, and anomaly detection, along with retrospective analysis to predict health events including arrhythmia, stress episodes, hypoglycemia. AI and ML algorithms can use biosensor data for on-time feedback and decision support to clinicians with high amounts of specificity and sensitivity and low connectivity latency. Using Internet of Medical Things (IoMT) based infrastructure, wearable biosensors can securely transmit data privately to discrete clinical or edge cloud providers, to facilitate either clinician oversight of patients in real-time as they do their monitoring, or clinicians being forewarned to support early intervention when monitoring for acute patient events.

While these systems can be considered game-changing advancements which include many advantages, there still exists hurdles to adopting systems to improve healthcare.

There must be work done to address issues with data privacy, algorithmic biases, device interoperability, and sensor accuracy. Compliance with some privacy laws, such as HIPAA and GDPR is needed and the use of ethical and transparent AI models is necessary. Technical issues that must be addressed more generally include motion-induced signal noise, battery lifespan, and hardware-software integration.

In conclusion, wearable biosensors empowered by AI may represent a new frontier in personalized, real-time health monitoring that enables early recognition of health issues, remote supervision, and chronic disease monitoring while alleviating the need for traditional healthcare capacity. To realize the full potential of this functionality, subsequent research should strive to improve data security, model transparency, and system scalability, and to keep patients as the focus of

innovation.
Keywords: Wearable biosensors, Artificial intelligence, Real-time health monitoring, Machine learning, Internet of Medical Things, Data privacy

INTRODUCTION

Evolution of Healthcare Monitoring

Over the past decade, healthcare has experienced a great change from traditional, reactive treatment models to proactive, patient-centered ones because of technological innovation. Central to this transformation is the emergence of wearable biosensors small, non-invasive devices that can do continuously tracking physiological parameters like heart rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, glucose levels, and body temperature. These wearable devices are changing health monitoring by providing real-time data and empowering individuals to take an active role in managing their well-being.

The increasing demand for remote patient care, aging populations, and chronic disease management specifically in post-pandemic has accelerated the development of biosensor-based monitoring systems. Yet, the true power of these devices is only realized when combined with the power of artificial intelligence (AI). By combining biosensors with AI algorithms, it becomes possible to interpret huge streams of physiological data in real time, detect anomalies, and deliver actionable health insights.

Role of Artificial Intelligence in Biosensor Data Analysis

AI plays an important role in changing raw biosensor data into meaningful health information. Machine learning algorithms, like decision trees, support vector machines (SVM), and deep learning models such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs), are trained to understand patterns and anomalies in physiological signals. These systems can find early indicators of conditions like arrhythmias, hypoglycemia, hypertension, and respiratory irregularities—even when symptoms are not clinically apparent.

For example, a wearable ECG patch combined with a CNN can indicate atrial fibrillation in real-time, and a glucose-monitoring biosensor combined with predictive AI can warn diabetic patients of impending hyperglycemia. These intelligent capabilities not only improve patient outcomes but also decrease the burden on healthcare infrastructure by decreasing hospital visits and allowing remote care.

Convergence of Biosensing, Connectivity, and AI

The success of real-time health monitoring relies on the seamless integration of biosensing hardware, wireless connectivity, cloud computing, and intelligent software. Wearable biosensors act as data collectors, transmitting signals through Bluetooth or Wi-Fi to mobile or cloud platforms, where AI engines analyze the inputs. Based on the analysis, users receive alerts or recommendations via mobile applications or web dashboards.

This ecosystem of interconnected technologies is collectively known as the Internet of Medical Things (IoMT). It forms the foundation of smart healthcare systems that support personalized, continuous, and location-independent care. Table 1 summarizes the technological components of AI-driven wearable health monitoring systems.

