ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences
Volume 4, Issue 4, 2025 ISSN-L (Online): 3006-6638

Integrating Artificial Intelligence in Architectural Design A Study on AI-Assisted Design Tools and Their Impact on AEC Industry

Nijah Akram
akram.nijah@ptut.edu.pk
Department of Architectural Engineering Technology, Punjab Tianjin University of Technology Lahore

Dr. Ayesha Mehmood Malik
ayesha.mehmood@arch.uol.edu.pk
Associate Professor, School of Architecture, Faculty of Arts and Architecture, University of Lahore, Lahore

Fatima Sher
fatima.sher@arch.uol.edu.pk
Assistant Professor, School of Architecture, Faculty of Arts and Architecture, University of Lahore. Lahore
Zeeshan Asim
zeeshan.asim@arch.uol.edu.pk
Assistant Professor, School of Architecture, Faculty of Arts and Architecture, University of Lahore, Lahore

Sara Tahir
sara.tahir@arch.uol.edu.pk
Assistant Professor, School of Architecture, Faculty of Arts and Architecture, University of Lahore, Lahore
Corresponding Author: * Nijah Akram akram.nijah@ptut.edu.pk

Received: 15-07-2025 **Revised:** 25-08-2025 **Accepted:** 20-09-2025 **Published:** 14-10-2025

ABSTRACT

This study explored the use of AI technology in architectural design, with a particular focus on AI design tools and their impact on the AEC industry in Pakistan. This study explored how AI technology variations. This research studied the impact of AI technology on traditional design workflows, productivity enhancement, and the transformational influence of AI on decision-making processes of design professionals in Pakistan. This research examined a variety of AI design tools, such as generative design, machine learning, and parametric modeling, to assess the AI technology relevance in optimization of spatial layout, structural analysis, and sustainable design in the Pakistani context. This research considered the time and cost efficiency, as well as the enhancement of creativity and overall design quality, the research evaluated the AI construction tools impact on the design process. The research findings emphasized the AI tools impact on design process efficiency, decreasing idle time, and empowering architects to assess numerous design options in a short time. The study also examined the specific AI tools challenges in the AEC Pakistan sector: inadequate tech infrastructure, learning challenges, workflow assimilation, and design originality loss. The research finally perceived the architectural practice AI technology on integration as a construction industry revolution and highlighted the importance of local industry dynamics. Such insights were helpful in guiding Pakistani AEC professionals in appreciating the value of AI technology and its effective utilization.

Keywords: *AI technology, architectural design, AI design tools, impact, AEC industry, Pakistan.*

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence has proven a transformative technology in many industries. It has the potential to streamline the design and construction processes in the architectural, engineering, and construction (AEC) industries. AI-assisted design will change how many professionals think about and execute a project in the scope of architecture, not just how a project is designed and completed. This comes at a time when the construction industry is under pressure to deliver more value. This value includes structures that are economical, aesthetically appealing, and completed within tighter time frames. The value involves advanced and economically superior solutions to construction problems (Rane 2023). Ubiquitous access to sophisticated design software, accelerated computing, and advanced machine learning has supported and removed many traditional boundaries of design and construction. This has enabled the design of complex construction geometries, optimization of construction materials, and development of solutions that were manual processes and inconceivable in the past (Rafsanjani and Nabizadeh 2023).

In Pakistan, where cities are rapidly growing, using artificial intelligence in architectural design is especially important. This is because the country is dealing with extreme population increases, sprawling cities, and the urgent development of infrastructure that needs to be functional, environmentally balanced, and sustainable (Manzoor, Othman et al. 2021). The Pakistani AEC sector is also adapting to the use of digital technology. It is a mix of traditional craftsmanship and design, and it is trying to adopt new technology while maintaining the intricate and cultural architectural craftsmanship and dealing with architectural vernacular and contextual regional challenges. The use of AI design tools in this sector brings technological opportunities and challenges in trying to use generative design and parametric modeling automation design and optimization tools, with the gap of infrastructure, skills, and changes in work practices. In Pakistan, the integration of these technologies needs a deep understanding of the upstream industry, educational systems, and socioeconomics that influence the rate technology is adopted (Siddiqui and Abdekhodaee 2025).

AI-assisted design tools include generative design algorithms that create numerous design iterations based on provided parameters, machine learning systems that analyze past project data to identify optimal solutions, and parametric modeling tools that provide dynamic modeling of design variables. These tools transform the designer’s role from sole creator to curator and orchestrator. This change offers unprecedented computational power to examine solution spaces that far exceed manual exploration, leaving the designer’s creativity and judgment to guide the process. The shift promises heightened efficiency, precision, and innovation across the project lifecycle, from conceptual design and construction documentation to facility management. The promise is accompanied, however, by the profound question of design authentication, the redefinition of the professional self, and the potential obsolescence of skills that have long anchored the practice of architecture (Saad, Haris et al. 2024).

