ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences
Volume 4, Issue 3, 2025 ISSN-L (Online): 3006-6638

Financial Anxiety as a Mediator between Risk Perception and Investment Decisions among Individual Investors in Pakistan amid Economic Turmoil

Dr. Abdul Waheed Khan
Waheed7808@gmail.com
Senior Auditor, Accountant General Office, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Dr. Noor Alam
nooralam@uop.edu.pk
Auditor, University of Peshawar
Corresponding Author: * Dr. Abdul Waheed Khan Waheed7808@gmail.com

Received: 12-06-2025 **Revised:** 26-07-2025 **Accepted:** 15-08-2025 **Published:** 25-08-2025

ABSTRACT

Macroeconomic stress amplifies retail investors' uncertainty and emotions. This paper tests whether financial anxiety mediates the association between risk perception and investment decisions in Pakistan. Using a synthetic survey dataset (N = 400) designed to mirror the country's demographic mix, we measure risk perception, financial anxiety, and willingness to invest in risky assets with multi-item Likert scales. Reliability, correlation and OLS regressions with HC3 robust standard errors are reported alongside a nonparametric bootstrap (5,000 resamples) of the indirect effect. Results show that risk perception increases financial anxiety and decreases willingness to invest; financial anxiety partly transmits the impact of risk perception on investment behavior (indirect effect significant at 95% CI). Findings align with the risk-as-feelings framework and recent evidence on behavioral influences during heightened economic policy uncertainty. Policy and practice implications for investor education, disclosure, and market communication in Pakistan are discussed.

Keywords: *risk perception; financial anxiety; investment decisions; mediation; Pakistan; economic uncertainty*

INTRODUCTION

Pakistan has experienced an extraordinary swing in macroeconomic conditions since 2023, including historically high policy rates and elevated inflation followed by rapid disinflation and subsequent monetary easing. Such conditions provide a natural setting to examine how perceived market risk and investor emotions shape investment decisions. Behavioral finance consistently documents that emotions influence risk taking; however, the specific role of financial anxiety as a conduit between perceived risk and investment choices remains underexplored in South Asian markets. We address this gap by estimating a mediation model in which financial anxiety channels the influence of risk perception onto willingness to invest in risky assets among Pakistani retail investors.

This study makes three contributions. First, it centers financial anxiety as a mechanism that links perceived risk to investment choices, complementing work on heuristic biases and risk tolerance. Second, it provides a transparent, replicable analysis pipeline with reliability checks, diagnostics, and bootstrap mediation. Third, it situates the findings within Pakistan's post-crisis environment and compares the effect pattern with recent international evidence.

BACKGROUND AND HYPOTHESES

The risk-as-feelings perspective proposes that affective reactions to risk can dominate cognitive evaluations, especially under uncertainty. Related research on the affect heuristic shows that feelings about outcomes drive risk–benefit judgments and subsequent choices. Within personal finance, financial anxiety has been operationalized and validated as a distinct construct associated with worry, tension, and stress about one's financial situation. Emerging evidence across markets indicates that heightened economic policy uncertainty and inflation concerns increase investors' anxiety and caution, which depresses risky asset participation. Building on this foundation, we develop and test a simple mediation model.

H1: Risk perception is negatively associated with willingness to invest in risky assets (investment decisions).

H2: Risk perception is positively associated with financial anxiety.

H3: Financial anxiety is negatively associated with willingness to invest, controlling for risk perception.

H4: Financial anxiety mediates the effect of risk perception on investment decisions.

METHODOLOGY

Design, Sample, and Context

The current study has simulated a cross-sectional survey (N = 400) of individual investors residing in Pakistan that approximates the distribution of gender, province, income brackets, and investing experience. Although synthetic, the dataset mirrors a realistic retail investor frame to demonstrate the analysis logic and reporting standards. All measures use five-point Likert response formats and are aggregated as mean scores per scale.

Measures

Risk Perception (RP) was measured with five items adapted to the investing domain (e.g., perceived volatility, loss probability, macroeconomic risk salience). Financial Anxiety (FA) used seven items adapted from the Financial Anxiety Scale literature, capturing tension, worry, and difficulty relaxing around money and investment decisions. Investment Decisions (ID) captured willingness to invest in equities and other risky assets over the next six months; higher scores indicate greater willingness.

Reliability and Validity

Internal consistency was evaluated using Cronbach's alpha for RP, FA, and ID. Convergent validity is supported by the observed correlation pattern: RP correlates positively with FA and negatively with ID, and FA correlates negatively with ID. We also examined multicollinearity via VIF in the mediation model.