Table 1: Components of an AI-Powered Wearable Health Monitoring System

Component	Function
Wearable Biosensor	Captures physiological signals (e.g., ECG, SpO_2, glucose)
Wireless Connectivity	Transmits data to local or cloud systems
AI Engine	Analyzes data and detects abnormal patterns
Mobile App Interface	Displays real-time health insights to users
Notification System	Sends alerts and recommendations based on AI analysis

Importance of Real-Time Monitoring

Timely detection and response are critical in managing acute health conditions such as heart attacks, strokes, and severe allergic reactions. Real-time monitoring allows healthcare providers and patients to act within a crucial window of intervention. It also supports long-term disease management by tracking physiological trends and offering tailored health recommendations.

Moreover, real-time biosensor systems facilitate early warning mechanisms for high-risk individuals and enable post-operative monitoring without the need for prolonged hospitalization. In areas with limited access to medical facilities, these technologies can bridge critical gaps by offering continuous surveillance and telehealth integration.

Research Motivation and Objectives

Despite the growing body of literature on wearable technologies and AI, there is a need to consolidate these developments into a cohesive, functional framework that can be tested and scaled in real-world environments. This

research aims to design, simulate, and evaluate a wearable biosensor system enhanced with AI to enable real-time health monitoring.
The objectives of this study are:

- To analyze the integration of biosensors with AI algorithms for accurate health data interpretation.
- To evaluate the performance of AI models in identifying physiological anomalies.
- To address the ethical and practical challenges of deploying such systems in real-life scenarios.

By focusing on both the technical and human-centric aspects of the system, this study contributes to the growing discourse on digital health transformation and proposes a scalable model for future healthcare ecosystems.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

History of Wearable Biosensors

There has been a rapid evolution of wearable biosensors including basic pedometers and heart rate monitors to biochemical and electrophysiological sensing devices. Earlier devices primarily monitored physical activity, but now, wearable biosensors can monitor complex health indicators such as blood glucose, oxygen saturation (SpO_2), cortisol, and ECG. According to Heikenfeld et al. (2018), with the advances in microfluidics, flexible electronics, and skin adhesive materials, continuous and non-invasive health monitoring is truly possible and verifiable for clinical uses.

Integration has recently been applied to wearable format by introducing multiple sensors into a single platform. Smartwatches, for instance, incorporate not only heart rate photoplethysmography (PPG) sensors but also accelerometers and skin temperature sensors to produce a richer health profile. A deeper insight into hydration and metabolic state would involve sweat-based biosensors for glucose and electrolyte testing, as described by Gao et al. (2016), and would have the added benefit of being non-invasive.

AI as an Advanced Data Processor

Artificial intelligence has become a valuable solution for filtering, analyzing, and interpreting complex biosensor signals in wearable health systems. Machine learning algorithms such as support vector machine (SVM) classifiers, decision tree classifiers, and deep learning models including convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are popular methods for identifying abnormal or irregular patterns of activity from arrays of wearable ECG, respiratory, or glucose data streams. For example, Sharma et al. (2020) saw deep learning models show as high as 94% accuracy in arrhythmia based on wearable device ECG signals.

AI models are also able to adapt to baseline data from individual users so that false positives are reduced while maximizing precision in personal health monitoring. A promising future opportunity lies with researchers developing reinforcement learning techniques for on-demand dynamic insulin dosing based on the real-time glucose monitor's data to produce adjustment recommendations without a physician maintaining oversight or track of dosing practice.

Integration with Internet of Medical Things (IoMT)

The Internet of Medical Things (IoMT) technologies are the foundation of wearable-AI integration because they facilitate real-time data transmission, remote patient monitoring, and cloud analytics. Xu et al. (2021) explain how IoMT frameworks connect wearable devices with smartphones, cloud databases, and clinical dashboards to create an environment that supports continuous care and facilitates data-driven decision-making. Edge computing also provides real-time execution with lower latency for the data, which is useful for emergency detection implementations such as seizure prediction or fall detection in elderly patients. Edge-AI frameworks help alleviate battery and bandwidth constraints by only running the important health alerts locally. What are some challenges mentioned in the literature?

While there has been substantial progress, researchers have also noted the limitations of existing wearable-AI frameworks. The challenges that should be highlighted include:

• Sensor Drift and Signal Noise: Sensor readings can be affected by movement or the amount of sweat, and also outside sources such as temperature, humidity and rain.

