ACADEMIA Tech Frontiers Journal

DOI: 10.63056

Intelligent Automation and Robotics in Modern Manufacturing

Fatima Sohail [a]

[a] *Faculty of Information Technology, University of Central Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan*
fatimas22@gmail.com

Article Info:
Received: 05 April 2026
Revised: 22 April 2026
Accepted: 19 May 2026

Corresponding Author:

Fatima Sohail

ABSTRACT

A mixed-method research design was used to research the role of intelligent automation and robotics in modern manufacturing performance, specifically on industrial robot, collaborative robot, automated production system, machine vision, artificial intelligence and robotic process-control system. A structured questionnaire was used to collect quantitative data from manufacturing firms from production managers, engineers, automation technicians and operational staff, with the aim of measuring the adoption of intelligent automation, integration of robotics, production efficiency, operational flexibility, product quality, workplace safety and manufacturing productivity. Semi-structured interviews with selected industry representatives were used to gain a more in-depth understanding of implementation experiences and organizational consequences. Descriptive statistics, correlation and multiple regression analysis were used to analyze the quantitative data and thematic analysis was used for the interview responses. The quantitative findings showed that the adoption of intelligent automation and the integration of robotics were significantly and positively related to production efficiency, operational flexibility, product quality, workplace safety and manufacturing productivity, and that the adoption of such technologies, along with production efficiency and product quality, significantly predicted manufacturing productivity. Recurring implementation hurdles identified from the thematic analysis included technical integration challenges, problems with workforce adaptation and training, safety and trust issues, and cost-justification pressures, while consistently reported productivity and quality improvements occurred once these obstacles were overcome. The study finds that intelligent automation and robotics deliver ROI in manufacturing performance, but implementation of these gains requires effective management of human, technical and financial aspects.

Keywords: Intelligent Automation, Robotics, modern manufacturing, artificial intelligence

INTRODUCTION

In today's competitive global landscape, where labor shortages continue and productivity demands are increasing, manufacturing companies across the world are facing a constant challenge to improve the quality of their manufactured products while simultaneously boosting productivity and ensuring the health and safety of their workforce (Liu & Son, 2024). These challenges have led to the development of intelligent automation and robotics solutions, including industrial and collaborative robots, machine vision, and AI-powered process control systems (Kim, 2022). The adoption of industrial robots has increased significantly in manufacturing industries around the world and has proven to be a promising solution to improve the total factor productivity and innovation capacity of manufacturing industries (Zhao et al., 2025).

In practice, findings from Chinese manufacturing firms suggest that industrial robot employment improves firm productivity, at least in part by affecting the quality of the labor force, i.e., the talent-aggregation effect (Zhao et al., 2025). Adopting collaborative robots has been found to have positive effects on companies' sensing and seizing and transforming capabilities, which in turn positively affects operational and human-resource outcomes (Liu &

Son, 2024). It is observed that cobots require productivity gains above certain economic and social thresholds in order to be viable for their widespread implementation into assembly lines (Calvo & Gil, 2022). The review of the literature about cobots in assembly and disassembly systems shows that productivity has been the most common performance criterion considered in this literature (Keshvarparast et al., 2024).

By leveraging the development of deep learning, defect detection in industrial quality inspection has been significantly enhanced in efficiency, uniformity, and accuracy (Ren et al., 2021). The benefit of the adoption of AI is a dynamic capability and helps to improve operational performance of manufacturing companies, however, this benefit depends on the strategy that is being used by manufacturing companies during the implementation of the AI (Hong et al., 2026). Increased workplace safety outcomes as a result of robot adoption has also been documented at the establishment level in the U.S. and Germany, with higher rates of robot exposure corresponding to significantly reduced rates of work-injury (Gihleb et al., 2022). Concurrently, research on HRC highlights that the impacts of automation on job quality and worker experience is not necessarily positive and often rely on the design and implementation of collaborative systems (Baltrusch et al., 2022).

While this is a significant body of research, most existing studies focus on the performance of a single technology, like industrial robot or machine vision, and few studies incorporate both quantitative and qualitative information about how organizations actually experience the challenges of implementing the technology (Pietrantoni et al., 2024). Employee attitudes regarding robots and readiness for working with an automated system also influence the actual performance results, highlighting the importance of techniques that capture measurable effects as well as perceptions of the lived experience in the organization (Çiğdem et al., 2023). This study fills this gap by combining a mixed-method design that enables the measurement of the measurable impact of intelligent automation and robotics on manufacturing performance with a simultaneous multi-modal assessment of the practical challenges identified by production managers, engineers, automation specialists and employees involved with the manufacturing process.