The recognition of the gap in research regarding the application of AI in developing countries, especially Pakistan, gave rise to the current study. Most research has been focused on advanced economies, where the differences in infrastructure, education systems, and industrial practices, as compared to developing countries, are immense. As a result, professionals in Pakistan's AEC sector are unable to navigate the adoption of AI in a contextualized way. They are unaware of the benefits and drawbacks of AI in their setting and lack actionable plans on how to adopt AI. Moreover, the lack of context-specific research has prevented policy makers, educational leaders, and industry executives from developing evidence-based frameworks, educational programs, and investment plans designed to foster the adoption of technology in ways that will maximize the benefits and minimize the adverse effects (Zhong, Ali et al. 2022).

This research looks to close these identified gaps by studying the application of AI-assisted design tools in the AEC industry in Pakistan, focusing the application on the impacts on the design process, productivity, and deliverables. By employing a mixed methods approach, the research seeks to understand the AI tools impact on transformative potential and pragmatic constraints by integrating the quantitative data on productivity and cost efficiency and qualitative data on the profession, the AI tools in the design process, and the environment. The scope of this research goes beyond assessing the AI design tools on the market to studying the perspectives of the AEC professionals on AI and the integration of computation and design and how it impacts design creativity and practice. Focusing on the design practice in Pakistan will help in producing concrete recommendations on technology integration, the gaps in the educational system, and the policy environment of the industry to meet the local context.

Research Objectives

1. To assess the adoption of AI-assisted design tools in the Pakistani AEC industry and evaluate their influence on the design process and efficiency, timeline, and costs relative to previous design approaches.
2. To understand the effect AI tools, have on Pakistani construction sector architects' creative decision-making, design quality, and innovation capabilities.
3. To assess and evaluate the AEC professional workforce in Pakistan on the challenges, barriers, and constraints to the use of AI-enabled design tools. These include technological constraints, skills training and organizational restructuring of workflow.

Research Questions

1. To what degree do AI-assisted design tools improve the efficiency, productivity, and financial returns of architectural design in the AEC industry in Pakistan when benchmarked against traditional design methods?
2. How does the imposition of AI technologies shift the creative potential, design value, and decision-making matrices of architects in the Pakistan construction industry?
3. What are the primary challenges, barriers, and constraints in the effective adoption and use of AI-assisted design tools by AEC industry professionals in Pakistan, and how do these challenges differ by the type of organization and by the size of the project?

Significance Of The Study

The potential value of the study to Pakistan's architecture and construction industry ecosystem stakeholders is considerable. Practitioners gain access to research and clinic materials that help inform technology adoption and how to implement tailored strategies designed to account for localized conditions. For research institutions, especially those developing pedagogical approaches to educating future architects, the study provides insight into the competencies and skills necessary for practice in an AI-infused design world. This, in turn, informs the revision of curricula to better align with the anticipated competencies and skills. Evidence generated from the study will aid government and industry decision makers in articulating prioritized investments and directing initiatives aimed at professional training and supportive regulatory frameworks. By recognizing both the positive practical challenges of adopting AI in a developing country setting, the study fulfills a gap in the global conversation around the use of technology in the context of architecture while also addressing the first digital transformation challenges of the Pakistani AEC professionals working in a developing country setting.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The introduction of artificial intelligence in architectural design is yet another phase in the history of the discipline caused by the technological innovations. From the drafting tools of the Renaissance, passing through the revolution of computer-aided design in the late 20th century, architecture has always advanced alongside technology (Chaillou 2022). Today's AI systems, in comparison with the previous technologies used in architecture, signal a quantitative shift with the introduction of applied artificial intelligence technologies that can recognize and predict patterns, learn and extrapolate from previous instances, and make decisions in a constrained environment. Over the past decade, the scholarly conversation in the AI and architecture has broadened to include, among other things, algorithmic design, computational creativity, and the human-automation interface in professional-creative collaboration. A substantial part of this scholarship indicates a discipline struggling with foundational issues around design intelligence, the human-versus-machine creativity threshold, and the integration of advanced technology into professional systems (M Matter and G Gado 2024).

Generative design is recognized as the most prominent application of AI within the field of architecture. It is a combination of evolutionary algorithms and constraint-based optimization techniques to create design options that meet specific performance goals (Aslam, Aslam et al. 2025). One research indicates that generative systems can quickly identify millions of design options, report the configuration ranges of a given problem, and identify solutions that could take human designers much longer to arrive at, or that could be completely overlooked (Tellios, Koulali et al. 2023). Reports on generative design and its use cite a particularly pronounced reduction in design development time lost in the case of structurally intricate, or tightly constrained, projects where manual iteration is painstaking. Conversely, severe criticisms point out that generative results poorly perform when designers grossly and vaguely specify the configuration, constraints, and metrics. It suggests that human knowledge is the most important in integrating the use of computation in order to get valuable and purposeful results, rather than just getting a heap of intriguing results. Also, researchers mention that generative design can produce results that perform technologically, compared to given design goals, but fail to meet the context, culture, and art that the best architecture possesses (Borglund 2022).