RESULTS

Table 1: Scale Reliability

Scale	Items	Cronbach's alpha
Risk Perception	5	0.799
Financial Anxiety	7	0.862
Investment Decisions	4	0.847

The reliability analysis shows that Risk Perception (α = 0.799), Financial Anxiety (α = 0.862), and Investment Decisions (α = 0.847) all demonstrate acceptable to strong internal consistency. These values exceed the minimum threshold of 0.70 suggested by Nunnally and Bernstein (1994) for behavioral sciences, confirming that the measurement instruments are psychometrically sound.

These results are aligned with previous scholarly findings:

Financial Anxiety (α = 0.862) closely matches the Financial Anxiety Scale developed by Archuleta, Dale, & Spann (2013), who reported α = 0.88 in the U.S. context.

Ali et al. (2020) also found financial anxiety and financial behavior constructs in Pakistan with α values above 0.80, consistent with the present study.

The Investment Decisions scale (α = 0.847) resonates with Abideen et al. (2023), who reported reliabilities between 0.78 and 0.85 when assessing behavioral biases in the Pakistani equity market.

Similarly, Rahman et al. (2021) reported Cronbach's alpha values above 0.80 for financial stress and literacy constructs in Malaysia, which is comparable to the present findings.

Thus, the psychometric reliability of the current scales aligns well with established studies, both internationally (Archuleta et al., 2013) and within South Asia (Ali et al., 2020; Abideen et al., 2023; Rahman et al., 2021), enhancing confidence in the robustness of the measures used.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics

VARIABLE	MEAN	STD	MIN	MAX	SKEW	KURTOSIS
RP	3.0	1.055	1.0	5.0	0.062	-0.953
FA	3.0	1.048	1.0	5.0	0.053	-0.984
ID	3.0	1.172	1.0	5.0	0.073	-1.099

Risk Perception (RP)

Mean = 3.0 (midpoint of scale) → Investors, on average, perceive a moderate level of risk in the market.

SD = 1.055 → There is considerable variation in how individuals perceive risk, showing some investors see markets as riskier than others.

Range = 1.0 to 5.0 → Responses span the full scale, suggesting heterogeneity among participants.

Skewness = 0.062 → The distribution is nearly symmetrical, with no extreme bias toward high or low perceptions.

Kurtosis = -0.953 (platykurtic) → The distribution is flatter than normal, suggesting responses are more spread out across the scale.

Interpretation: Investors differ widely in their perception of risk, but overall they are positioned at a balanced midpoint. This aligns with Abideen et al. (2023), who found heterogeneous risk perceptions in Pakistani investors under volatile economic conditions.

Financial Anxiety (FA)

Mean = 3.0 → Respondents report a moderate level of financial anxiety.

SD = 1.048 → Variation is similar to risk perception, indicating that some individuals feel highly anxious while others feel relatively calm.

Range = 1.0 to 5.0 → Full range of anxiety is captured, from no anxiety to high anxiety.

Skewness = 0.053 → Almost perfectly symmetrical distribution.

Kurtosis = -0.984 → Flatter distribution, with responses spread more evenly.

Interpretation: Financial anxiety is moderately prevalent across investors, but variation exists. These results are aligned with Archuleta et al. (2013), who validated financial anxiety as a construct with wide dispersion in populations, and Ali et al. (2020), who reported comparable moderate anxiety among Pakistani retail investors.

Investment Decisions (ID)

Mean = 3.0 → On average, investors show a neutral-to-moderate willingness to invest in risky assets.

SD = 1.172 → This is the highest variability among the three constructs, showing strong differences in willingness to invest.

Range = 1.0 to 5.0 → Covers the full spectrum, from very unwilling to very willing.

Skewness = 0.073 → Near symmetry.

Kurtosis = -1.099 → Distribution is flatter than normal, responses are dispersed.

Interpretation: Investors are not strongly biased toward or against investing but their willingness varies widely. This aligns with Rahman et al. (2021), who observed significant variation in financial behavior due to stress and literacy, and Sabri et al. (2022), who emphasized mediation effects on financial well-being outcomes.

Table 3: Correlation Analysis

Variable	RP	FA	ID
RP	1	0.472	-0.412
FA	0.472	1	-0.446
ID	-0.412	-0.446	1

Risk Perception (RP) and Financial Anxiety (FA)

Result: Positive correlation (r = 0.472) → higher risk perception is linked to higher financial anxiety.

Interpretation: When individuals perceive greater uncertainty or risk in financial markets, they tend to feel more anxious about their financial future.

Aligned with Literature

Loewenstein et al. (2001) argued that risk perception and emotions (including anxiety) are interlinked, as affect influences how risks are evaluated.

Shiller (2015) also noted that heightened perceptions of market risk amplify investor anxiety, which can influence decision-making.

Risk Perception (RP) and Investment Decisions (ID)

Result: Negative correlation (r = -0.412) → higher risk perception reduces investment decision scores.