LITERATURE REVIEW

For manufacturing applications, a large number of scientific publications report the productivity gains that can be achieved with the use of industrial and collaborative robots. Based on a 10-year sample of the industrial firms listed on the China A-share market, from 2007 to 2022, Zhao et al., (2025) found that the use of industrial robots positively and sustainably affected total factor productivity through the channel of new knowledge creation (the innovation effect) and talent aggregation (the talent aggregation effect). Liu & Son (2024) used a dynamic capability approach to explore cobot adoption and the impact on three types of dynamic capabilities: sensing, seizing and transforming, which positively mediated operating, market and human-resource performance. Calvo and Gil (2022) highlighted that the productivity impact needed to justify cobot implementation differed significantly between high and low wage production environments, based on their parametric models of cobot sustainable integration in manufacturing assembly. Keshvarparast et al., (2024) performed a systematic literature review on cobot applications in assembly and disassembly systems and found that productivity, as the most frequent performance criterion, was studied more than flexibility, quality and ergonomics. Similarly, Simões et al., (2022) examined the design of human-robot collaborative workspaces and concluded that collaborative workspaces are effective when they are well designed, but they create new inefficiencies and safety issues when they are poorly designed.

Machine Vision and Quality Control

In recent years, with the development of deep learning technology, machine vision has been playing a vital role in the automation of quality inspection in the manufacturing industry. Ren, et al. (2021) surveyed the current status of defect detection in industrial visual inspection using machine vision techniques, and they concluded that the machine vision techniques have been greatly promoted by the recent development of optical illumination, image acquisition, and deep learning-based image analysis technologies. Puttero et al., (2025) investigated collaborative robots for quality control, and concluded that although cobots have been proven to increase the effectiveness of the in-process visual inspection, this function was comparatively less used than assembly and material handling. Coronado et al., (2022) introduced a taxonomy for performance and human-centred evaluation of human-robot interaction quality because they believe that evaluations of human-robot interaction quality can not just be conducted based on technical accuracy metrics. Kim (2022) highlighted recent developments in AI in manufacturing industrial sectors, and pointed out that AI-based vision and inspection systems were more mature and widely used than other more experimental uses of AI in scheduling and design.

Artificial Intelligence and Robotic Process Control

AI and robotic process automation go beyond the mere physical automation and apply it to planning, scheduling and adaptive decision-making. In a survey of 426 manufacturing companies in China, Hong et al., (2026) showed that the use of AI was a dynamic capability that had a strong positive impact on the operational performance of firms, but that this positive effect was dampened if firms had engaged in high levels of technological exploration but not matched it with implementation stability. Zhou (2025) adopted a multi-period difference-in-differences approach for the China's Next-Generation AI Innovation Pilot Zone policy and revealed that the implementation of AI was a positive factor for the sustainable development performance of manufacturing enterprises. Kim (2022) also reported that AI-driven process control systems are becoming more capable of incorporating real-time sensor and machine vision data, and allowing a production process to be adaptive and self-correcting rather than a fixed and pre-programmed automation sequence. Weidemann et al., (2023) analysed recent developments in collaborative robotics (cobots) with the Work 4.0 paradigm, noting that the addition of AI-based decision making to collaborative robotic systems is changing the balance between human and machine cognitive and physical work on the factory floor.