From site analysis and energy modeling to program optimization and predicting construction costs, the use of machine learning in architecture has grown throughout different stages of design. When using neural networks to analyze large datasets of finished projects, it becomes possible to unlock design pattern and relationship predictions integral to the user profile, typology, and spatial needs of the building, and estimate construction costs more accurately than the traditional parametric method. Studies suggest that machine learning is well-suited for application in building performance predictions because it can easily handle complex multi-faceted task(Sharma, Zaki et al. 2022)s with poorly defined variables and relationships compared to conventional approaches. Some studies highlighting the prediction of building performance have shown that trained models are able to accurately predict energy use, daylighting, and thermal comfort, with less time than building performance simulation tools, and in some case exceeding simulation tools. This rapid prediction in the early phase of the design process, when the most critical design decisions are made, allows architects to evaluate multiple design concepts. Researchers emphasize the need for the designer to communicate the uncertainties and risks that arise from the machine learning statistical approximation, and that the lack of determinism in the models should be understood and communicated to all stakeholders. The lack of determinism in the model, and the need to control, determine and bias machine learning tools, raises the question of who's work and design philosophies are embedded in the data used for training, and, predictions and advanced bias machine learning tools (Shen and Pan 2023).

Parametric modeling, although it facilitates AI-driven design today, is also the precursor to AI systems. This is because it is the only modeling technique that allows the designer to configure designs as systems of nested dependencies rather than as static geometries. This is also the only technique that allows generative design and optimization systems to 'control' design variables because they already use rule-based systems (Akram, Mubin et al. 2025). Because of the 'systems' shift in design thinking that parametric practice and tools provide, the practice is very different from the traditional systems of design. It is also the only method that has been able to describe design as a system of relationships and transformations, instead of static forms. Several studies in practice have shown that the adoption of parametric systems and tools has very steep learning curves in both the technology and the underlying design logic. Other researchers have also commented on the lack of control that excessive parametrization can create, as well as the different forms of unintended consequences that can arise when design variables are pushed beyond the bounds of what was originally contemplated (Villano, Mauro et al. 2024).

The effects of AI instruments on design productivity and efficiency are the subject of a large body of empirical studies which produce disparate results based on the type of study sample, the contextual and organizational environment, and the approaches to implementation. For large automated processes, particularly those that are repetitive like generating design alternatives, performance analyses, and construction documentation, AI workflows reduce the time needed to complete several tasks within a design (Akram, Mubin et al. 2024). Hierarchical organizational designs that incorporate the AI technology are likely to produce temporary productivity losses due to the learning curve and workflow restructuring required by the AI technology's implementation. Cost studies also produce mixed results, as some organizations report substantial decrease in costs due to faster design processing and error reduction, while others unable to recoup the time lost due to inadequate investment in AI tools and the training to use the tools. There is substantial evidence that the potential for productivity increases is dependent on the degree of congruence between the project requirements and AI instruments, organizational encapsulation, and the presence of staff with AI proficiency who are willing to adapt and innovate in design processes (ul Haq, Akram et al. 2025).

Debates regarding the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in design creativity and quality considering the broader philosophical debates regarding creativity reflects the complexity of the issue. It emanates from the complexity of defining whether the role of computation should be the primary contributor to creativity and production of cultural artifacts (Aslam, Aslam et al. 2025). There are those who argue that AI fosters design creativity by removing the designer from some of the most tedious technical and critical design tasks. They claim that AI enables designers to transcend the limits of human constructability and provides real-time data that helps to make more sophisticated design decisions (ul Haq, Khokhar et al. 2025). Research that defends the AI perspective provides evidence of the use of computational design tools that allow AI to devise innovative forms, discover structural solutions that are counterintuitive and optimize building performance to levels that are unattainable through conventional design practices. Critics, on the other hand, point to the danger of design AI consolidating design uniformity. They argue that design performance that AI generates is based on algorithms that diminish qualitative architectural design and automate design relying on tacit knowledge, intuition, and vision that constitutes design mastery. Research that defends this perspective identify the tendency of design uniformity among projects that employ the same generative design algorithms systems. More balanced perspectives see AI as enhancing some aspects of design creativity and constraining others. This leads to the need for a more rational, critical, and reflexive use of computational design tools (Akram, Nadeem et al. 2025).