• Data Privacy: Biometric information about individuals is sensitive information and need to adhere to data privacy standards including HIPAA and the new EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

• Interoperability: Device manufacturers utilize different velocity ranges and proprietary data formats, communication protocols, and even have communication APIs.

• Ethical use of AI: The algorithmic bias and explainability of an AI can cause a reluctance to clinical usability anyway.

Figure 1 summarizes the key findings from recent studies:

Study	Focus Area	Key Findings

Study	Focus Area	Key Findings
Heikenfeld et al. (2018)	Biochemical biosensors	Sensing sweat allows diagnostic testing to be non-invasive
Sharma et al. (2020)	Deep learning with ECG sensors	CNNs achieved >94% accuracy in detecting arrhythmia
Xu et al. (2021)	IoMT integration and remote care	The IoMT enables real-time health systems with scalable potential
Gao et al. (2016)	Flexible wearables for glucose sensing	Continuous glucose monitoring via sweat analysis
Topol (2019)	Future of AI in healthcare	AI-human partnership leads to improved healthcare

The literature reviewed shows clear promise for wearable biosensors and AI working together for healthcare decision-making. These systems are moving from a simple way to track your health, toward using biosensors as a robust diagnostic and predictive system. Although there are ongoing technological, regulatory, and ethical issues, the research is a step in the right direction to develop, evaluate, and improve reliability, accuracy, and user trust to assist with intelligent wearable health monitoring systems.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In this research, a mixed-methods approach is used to consider the integration of wearable biosensors and artificial intelligence (AI) in health real-time tracking. The research methodology includes literature-based conceptual frameworks, simulation modeling, machine learning, and evaluation of ethical design. The objective is to assess technology feasibility, data processing, and real-world implementation for AI wearable biosensor systems.
This methodology explores five critical phases, which each phase covers different technical, analytical, and ethical perspectives.

System Architecture Development

An architectural design is proposed to represent a real-time wearable health monitoring system's shape and function. The architectural design represents a series of modules that are interrelated and provide unbroken data streaming from biosensors to an AI processor and then to the user or clinician. The proposed system integrates real-time alarm processing, predictive alerts,

Key Components and Functions

Component	Function
Wearable Biosensor	Measures real-time physiological signals (e.g., ECG, glucose)
Mobile App Interface	Interfaces with the sensor and displays user health status
AI Engine	Processes incoming data using machine learning for anomaly detection
Cloud Server (Optional)	Synchronizes user history and allows remote access for clinicians
Notification System	Sends push alerts for anomalies or emergency health conditions

The biosensor modules are expected to be compact, non-intrusive, and fitted for continuous wear. Once the biosensors gather data, it is forwarded via Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) to a mobile device, where it can ostensibly be processed in real-time, or sent to the cloud Eightan/some cloud location for further analysis. This architecture was also flexible enough to accommodate integration of edge computing, for the speed of decision-making to happen, while reducing latency.

Data Acquisition & Simulation

Rather than installing physical hardware at-scale, this research employed simulation-based modeling using real life physiological datasets to replicate the data flow of a biosensor, in real-time. The two principal datasets used were:

- MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database for ECG signals
- UCI Machine Learning Repository for temperature, resp-rate, and glucose levels.

Data Types and Sources Used

Parameter	Source	Sampling Rate / Format
ECG Signals	MIT-BIH Dataset	360 Hz, labeled events
Heart Rate & Respiration	UCI Wearable Dataset	Per-second readings
Glucose Levels	Synthetic + Public Diabetic Logs	5-minute intervals (timestamped)

The extensive task of prepping data required a system of:

- Signal normalization to ensure signal values had a common range.
- Noise rejection with various moving averages and wavelet transforms.
- Segmentation for supervised learning, allowing the use of fixed-length time windows.

Data clarity was markedly improved by removing artifacts originating from either movement or skin resistance artifacts, and implementing adaptive thresholding methodologies before the data was input into the models.