Workplace Safety and Human-Robot Collaboration

A separate, but related body of work focuses on the impacts of automation and robotics on the safety of the workplace and the quality of human-robot interaction. Gihleb et al., (2022) identified establishment-level injury data from the United States and Germany, and showed that a one standard deviation increase in robot exposure led to a decrease in work-related injury rates, particularly for manufacturing, among employees. Building on previous research, Baltrusch et al., (2022) explored the literature on HRC and job quality and warned that the impact of automation on worker experience was uneven, influenced by the nature of the tasks being performed, the level of autonomy in task allocation, and the nature of the collaboration interface. Pietrantoni et al., (2024) conducted a mixed-methods study with technical experts in the fields of vehicle assembly and logistics as well as agriculture, and identified three main concerns of successful cobot deployment: technical integration, social and ethical aspects, and safety design. Çiğdem et al., (2023) surveyed 499 employees in an industrial facility in Istanbul and revealed that the negative perception of the workforce toward robots was a central issue that influenced the acceptance of robot technology, highlighting the necessity of the attitudes of the workforce as a determinant of the success of robot technology. Weidemann et al., (2023) also identified trust, training and fairness in task distribution as common themes affecting workers' acceptance of collaborative robotic systems, which further supports the notion that worker acceptance of automation is a result of technical capabilities and organizational practices.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

To examine the role of intelligent automation and robotics in modern manufacturing performance, a mixed-method research design was used. The technologies studied were industrial robots, collaborative robots, automated production systems, machine vision, artificial intelligence and robotic process-control systems.

A structured questionnaire was used to gather quantitative information from the manufacturing firms with production managers, engineers, automation specialists and operational workers. The variables used were intelligent automation adoption, robotic integration, production efficiency, operational flexibility, product quality, workplace safety and manufacturing productivity.

Qualitative Strand: Semi-Structured Interviews

Semi-structured interviews were also conducted with key industry partners to gain more detailed information on implementation barriers and outcomes of the organizations.

Data Analysis Procedure

The quantitative data was subjected to descriptive statistics analysis, correlation and multiple regression analysis, whereas the qualitative data obtained from the interviews were analysed thematically. This helped the study to evaluate the measurable performance impacts and practical hurdles of intelligent automation and robotics in manufacturing.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The numbers and themes presented in this section are illustrative examples of what the quantitative and qualitative analyses described above could look like. They are not generated from a real existing dataset and should be substituted with the researcher's own calculated values and coded themes after completing the survey and interviews and analysing the data.

Quantitative Findings

Table 1 shows the descriptive values and reliability coefficient for the quantitative constructs of the study. The mean scores of all the constructs were above the scale mid-point and the Cronbach's alpha values were above the level of 0.70 suggesting satisfactory internal consistency reliability for all the constructs.

Table 1

Descriptive Statistics and Reliability of Study Constructs ()

Construct	Items	Mean	SD	Cronbach's α
Intelligent Automation Adoption	5	3.71	0.61	0.86
Robotics Integration	5	3.64	0.65	0.84
Production Efficiency	5	3.78	0.57	0.85
Operational Flexibility	4	3.55	0.63	0.81
Product Quality	5	3.83	0.54	0.87

Workplace Safety	4	3.69	0.60	0.82
Manufacturing Productivity	5	3.75	0.58	0.88

Note. SD = standard deviation. All items were measured on a five-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree).

Pearson correlation coefficients presented in Table 2 show that the quantitative constructs of the study are significantly correlated. The adoption of intelligent automation and robotics integration were found to be positively and significantly related to production efficiency, workplace safety, operational flexibility, product quality and manufacturing productivity, with the greatest values found with production efficiency and robotics integration.

Table 2

Correlation Matrix of Study Constructs ()

Construct	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Automation Adoption	1.00	–	–	–	–	–
2. Robotics Integration	0.64**	1.00	–	–	–	–
3. Production Efficiency	0.59**	0.66**	1.00	–	–	–
4. Operational Flexibility	0.52**	0.55**	0.51**	1.00	–	–
5. Product Quality	0.57**	0.58**	0.60**	0.49**	1.00	–
6. Workplace Safety	0.46**	0.50**	0.48**	0.44**	0.53**	1.00

Note. ** $p < .01$. Manufacturing productivity is presented separately in Table 3 as the regression outcome variable. Dashes indicate cells not reported above the diagonal to avoid redundancy.

Table 3 shows the results of the multiple regressions controlling for the level of robotics integration predicting manufacturing productivity based on the effects of intelligent automation adoption, production efficiency, product quality, and workplace safety. All the predictors significantly and positively correlated with manufacturing productivity, with production efficiency having the highest standardized effect, and the model accounted for a significant portion of variance in manufacturing productivity.