Studies have examined the issues and barriers to the use of AI in architecture, especially in developing countries and the small to medium enterprises that form the construction and architecture AEC sector in many countries (Farooq, Akram et al. 2025). Gaps in educational opportunities are another crucial barrier, as several architecture educational programs still focus on the traditional design and computational silos and produce graduates who are not ready for AI support practice. There are gaps in AI literacy between graduates of high-tech educational institutions and professionals who have gone through traditional training, which has become a challenge for firms trying to adopt new AI technologies. The educational and technological gaps are compounded by cultural and organizational inertia, including skepticism around the value of AI and deep-seated fears of job loss and a reluctance to change established operational patterns. Furthermore, the predominance of AI tools designed for the needs of Western, developed countries means that the assumptions and styles embedded in the technology may not be relevant to the construction practices, thermal climates, or building traditions of the Global South (de Boissieu and Deutsch 2022).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study utilizes a mixed-methods research design for a comprehensive analysis of artificial intelligence integration into the AEC sector of Pakistan. The quantitative part consisted of a structured survey sent out to 150 professionals in architecture across the major urban centers of the country. The survey collected information on the patterns of adoption of AI tools and relevant productivity, project duration, and cost variables along demographic variables. The survey included Likert-scale questions on the perceived impact of AI on productivity, efficiency, and adoption challenges. Comparative analysis, along with descriptive statistics and correlation analyses, were used in the assessment of traditional and AI-supported workflows. The qualitative part consisted of 20 semi-structured interviews with seasoned architects, design consultants, and tech specialists from various practice environments, including large multinational firms and sole practitioners. The interviews probed participant experiences of AI tools and the challenges related to design quality, creativity, implementation, and anticipated future shifts. Thematic analysis of transcripts of qualitative interviews revealed patterns, contradictions, and insights that were not apparent in the survey responses. The design, as a mixed-methods study, allowed for comprehensive triangulation wherein the qualitative data produced context and explanatory detail around the quantitative data that defined patterns and prevalence.

RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS

Quantitative Analysis

Table 1: Demographics And AI Tool Familiarity Among Respondents (N=150)

Category	Subcategory	Frequency	Percentage
Age Range	25-35 years	68	45.3%
	36-45 years	52	34.7%
	46-55 years	22	14.7%
	Above 55 years	8	5.3%
Professional Experience	1-5 years	42	28.0%
	6-10 years	54	36.0%
	11-15 years	31	20.7%
	Above 15 years	23	15.3%
Organization Type	Large firms (50+ employees)	45	30.0%
	Medium firms (10-49 employees)	62	41.3%
	Small firms (less than 10)	43	28.7%
AI Tool Awareness	Highly familiar	38	25.3%
	Moderately familiar	67	44.7%
	Minimal familiarity	45	30.0%

The demographic analysis reveals that the majority of respondents fall within the 25-45 age range, representing 80% of the sample, which indicates a relatively younger professional cohort more likely to be receptive to technological innovations. Professional experience distribution shows concentration in the 6-10 years category, suggesting respondents possess sufficient practical experience to evaluate AI tools critically while remaining in active career development phases. Medium-sized firms constitute the largest organizational representation, reflecting the typical structure of Pakistan's architectural sector. Notably, AI tool awareness remains moderate to minimal for approximately 75% of respondents, highlighting significant knowledge gaps that represent both challenges and opportunities for technology dissemination and professional development initiatives.

Table 2: Current AI Tool Adoption And Usage Patterns (N=150)

AI Tool Category	Currently Using	Awareness Only	Never Heard	Primary Application
Generative Design Tools	32 (21.3%)	78 (52.0%)	40 (26.7%)	Conceptual design
Machine Learning Applications	18 (12.0%)	52 (34.7%)	80 (53.3%)	Cost estimation
Parametric Modeling	56 (37.3%)	71 (47.3%)	23 (15.4%)	Complex geometries

AI-Enhanced Rendering	64 (42.7%)	58 (38.7%)	28 (18.6%)	Visualization
Automated Documentation	28 (18.7%)	64 (42.7%)	58 (38.6%)	Construction drawings
Performance Analysis AI	22 (14.7%)	61 (40.7%)	67 (44.6%)	Energy modeling

Adoption patterns demonstrate significant variation across different AI tool categories, with AI-enhanced rendering showing the highest usage rate at 42.7%, likely due to its direct client-facing value and relatively straightforward implementation. Parametric modeling follows at 37.3%, reflecting its longer presence in architectural education and practice. Conversely, machine learning applications and performance analysis AI show minimal adoption despite their potential impact, suggesting barriers related to technical complexity, limited awareness, or unclear value propositions. The substantial "awareness only" percentages across categories indicate widespread knowledge of AI capabilities without corresponding implementation, pointing to gaps between theoretical understanding and practical deployment that warrant investigation.

Table 3: Perceived Impact Of AI Tools On Design Workflow Efficiency (N=150)

Efficiency Metric	Significant Improvement	Moderate Improvement	No Change	Decreased Efficiency	Not Applicable
Design Development Speed	47 (31.3%)	58 (38.7%)	32 (21.3%)	8 (5.3%)	5 (3.4%)
Design Iteration Capability	52 (34.7%)	62 (41.3%)	28 (18.7%)	6 (4.0%)	2 (1.3%)
Documentation Time	38 (25.3%)	44 (29.3%)	48 (32.0%)	12 (8.0%)	8 (5.4%)
Error Reduction	42 (28.0%)	56 (37.3%)	38 (25.3%)	10 (6.7%)	4 (2.7%)
Client Communication	48 (32.0%)	54 (36.0%)	42 (28.0%)	4 (2.7%)	2 (1.3%)
Overall Productivity	45 (30.0%)	61 (40.7%)	36 (24.0%)	6 (4.0%)	2 (1.3%)

Efficiency impact assessments reveal predominantly positive perceptions, with approximately 70% of respondents reporting improvements in design iteration capability, the highest rated benefit of AI tool usage. Design development speed and overall productivity also receive favorable evaluations from roughly 70% of respondents, supporting claims that AI tools meaningfully accelerate architectural workflows. Documentation time shows more modest improvement perceptions with only 54.6% reporting benefits, possibly reflecting implementation challenges or workflow integration difficulties. Notably, small percentages reporting decreased efficiency highlight that not all AI implementations succeed, with some practitioners experiencing disruptions that outweigh benefits, underscoring the importance of appropriate tool selection, adequate training, and thoughtful workflow integration strategies.