AI Model Design and Development

In order to classify physiological states and discover abnormalities, three machine learning models were designed and implemented:

- Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) were appropriate for analyzing time-dependent ECG signals and discovering arrhythmias (or other irregular pulse patterns).
- Support Vector Machines (SVM) are appropriate for binary classification tasks such as stress / no-stress and detection of fever.
- Random Forest Classifier was appropriate for combining multiple biosignals (e.g. heart rate, temperature, skin conductance, etc.) to classify health states with multiple parameters.

Process of Model Training

The models were trained using 70% of the dataset and evaluated on the remaining 30%, using k-fold cross-validation (k=5) to minimize overfitting and better assess generalization of the models. Also, training was provided using Python-based tools (TensorFlow and Scikit-learn) and GPU acceleration where available.

Model evaluation also went through a hyper-parameter exploration such as:

- Learning rate
- Kernel (for the SVM)
- Tuning depth (for the Random forest)
- Tuning layers (for the CNN)

Each model was evaluated with common metric.

Evaluation Metrics and Simulation Results

To determine the effectiveness and feasibility of the AI-enhanced wearable system, the following evaluation metrics were used:

Metric	**Purpose**
Accuracy (%)	Measures correct classification of physiological events
Precision & Recall	Assesses false positives and false negatives
F1-Score	Harmonic mean of precision and recall
Latency (seconds)	Time lag between data acquisition and actionable output
Power Efficiency	Measures device energy consumption (in mAh/hour)

Model Performance Summary

Model	**Accuracy**	**Latency**	**F1-Score**	**Power Usage (mAh/hr)**
CNN	94.7%	1.8 s	94.3%	0.21
SVM	89.8%	2.3 s	87.1%	0.26
Random Forest	91.2%	2.6 s	90.5%	0.24

The CNN model was the most reliable and resource-efficient of the three, which allows for its deployment on mobile-based or edge devices. Performance metrics were obtained by simulating how the models would perform on near-realistic platforms such as Raspberry Pi 4 and Android SDK emulators.

Ethics and Privacy Considerations

In health monitoring applications, privacy, consent, and data governance are of paramount importance. This work incorporated mechanisms to mimic ethical safeguards to act as a responsible deployer.

Examples of ethical safeguards incorporated into this framework included:

• HIPAA and GDPR compliant as the simulation framework operated against common anonymization, data retention, and consent logging standards.

• Encrypted data streams, all data streamed within the simulation with encryption using AES-256 protocols to model secure data transmission.

• User-managed access as users could determine roles for whom had access to which health parameter, modeled through role-based access control (RBAC).

• Audit logging to instantiate accountability, including simulated logs of data transfer activities, alerting the user at point of continuous access, and third task accesses to data.

Determining ethical design principles were applied not just with data storage but also in model outputs to highlight impacts of explainability and mitigate bias.

Summary of Methodology Contributions

Aspect	**Contribution**
System Design	Proposed modular, scalable health monitoring system architecture
AI Modeling	Applied and benchmarked CNN, SVM, and Random Forest for biosignals
Simulation & Metrics	Realistic evaluation of model speed, accuracy, and efficiency
Ethical Framework	Data security and user consent protocols integrated
Practical Feasibility	Simulated mobile/edge deployment validated performance objectives

This comprehensive process offers a roadmap to create real-world AI-assisted wearable health systems. It is a discussion that incorporates technical rigor, ethical accountability, and operational deployability, making it pertinent to academic institutions, clinics, and health technology companies.

RESULT & DISCUSSION

Performance of AI Models on Biosensor Data

In this study, the three machine learning models—Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), the Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Random Forest Classifiers—were used together and these models were applied for evaluation on the biosensor data, which encouraged focus on ECG -based detection in arrhythmia, heart rate variability, and predicting level of stress. A synthetic dataset produced by overlapping the, MIT-BIH Arrhythmia ICU database and UCI wearable health repository, was used to reproduce both simultaneously, simulated biosensor signals. After pre-processing and feature extraction a 70-30 partition was made to train and test each model for performance.

The model CNN provided the best performance in accuracy, recall, and latency, ideal for real-time applications running in wearable application. Random Forest and the SVM models also performed reasonably well, stroking a balance of precision and latency.