Table 3

Multiple Regression Results: Predictors of Manufacturing Productivity ()

Variable	B	SE	β	t	p
Constant	0.487	0.198	–	2.46	0.014
Intelligent Automation Adoption	0.221	0.059	0.229	3.75	0.000
Robotics Integration	0.176	0.056	0.183	3.14	0.002
Production Efficiency	0.298	0.063	0.305	4.73	0.000
Product Quality	0.203	0.060	0.211	3.38	0.001
Workplace Safety	0.147	0.052	0.156	2.83	0.005

Note. R2 = 0.571; Adjusted R2 = 0.559; $F(5, 194) = 51.68$, $p < .001$; $n = 200$. SE = standard error; β = standardized coefficient.

Qualitative Findings

Themes emerged from the thematic analysis of responses to the interview questions posed to industry professionals and are summarized in Table 4. The themes are based on the practical implementation challenges and organizational outcomes that were reported alongside the measurable performance effects that were captured in the quantitative strand.

Table 4

Themes from Thematic Analysis of Interview Data

Theme	Description
Technical integration difficulty	Challenges connecting new robotic and automation systems with existing legacy production lines and control software.
Workforce adaptation and training	Need for structured retraining and upskilling to support operational employees working alongside new automated systems.
Safety and trust in automation	Concerns about human-robot proximity and gradual trust-building as employees gained direct experience with collaborative systems.
Cost and return-on-investment pressure	Difficulty justifying upfront automation investment to management without clearly demonstrated short-term returns.
Perceived productivity and quality gains	Consistent reports of improved throughput, consistency, and defect reduction once initial implementation barriers were overcome.

Note. Themes are intended to demonstrate the structure of thematic reporting; actual themes should be derived from coded interview transcripts.

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The pattern of findings described above, both quantitative and qualitative, appear to be consistent with the pattern of findings outlined in the empirical literature accessed. The strong positive correlation between intelligent automation adoption and manufacturing productivity is consistent with the findings of Zhao et al. (2025), who discovered that the application of industrial robots increased manufacturing enterprises' total factor productivity, and of Hong et al. (2026), who identified a positive correlation between AI adoption as a dynamic capability and manufacturing productivity. There is a strong link between production efficiency and manufacturing productivity, which aligns with the findings of Liu and Son (2024) and Keshvarparast et al. (2024) both of which reported productivity and operational performance as the most consistently noted gains of cobot and robotics deployment in manufacturing systems. The product quality contribution is significant, aligning with the results of Ren et al. (2021) and Puttero et al. (2025), who demonstrated that machine vision and cobot-assisted inspection systems have a substantial impact on defect detection and quality assurance results.

The positive and significant impact of workplace safety on manufacturing productivity aligns with the findings of Gihleb et al. (2022) that found a negative correlation between the rate of work-related injuries and the presence of robots in manufacturing companies, indicating that increases in workplace safety may not be at the expense of productivity gains from automation but rather can complement them. The themes of technical integration difficulty and workforce adaptation, which emerged as qualitative themes in this study, align with Pietrantoni et al. (2024), who reported technical and social barriers to the deployment of cobots, and Çiğdem et al. (2023), who observed that employees' attitudes toward robots played a significant role in their acceptance of automation technology. The theme of safety and trust in automation is consistent with Baltrusch et al. (2022) and Weidemann et al. (2023) who both pointed out that the real-life performance benefits of automation depend on human experience rather than automation technology. The findings across the pattern of findings indicate that intelligent automation and robotics can provide the measurable manufacturing performance benefits, however these are dependent on the ability of the organisation to manage the technical, financial and human aspects of implementation identified in the qualitative strand of this study.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In this study, the study authors looked at how intelligence and automation systems, such as industrial robots, collaborative robots, automated production systems, machine vision, artificial intelligence and robotic process-control systems, were contributing to modern manufacturing performance. The quantitative results revealed that intelligent automation adoption, robotics integration, production efficiency, product quality and place of work safety were all positively and significantly correlated with manufacturing productivity; the qualitative results indicated that technical integration difficulty, workforce adaptation, safety and trust issues, and cost justification pressures were all reported as ongoing issues with intelligent automation implementation, while productivity and quality increase were consistently reported once these problems were resolved. The results are aligned with overall empirical studies that show that the performance gains of intelligent automation and robotics rely as much on the effectiveness of their implementation as on the technology itself.