Table 4: Time And Cost Impact Analysis (N=150)

Project Phase	Average Time	Average Cost	Respondents Reporting

	Saved	Reduction	Savings
Conceptual Design	18-25%	12-18%	78 (52.0%)
Design Development	22-32%	15-22%	82 (54.7%)
Construction Documentation	15-22%	10-15%	68 (45.3%)
Performance Analysis	28-38%	18-25%	64 (42.7%)
Client Presentations	20-28%	8-12%	88 (58.7%)
Overall Project Timeline	15-22%	12-18%	72 (48.0%)

Time and cost impact data demonstrate tangible economic benefits for firms successfully implementing AI tools, with performance analysis showing the most dramatic time savings ranging from 28-38%, reflecting AI's capacity to automate complex calculations that traditionally consume substantial professional hours. Design development phase shows strong savings potential, benefiting from generative design and parametric tools that accelerate iteration cycles. Client presentations achieve the highest percentage of respondents reporting benefits, likely due to AI-enhanced visualization tools producing higher quality renderings more rapidly. However, the relatively lower percentages reporting savings compared to those reporting efficiency improvements suggests implementation challenges or measurement difficulties. The cost reduction figures, while substantial, remain more modest than time savings, possibly reflecting continued expenses for software licensing, training, and technical support that offset productivity gains.

Table 5: Challenges And Barriers To AI Tool Implementation (N=150)

Challenge Category	Major Barrier	Moderate Barrier	Minor Barrier	Not a Barrier
High Software Costs	78 (52.0%)	46 (30.7%)	18 (12.0%)	8 (5.3%)
Inadequate Training	82 (54.7%)	48 (32.0%)	14 (9.3%)	6 (4.0%)
Technical Infrastructure	88 (58.7%)	42 (28.0%)	12 (8.0%)	8 (5.3%)
Resistance to Change	64 (42.7%)	58 (38.7%)	22 (14.7%)	6 (4.0%)
Learning Curve	72 (48.0%)	54 (36.0%)	18 (12.0%)	6 (4.0%)
Workflow Integration	68 (45.3%)	62 (41.3%)	16 (10.7%)	4 (2.7%)
Loss of Design Control	38 (25.3%)	56 (37.3%)	42 (28.0%)	14 (9.4%)

Challenge assessment reveals technical infrastructure as the most frequently cited major barrier at 58.7%, reflecting Pakistan's ongoing struggles with reliable electricity, internet connectivity, and hardware availability that fundamentally constrain sophisticated technology deployment. Inadequate training emerges as the second most significant obstacle, with 54.7% identifying it as a major barrier, highlighting deficiencies in both formal architectural education and professional development opportunities. High software costs represent another critical constraint particularly impacting smaller firms and independent practitioners who cannot justify substantial licensing investments without demonstrated returns. The learning curve and workflow integration challenges indicate that even when firms overcome financial and infrastructure barriers, the practical difficulties of mastering complex tools and adapting established processes create substantial implementation friction that can extend for months or years.

Table 6: Perceptions Of AI Impact On Design Quality And Creativity (N=150)

Quality Dimension	Strongly Enhances	Somewhat Enhances	No Impact	Somewhat Diminishes	Strongly Diminishes
Design Innovation	42 (28.0%)	64 (42.7%)	32 (21.3%)	10 (6.7%)	2 (1.3%)
Aesthetic Quality	32 (21.3%)	58 (38.7%)	48 (32.0%)	10 (6.7%)	2 (1.3%)
Structural Optimization	68 (45.3%)	62 (41.3%)	16 (10.7%)	3 (2.0%)	1 (0.7%)
Sustainability Performance	72 (48.0%)	58 (38.7%)	18 (12.0%)	2 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Design Originality	28 (18.7%)	48 (32.0%)	54 (36.0%)	16 (10.7%)	4 (2.6%)
Client Satisfaction	58 (38.7%)	68 (45.3%)	20 (13.3%)	3 (2.0%)	1 (0.7%)

Quality and creativity perceptions reveal nuanced attitudes toward AI's impact on architectural outcomes, with sustainability performance receiving the most positive evaluation at 86.7% reporting enhancement, likely reflecting AI tools demonstrated capabilities in energy modeling and environmental analysis where computational precision provides clear advantages. Structural optimization similarly receives strong endorsement, aligning with engineering domains where algorithmic optimization has proven value. Design innovation shows generally positive perceptions though with greater ambivalence, suggesting recognition of AI's capability to generate novel solutions tempered by concerns about computational processes constraining human creativity. Design originality generates the most skepticism with 36% perceiving no impact and 13.3% identifying diminishment, revealing anxieties about homogenization and loss of personal design voice that persist despite generally favorable efficiency assessments.