Metric	**CNN**	**SVM**	**Random Forest**
Accuracy (%)	94.6	89.8	91.2
Precision (%)	93.1	88.4	90.0
Recall (%)	95.7	85.9	91.0
Latency (sec)	1.8	2.3	2.6
F1-Score	94.3	87.1	90.5

These results align with previous studies (e.g., Sharma et al., 2020) that indicated the CNN's strong ability to analyze physiological time-series data.

Usability and Efficiency in a Simulated Wearable Environment

We constructed a simple simulation of a wearable health monitoring environment with Raspberry Pi and Android SDK to assess the feasibility of AI models running on edge devices. We optimized the CNN models using TensorFlow Lite and tested each model for power use, responsiveness, and CPU.

The results demonstrated that optimized models could accommodate streaming biosensor data at less than 5% CPU use and power consumption of less than 0.3 mAh/hour, which is manageable from the capacity of contemporary smartwatches or fitness bands. This suggests that AI-powered biosensors can be placed on low-power hardware platforms to be used over time without substantially draining the battery or needing real-time cloud connectivity. Given our mobile interface, we were able to deliver real-time feedback by sending alert notifications to users for critical events such as irregular heart rhythms or elevated stress.

Real-Time Application and Responsiveness

The simulated system was tested for responsiveness in light of attacks.

Aside from real-time applications, we were able to use datasets from the aggregated biosensor readings generated daily health summaries with actionable insights for patients and health professionals. Summary measures in samples such as average heart rate, number of steps, etc.

Discussion of Challenges and Limitations

While the results are encouraging, there are a number of challenges. First, motion artifacts, as well as environmental factors (such as temperature or humidity) can interfere with biosensor readings. Second, users will require retraining for AI models, which can occur over time due to changes in user physiology or lifestyle. In addition, there are

privacy concerns; while the simulation utilized an encrypted transmission protocol for HIPAA or GDPR compliance, real-world implementations may require full adherence to these regulations.
There are also ethical considerations around the over-monitoring of healthcare or biases in algorithms. For example, a model may misclassify a regular variation as a health incident (e.g., set a false alarm). Excessive false alarms could lead to unnecessary distress among patients. However, a monitoring system can also miss a legitimate detection, which could result in serious health outcomes. Model validation across diverse populations and transparency of the decision-making logic are important before the system can be deployed clinically.
The results validated wearable biosensors merged with AI technology can generate accurate, low latency, energy-efficient, and real-time monitoring of health metrics over time. CNN models performed overall the best; additionally, the prototype system could demonstrate the feasibility of real-world time activity use on edge devices. However, data noise, personalization, and ethical AI will be challenges that need to be addressed to ensure the reliability of these systems, user trust, and clinical readiness.

CONCLUSION

Merging Wearables and Intelligence: A Groundbreaking Move in Health Care
The coming together of wearable biosensors and artificial intelligence (AI) has created a transformational change in how health care is delivered, experienced, and improved. This combination allows for continuous, real-time health monitoring, enabling individuals and clinicians to identify, prevent, and manage medical conditions more effectively than episodic models of care. The studies confirm that when physiological data from biosensors are processed with intelligent algorithms, the results are personalized and actionable insights.
AI models such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), Support Vector Machines (SVMs), and Random Forests display high level of accuracy interpreting biosensor data, especially with conditions influenced by time such as arrhythmia or sugar spikes. AI achieves this by minimizing decision latency and reducing false positive and negative results, which are common in traditional health alert systems. Not only this, but AI algorithms can utilize the trends and events associated with the individual's unique health, allowing for adaptive and personalized health interventions.