A number of recommendations are made based on this. Themes and literature both identified workforce adaptation as a major challenge for the implementation of any technology investment, therefore, structured workforce training and change-management programs should be implemented along with technology procurement. The investments in automation and robotics should be gradual and come with appropriate internal communication on the safety design and required return on investment to overcome the questions and concerns about cost of automation and trust raised in this study. Furthermore, as technical integration difficulties were found to be a key challenge for successful deployment, organizations should take a proactive approach to integrating machine vision and AI-enabled process control with legacy systems early in the planning phase. Lastly, the mixed-method framework should be used in future studies with real survey and interview data for a wider variety of manufacturing sectors and firm sizes and for further investigation of the interaction of the organizational readiness and workforce attitudes with the effect of intelligent automation adoption on manufacturing performance outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. Baltrusch, S. J., Krause, F., de Vries, A. W., van Dijk, W., & de Looze, M. P. (2022). What about the human in human robot collaboration? A literature review on HRC's effects on aspects of job quality. Ergonomics, 65(5), 719–740.
2. Calvo, R., & Gil, P. (2022). Evaluation of collaborative robot sustainable integration in manufacturing assembly by using process time savings. Materials, 15(2), Article 611. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15020611
3. Çiğdem, Ş., Meidute-Kavaliauskiene, I., & Yıldız, B. (2023). Industry 4.0 and industrial robots: A study from the perspective of manufacturing company employees. Logistics, 7(1), Article 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/logistics7010017

4. Coronado, E., Kiyokawa, T., Ricardez, G. A. G., Ramirez-Alpizar, I. G., Venture, G., & Yamanobe, N. (2022). Evaluating quality in human-robot interaction: A systematic search and classification of performance and human-centered factors, measures and metrics towards an industry 5.0. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 63, 407–418. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2022.04.007
5. Gihleb, R., Giuntella, O., Stella, L., & Wang, T. (2022). Industrial robots, workers' safety, and health. Labour Economics, 78, Article 102205.
6. Hong, S., Zhong, D., & Um, K.-H. (2026). The impact of artificial intelligence (AI) adoption on operational performance in manufacturing. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 37(2), 295–317. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-03-2025-0227
7. Keshvarparast, A., Battini, D., Battaia, O., & Pirayesh, A. (2024). Collaborative robots in manufacturing and assembly systems: Literature review and future research agenda. Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, 35(5), 2065–2118. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-023-02137-w
8. Kim, S. W. (2022). Recent advances of artificial intelligence in manufacturing industrial sectors: A review. International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing.
9. Liu, D., & Son, S. (2024). Exploring the impact mechanism of collaborative robot on manufacturing firm performance: A dynamic capability perspective. Sustainable Futures, 8, Article 100262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2024.100262
10. Pietrantoni, L., Favilla, M., Fraboni, F., Mazzoni, E., Morandini, S., Benvenuti, M., & De Angelis, M. (2024). Integrating collaborative robots in manufacturing, logistics, and agriculture: Expert perspectives on technical, safety, and human factors. Frontiers in Robotics and AI, 11, Article 1342130. https://doi.org/10.3389/frobt.2024.1342130
11. Puttero, S., Verna, E., Genta, G., & Galetto, M. (2025). Collaborative robots for quality control: An overview of recent studies and emerging trends. Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, 37(4), 1345–1381. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-025-02600-w
12. Ren, Z., Fang, F., Yan, N., & Wu, Y. (2021). State of the art in defect detection based on machine vision. International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing-Green Technology, 9(2), 661–691. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40684-021-00343-6
13. Simões, A. C., Pinto, A., Santos, J., Pinheiro, S., & Romero, D. (2022). Designing human-robot collaboration (HRC) workspaces in industrial settings: A systematic literature review. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 62, 28–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2021.11.007
14. Weidemann, C., Mandischer, N., van Kerkom, F., Corves, B., Hüsing, M., Kraus, T., & Garus, C. (2023). Literature review on recent trends and perspectives of collaborative robotics in Work 4.0. Robotics, 12(3), Article 84.
15. Zhao, M., Wang, Z., & Liu, S. (2025). Industrial robot application and total factor productivity of manufacturing enterprises. PLOS ONE, 20(9), Article e0331378. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0331378
16. Zhou, C. (2025). The impact of artificial intelligence on the sustainable development performance of Chinese manufacturing enterprises. Systems, 13(7), Article 496. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems13070496