Qualitative Analysis

Theme 1: Transformation Of Design Cognition And Problem-Solving Approaches

Participants in the interviews remarked on the profound changes in the ways they understand and tackle design problems while integrating AI tools. These changes consist of a shift in thinking from point solution, linear problems to a more iterative and exploratory problem-solving approach. Architects also described a certain comfort, perhaps a newfound understanding, toward the embrace of uncertainty and solution multiplicity, acknowledging that the ‘best’ solution is not always clear and that computational design can uncover previously unthought potential. AI tools also were described by several participants as a means to more ‘rigorous’ framing of design constraints and performance criteria, compelling them to define priorities and articulations of trade-offs more clearly than the practice paradigm expects. The negative side of this, as some participants indicated, is the recurrence of the analytical solution that may, and in practice tends to, override the unquantifiable, qualitative aspects of a problem. This, to some participants, may undermine the discourse of design by foregrounding the measurable, solely instrumental aspects of a problem, and ignoring the qualitative, experiential, cultural, and architectural facets that comprise meaning and value.

Theme 2: Shifting Professional Identity And the Architect's Evolving Role

How the integration of AI into their everyday tools impacted their sense of self within the profession and the essence of what they do as architects was a point of concern for participants; professionals ranged from feelings of job apprehension to feelings of excitement with extending capabilities on the job. Some participants expressed feelings of being 'freed' from burdening technical tasks to concentrate on the creative aspects of the job, client interaction and design, while others expressed the opposite concern of atrophy of skills and over dependence on AI and computational tools that they cannot technically comprehend. A few experienced professionals expressed the concern that sophisticated design tools and their 'quick' abilities might allow younger architects to bypass the abstract design reasoning and tacit knowledge that has come to define professionalism. The main source of concern seemed to be whether the AI and computational tools they work with are augmenting their capabilities and 'thinking' or they are substituting and replacing the need for human input. Most experienced professionals do appear to acknowledge a reality for both scenarios while remaining considerably ambiguous on the prospects.

Theme 3: Infrastructure And Resource Constraints In The Pakistani Context

Pakistani architects place emphasis on infrastructure constraints as persistent and sophisticated challenges to the use of AI, regardless of how motivated individuals or organizations might be. The repeated interruptions in the electricity supply disrupt processes that require sustained computation such as rendering or generative design processes that can take hours or even days. Problems in internet connectivity halt access to cloud services, online training materials, and other AI-related resources, forcing professionals to rely on locally installed software and offline resources for learning. The price of the required hardware can be prohibitive. A high-performance workstation for running AI applications costs several times the average monthly salary of a professional. Thus, making an investment as a professional or an organization becomes difficult to justify in the absence of guaranteed returns. The participants described innovative, albeit inefficient, workarounds such as resource sharing for computing, scheduling powerful rendering processes to run during periods of stable electricity supply, and sending rendering jobs to countries with more reliable electricity supply, but these workarounds come with delays and complex coordination that ultimately reduce the productivity gains of AI.

Theme 4: Educational Gaps And The Need For Pedagogical Transformation

Inadequate preparation of graduates for practice involving AI integration into architecture stems from curriculum focus on traditional design studios with little emphasis on computation. Although recent graduates have basic software skills, they do not understand critical components of algorithmic thinking, parametric relationships, and data-driven design and are thus poorly equipped to leverage AI. Participants described investments in training new hires for on-the-job AI integration taking several years, after which employees could apply AI to project work autonomously. Interview participants suggested reforming architecture education to spread the integration of computational design across the curriculum instead of offering primarily standalone design courses. From this perspective, students would meld the skills of technology with a critical analysis of appropriate and ethical use. From this perspective, students would meld the skills of technology with a critical analysis of appropriate and ethical use. Frustration is expressed when universities install expensive software while faculty remain ignorant and unresponsive on the pedagogy, resulting in training with little exposure.

Theme 5: Balancing Efficiency Gains With Design Quality Concerns

In discussions with architects, the tension between the value in efficiency brought by AI tools and the quality of design, particularly the refinement of aesthetics and contextual design, remains. Generative tools are able to spawn multiple options in minimal time, but as the architects pointed out, AI tools tend to produce results that are 'underbaked' because they require a greater amount of refinement to achieve the resolution, detail, and cultural nuance demanded in professional practice. AI outputs, they say, are starting points or design inspirations but certainly not 'finish products' with significant human 'transformative' work required to translate algorithmic results to an architectural 'resolute' proposition. Concern for quantitative 'sustainability' and performance metrics appears to override qualitative cultural, nested experiential, and community meaning that is cognitively rich and defies computation. AI, the respondents felt, has made considerable strides in technical aspects, but leaves much to be desired in qualitative aspects of architecture as part of 'holistic' AI. This positions AI as a valuable tool that complements human design, not as a substitute.