Key Findings Deriving from the Research

There were a number of practical and theoretical outcomes from this research, as summarized in the table below:

Outcome Category	**Key Findings**
Model Performance	CNN achieved 94.6% accuracy; suitable for ECG and heart rate monitoring
Energy Efficiency	Models could run on mobile/edge devices using <0.3 mAh/hour
Real-Time Responsiveness	Alerts were triggered in under 2 seconds in simulated emergencies
Ethical & Privacy Concerns	Importance of data encryption, user consent, and GDPR/HIPAA compliance emphasized
Usability	Compatible with low-cost hardware; accessible to users with basic mobile devices

Collectively, these outcomes lend credence to the prospective feasibility of deploying AI-integrated wearables in both clinical and non-clinical practices, including homes, gyms, elderly care centers, and remote or underserved communities.

Implications for Future Health Care Systems

Wearable biosensor systems enhanced with AI represent a paradigm shift from reactive healthcare (where care is provided after symptoms develop) to proactive and preventive healthcare. The ability to capture continuous data enables anomaly detection early on and avoids issues before they escalate. This also potentially results in improved patient outcomes, and decreases the demand on the healthcare infrastructure by limiting unnecessary visits to hospital emergency services (for acute events) and readmissions.
The wearable-AI advantage lives in the patient-centred component, the convenience of wearable-AI, enhanced by data visual precursors and alerts that encourages participation and self-care, with the primary focus recommended for chronic diseases including diabetes, cardiovascular issues, and hypertension.

There is with the wearable-AI systems some limitations to explore:

- Sensor accuracy is often challenged in an open environment, particularly active environments (due to user movement and perspiration)
- Algorithms are evaluated descriptively, with little effort to produce transparency that explains potential black-box decision-making when health is at stake
- Scalability; differing populations with similar health conditions may report unique responses due to skin tone and physiological differences

• Affordability; lower-income communities and healthcare systems in developing economies

In order to develop new models around wearable-AI, we will need a cooperative delivery model where expertise can be shared through collaboration and innovation in regulation, scientific practices, and deployment.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the research provides evidence that wearable biosensors coupled with AI are not simply advancements in hardware, but rather our future healthcare model. This technology has the opportunity to close the gap between early detection and timely treatment, especially when monitoring high-risk or isolated populations. The information offered by sensor technology and increasingly explainable and ethical AI models will make the evaluation of wearable health monitoring systems scalable and sustainable.

Future steps should include clinical trials, generalization of algorithms in various demographic groups, and a deeper connection to electronic health records (EHRs) to truly embrace and achieve personalized, data-informed, and universally accessible healthcare.

REFERENCES

- Gao, W., Emaminejad, S., Nyein, H. Y. Y., Challa, S., Chen, K., Peck, A., ... & Javey, A. (2016). Fully integrated wearable sensor arrays for multiplexed in situ perspiration analysis. *Nature*, 529(7587), 509–514. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16521
- Heikenfeld, J., Jajack, A., Rogers, J., Gutruf, P., Tian, L., Pan, T., ... & Kim, J. (2018). Wearable sensors: modalities, challenges, and prospects. *Lab on a Chip*, 18(2), 217–248. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7LC00914C
- Sharma, A., Verbeke, F., & De Vloed, B. (2020). Deep learning for wearable ECG monitoring: Detection and classification of cardiac arrhythmias. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 67(11), 3147–3156. https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2020.2996972
- Xu, B., Xu, L. D., Cai, H., Xie, C., Hu, J., & Bu, F. (2021). Ubiquitous data access and smart healthcare monitoring using Internet of Medical Things (IoMT). *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 120, 403–415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2020.06.001
- Topol, E. (2019). *Deep Medicine: How Artificial Intelligence Can Make Healthcare Human Again*. Basic Books.
- Islam, S. M. R., Kwak, D., Kabir, M. H., Hossain, M., & Kwak, K. S. (2015). The Internet of Things for health care: A comprehensive survey. *IEEE Access*, 3, 678–708. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2015.2437951
- Pantelopoulos, A., & Bourbakis, N. G. (2010). A survey on wearable sensor-based systems for health monitoring and prognosis. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Reviews)*, 40(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMCC.2009.2032660
- Jovanov, E., & Milenkovic, A. (2011). Body area networks for ubiquitous healthcare applications: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 35(5), 1245–1254. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-010-9557-z
- Park, S., Jayaraman, S., & Rajamani, R. (2020). Wearable biosensors for healthcare monitoring: Current and future applications. *Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering*, 22, 47–74. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-bioeng-121219-073402
- Yoon, H., Lee, J., & Kim, D. (2021). Recent trends in wearable biosensors for continuous health monitoring: Current technologies and future challenges. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 332, 129672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2021.129672
- Goldstein, B. A., Navar, A. M., Pencina, M. J., & Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2017). Opportunities and challenges in developing risk prediction models with electronic health records data: A systematic review. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 24(1), 198–208. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocw042
- MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database. (n.d.). *PhysioNet*. Retrieved from https://physionet.org/content/mitdb/1.0.0/
- Moeyersons, J., Decroos, T., & Vanrumste, B. (2020). Evaluation of ECG classification algorithms for long-term monitoring with wearable sensors. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 118, 103627. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2020.103627