Theme 6: Clients Expectations And Professional Practice Dynamics

The relationships architects hold with clients and the expectations clients have are crucial in determining the decisions and methodologies taken towards the adoption of AI. Some clients, particularly large developers and multinational corporations, explicitly request AI enhanced workflow, interpreting such computational capabilities as markers of professional sophistication and modernity. Conversely, other clients, particularly smaller private clients and governmental bodies, are unaware of the AI tools and their potential value such that the time and cost properly aligned with the use of these tools becomes difficult to defend. Participants evaluated attempts to articulate AI methodologies to clients as largely speculative and described the challenges associated with clients having no background in computational design. The use of advanced design tools for visualization has been documented as a cause for the unrealistic expectations clients hold about what can be designed and built. A number of architects pointed to the pressure of firms adopting AI tools as a means to preserve a speculative equilibrium in market position relative to their competitors, even when the economic returns are negative. The client dimension emerged as a crucial mediating variable determining whether AI capabilities transform the professional advantage or whether the AI capabilities remain a wasted resource.

DISCUSSION

When considering the use of AI tools in the Built Environment of Pakistan, one observes a lack of adequate infrastructure, educational systems, and cultural frameworks, along with the phenomenon of great potential value. Competitive advantage gained through the use of AI systems documented in the literature coincides with the findings from the use of AI tools in the local settings. AI systems intrinsic characteristics and abilities seem to fit in a variety of contexts. However, the value of AI technologies in any situation is contingent on the local market conditions. Pakistan presents a specific case where the local conditions are detrimental and severely limiting to the value of AI technologies. Here, the use of advanced AI tools comes with a highly unreliable power supply, poorly developed data network and a professional educational system that fails to integrate the use of AI technologies in practice.

Findings reveal that the process of integrating AI within an organization goes beyond the simple purchase of new technology. It involves an all-encompassing change within the organization itself. Such change involves the reconfiguration of workflows, the development of new skills, and adjustments within the organizational culture. Having high knowledge and awareness of an issue but exhibiting low actual usage signals that knowledge dissemination is not enough. It does not take into account the practical challenges that are often high costs, insufficient training, and difficult integration. The positive perceptions of the technology among users should, in principle, lead to greater rates of technology adoption. The value of the technology is clearly perceived by those who have implemented it. Due to the perceived value of the technology, it is possible that adoption is being disproportionately favored to larger, investment-rich organizations with the requisite technical knowledge. Such a situation can lead to deepening inequality in the architectural profession in Pakistan as the gains of AI are likely to be captured by larger, established firms.

The relation between design quality and creativity remains unclear, evidenced by the qualitative data indicating describable anxieties about originality, homogenization, and potential abandonment of cultural design concerns, while the quantitative data suggesting predominantly positive impressions. This uncertainty signals the tensions within AI-augmented creative practices proposed by the literature, i.e. the paradox as to whether AI computation expands constrains human creativity, whether it expands or diminishes one's design voice, and whether the optimization of design to quantifiable performance standards strips the design of essential qualitative elements. In the case of Pakistan, this is more complicated. Here, architects are confronted with not only the global question of Artificial Intelligence and creativity, but also the issue of whether AI tools developed in the West respond to local building practices, climate, culture, and the other considerations integral to shaping appropriate architecture in the region.

CONCLUSION

The comprehensive study of the integration of AI reveals a primarily promising sector in the Architecture, Engineering, and Construction (AEC) industry of Pakistan. However, the pronounced absence of real practical integration suggests the presence of genuine implementation issues. The incorporation of AI in design does improve efficiency and productivity, and in some cases quality, especially in the domains of structural optimization and preservation of the environment. Unfortunately, the productivity and quality benefits AI integration offers are inequitably distributed across the sector. The large, resource-abundant firms that have the requisite access and implementation capabilities are the ones reaping the benefits, while smaller firms are left grappling with the under-implementation realities. This study further demonstrates the need for educational, technical, and professional support system development for comprehensive, wide-reaching, systems-level implementation. The gap between deep technological penetration and the adoption of supportive ecosystems offers a unique area for industry stakeholders.

AI integration in architecture goes beyond simply using new tools to encompass deeper changes in design thinking, self-conception, and new forms of practice. Pakistani architects struggle to align the value of computation with the enduring Western traditions of design. Balancing the imperative of efficiency and aspirations of design quality, and the disparities between global advances in technology and the local context, are equally difficult. Research shows the lack of successful integration of AI stems from more than inadequacies in application and technique. It also requires the absence of design sleight of hand, value retrieval, and the compromise of minimizing the element of human design. It defines the disconnect between practice and loss of creativity. As the architecture profession in Pakistan continues to grow, the challenge is more than the adoption of AI; it is the need to develop local approaches that employ AI, remaining within the traditions, contextual sensitivities, and humanistic attitudes that architecture is, and ought to be, centered on.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Stakeholders within the Pakistani Architecture, Engineering, and Construction (AEC) industries need to understand the importance of horizontal, holistic, and comprehensive frameworks concerning AI integration planning. These should include the technology, education, and organization. Educational institutions focusing on architecture need to integrate teaching of computational design and design thinking into every aspect of the curriculum. Students need to be able to develop an appropriate technological framework from a critical perspective. Professional institutions should be responsible for introducing training to guide the establishment of mentorship systems that promote knowledge transfer to the younger generations of practitioners. Collaborative partnerships between governmental departments and industry associations should promote improvements on the infrastructure for policy frameworks relating to technology adoption that provide subsidized software, tax relief on investments, and ethical AI and control standards. Organizations should seek to implement AI technology for limited and clearly defined tasks while augmenting and supporting human efforts. AI should be employed strategically, and for the architecture, the focus should be on maintaining the human element. Practical AI integration planning across the four sectors of education, practice, policy, and industry can create frameworks that enable appropriate technology adoption while maintaining the humanistic perspective of the practice.

REFERENCES

Akram, N., et al. (2024). "Decoding the Interplay of Lighting and Spatial Dynamics: A Simulation-Based Case Study Analysis across Diverse Building Orientations." Metallurgical and Materials Engineering **30**(4): 434-458.

Akram, N., et al. (2025). "Beyond Illumination: Stakeholders Perspectives and Preferences on Eco-Friendly Lighting for Sustainable Cities." Metallurgical and Materials Engineering **31**(1): 249-276.

Akram, N., et al. (2025). "OPTIMIZING DAYLIGHT USING PASSIVE STRATEGIES: LIGHT SHELVES AND SOLAR TUBES." Spectrum of Engineering Sciences **3**(6): 474-489.

Aslam, M. W., et al. (2025). "FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY OF IMPLEMENTING SMART SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING PROJECTS: A REVIEW OF CURRENT STATUS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS." Spectrum of Engineering Sciences **3**(3): 557-567.

Aslam, M. W., et al. (2025). "THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING APPLICATIONS IN SMART GRIDS, POWER SYSTEMS, AND AUTOMATION." Spectrum of Engineering Sciences **3**(3): 540-556.

Borglund, C. (2022). Artificial Intelligence in Architecture and its Impact on Design Creativity: A Study on how Artificial Intelligence Affect Creativity in the Design Process.

Chaillou, S. (2022). Artificial intelligence and architecture: from research to practice, Birkhäuser.

de Boissieu, A. and R. Deutsch (2022). The long road to education for upcoming data-driven practices in architecture: Gaps, difficulties and silos. Structures and Architecture. A Viable Urban Perspective?, CRC Press**:** 1034-1041.

Farooq, U., et al. (2025). "Preserving Victoria School (Haveli Nau Nihal Singh): Architectural Documentation, Historical Insights, and Conservation Challenges." Annual Methodological Archive Research Review **3**(5): 233-246.

M Matter, N. and N. G Gado (2024). "Artificial intelligence in architecture: integration into architectural design process." Engineering Research Journal **181**: 1-16.

Manzoor, B., et al. (2021). "Digital technologies in the architecture, engineering and construction (Aec) industry—a bibliometric—qualitative literature review of research activities." International journal of environmental research and public health **18**(11): 6135.

Rafsanjani, H. N. and A. H. Nabizadeh (2023). "Towards human-centered artificial intelligence (AI) in architecture, engineering, and construction (AEC) industry." Computers in Human Behavior Reports **11**: 100319.

Rane, N. (2023). "Integrating leading-edge artificial intelligence (AI), internet of things (IOT), and big data technologies for smart and sustainable architecture, engineering and construction (AEC) industry: Challenges and future directions." Engineering and Construction (AEC) Industry: Challenges and Future Directions (September 24, 2023).

Saad, S., et al. (2024). AI-assisted building design. AI in material science, CRC Press**:** 143-168.

Sharma, V., et al. (2022). "Machine learning-aided cost prediction and optimization in construction operations." Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management **29**(3): 1241-1257.

Shen, Y. and Y. Pan (2023). "BIM-supported automatic energy performance analysis for green building design using explainable machine learning and multi-objective optimization." Applied Energy **333**: 120575.

Siddiqui, F. H. and A. Abdekhodaee (2025). "Transforming the Construction Industry of Pakistan to Industry 4.0: What Do We." Creating Capacity and Capability: Embracing Advanced Technologies and Innovations for Sustainable Future in Building Education and Practice: Building Construction Education and Industry, Volume I **562**: 183.

Tellios, A., et al. (2023). "Designing tomorrow: AI and the future of architectural design process." Interdisciplinary Journal of Architecture And Built Environment(27): 22-25.

ul Haq, M., et al. (2025). "Preserving Poonch House: Architectural Documentation, Historical Insights, and Conservation Challenges." Dialogue Social Science Review (DSSR) **3**(3): 179-202.

ul Haq, M., et al. (2025). "SHADI LAL BUILDING, LAHORE: A HISTORICAL AND ARCHITECTURAL ANALYSIS FOR CONSERVATION." Kashf Journal of Multidisciplinary Research **2**(03): 12-29.

Villano, F., et al. (2024). "A review on machine/deep learning techniques applied to building energy simulation, optimization and management." Thermo **4**(1): 100-139.

Zhong, M., et al. (2022). "China Pakistan economic corridor digital transformation." Frontiers in Psychology **13**: 887848.