- Breiman, L. (2001).
  Random forests. *Machine Learning*, 45(1), 5–32. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324
- Cortes, C., & Vapnik, V. (1995).
  Support-vector networks. *Machine Learning*, 20(3), 273–297. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00994018
- Simonyan, K., & Zisserman, A. (2015).
  Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556
- Xu, B., Xu, L. D., Cai, H., Xie, C., Hu, J., & Bu, F. (2021).
  Ubiquitous data access and smart healthcare monitoring using Internet of Medical Things (IoMT). *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 120, 403–415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2020.06.001
- Al-Ali, A. R., Zualkernan, I. A., & Aloul, F. (2017).
  A mobile health monitoring system using wearable sensors and cloud-based processing. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 4(5), 1554–1562. https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2016.2632980
- Vayena, E., Blasimme, A., & Cohen, I. G. (2018).
  Machine learning in medicine: Addressing ethical challenges. *PLoS Medicine*, 15(11), e1002689. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002689
- Voigt, P., & Von dem Bussche, A. (2017).
  The EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR): A practical guide. *Springer International Publishing*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57959-7
- Sharma, A., Verbeke, F., & De Vloed, B. (2020).
  Deep learning for wearable ECG monitoring: Detection and classification of cardiac arrhythmias. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 67(11), 3147–3156. https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2020.2996972
- MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database. (n.d.).
  PhysioNet. Retrieved from https://physionet.org/content/mitdb/1.0.0/
- Gao, W., Emaminejad, S., Nyein, H. Y. Y., Challa, S., Chen, K., Peck, A., ... & Javey, A. (2016).
  Fully integrated wearable sensor arrays for multiplexed in situ perspiration analysis. *Nature*, 529(7587), 509–514. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16521
- Vayena, E., Blasimme, A., & Cohen, I. G. (2018).
  Machine learning in medicine: Addressing ethical challenges. *PLoS Medicine*, 15(11), e1002689. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002689
- Xu, B., Xu, L. D., Cai, H., Xie, C., Hu, J., & Bu, F. (2021).
  Ubiquitous data access and smart healthcare monitoring using Internet of Medical Things (IoMT). *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 120, 403–415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2020.06.001
- Al-Ali, A. R., Zualkernan, I. A., & Aloul, F. (2017).
  A mobile health monitoring system using wearable sensors and cloud-based processing. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 4(5), 1554–1562. https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2016.2632980
- Islam, S. M. R., Kwak, D., Kabir, M. H., Hossain, M., & Kwak, K. S. (2015).
  The Internet of Things for health care: A comprehensive survey. *IEEE Access*, 3, 678–708. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2015.2437951
- Topol, E. (2019).
  Deep Medicine: How Artificial Intelligence Can Make Healthcare Human Again. Basic Books.
- Voigt, P., & Von dem Bussche, A. (2017).
  The EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR): A practical guide. *Springer International Publishing*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57959-7
- Shcherbina, A., Mattsson, C. M., Waggott, D., Salisbury, H., Christle, J. W., Hastie, T., & Wheeler, M. T. (2017).
  Accuracy in wrist-worn, sensor-based measurements of heart rate and energy expenditure in a diverse cohort. *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, 7(2), 3. https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm7020003