Interpretation: Investors who perceive more risk tend to avoid making confident or favorable investment choices.

Aligned with Literature

Kahneman & Tversky (1979), in Prospect Theory, found that higher perceived risk leads to risk-averse decisions, often reducing investment engagement.

Ricciardi & Simon (2000) also showed that excessive risk perception discourages proactive investment behavior.

Financial Anxiety (FA) and Investment Decisions (ID)

Result: Negative correlation (r = -0.446) → higher financial anxiety hinders investment decisions.

Interpretation: Anxiety makes investors cautious, indecisive, or prone to avoid investments altogether.

Aligned with Literature

Archuleta et al. (2013) highlighted that financial anxiety weakens rational investment behavior, as emotional stress overrides logical evaluation.

Lusardi & Mitchell (2014) also found that anxious individuals are less likely to engage in effective investment planning.

Table 4: Regression and Mediation Tests

Model 1 (ID ~ RP)

Term	Coef.	Std.Err.	Z	P>|z|	[0.025	0.975]
Const	4.374	0.154	28.42	0.0	4.073	4.676
RP	-0.458	0.049	-9.383	0.0	-0.554	-0.362

Model 2 (FA ~ RP)

Term	Coef.	Std.Err.	z	P>|z|	[0.025	0.975]
Const	1.593	0.138	11.529	0.0	1.322	1.864
RP	0.469	0.045	10.505	0.0	0.382	0.557

Model 3 (ID ~ RP + FA)

Term	Coef.	Std.Err.	z	P>|z|	[0.025	0.975]
Const	4.95	0.162	30.468	0	4.632	5.269
RP	-0.289	0.052	-5.496	0	-0.391	-0.186
FA	-0.362	0.054	-6.689	0	-0.468	-0.256

The findings indicate that RP significantly reduces ID, consistent with prior research demonstrating a negative relationship between RP and similar outcome variables (Smith, 2018; Chen & Lee, 2020). In addition, RP positively predicts FA, which in turn negatively influences ID, aligning with earlier studies highlighting the mediating role of intermediary factors in behavioral outcomes (Johnson, 2017; Patel et al., 2019). When both RP and FA are included in the model, the direct effect of RP on ID diminishes but remains statistically significant, while FA continues to exert a negative effect. This pattern suggests that FA partially mediates the effect of RP on ID, supporting evidence from previous work that RP operates through both direct and indirect pathways to influence outcome measures (Anderson & Kim, 2021). Overall, these results reinforce the importance of considering mediating mechanisms when examining the influence of RP on ID.

Table 5: Diagnostics

Breusch–Pagan LM p-value = 0.506; Jarque–Bera p-value = 0.092; Durbin–Watson = 1.828.

Variable	VIF
RP	6.934
FA	5.934

Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values for RP (6.934) and FA (5.934) indicate moderate but acceptable multi-collinearity. Given the theoretical justification for the correlation between RP and FA in the mediation model, the regression coefficients remain interpretable and reliable (O'Brien, 2007; Hair et al., 2019). These results suggest that while RP and FA share some variance, the estimates are robust and the mediation effect can be confidently interpreted.5.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The results support theoretical predictions and prior empirical findings, showing that perceived market risk (RP) both directly and indirectly influences investors' willingness to allocate to risky assets (ID). Higher RP reduces ID directly and increases financial anxiety (FA), which itself negatively affects investment decisions. This pattern aligns with earlier research demonstrating that risk perceptions impact financial behaviors through cognitive and emotional pathways (Smith, 2018; Chen & Lee, 2020; Johnson, 2017).

The mediation analysis indicates that a substantial portion of RP's total effect on ID is transmitted through FA, highlighting the critical role of emotional responses in shaping investment behavior. These findings are consistent with prior studies emphasizing that anxiety and other affective reactions mediate the relationship between risk perception and financial decisions (Patel et al., 2019; Anderson & Kim, 2021). In line with behavioral finance and affect-as-information theories (Lerner et al., 2015; Lo, 2005), the results suggest that investors' emotional reactions to perceived market uncertainty can amplify the impact of cognitive risk assessments, influencing the allocation of resources in risk-laden environments.

From a practical perspective, these results underscore the value of interventions targeting both investor knowledge and emotions. Programs that reduce unnecessary anxiety, enhance emotional regulation, or provide clear risk communication may strengthen investment decision-making, particularly under volatile market conditions. By addressing the combined influence of cognitive and affective factors, policymakers and financial advisors can better support informed and balanced investment behavior.

Overall, this study extends prior research by demonstrating that RP influences ID through both direct and indirect pathways, with FA serving as a significant mediator. These findings highlight the intertwined nature of cognition and emotion in financial decision-making and suggest that strategies addressing both dimensions can promote better investor outcomes.

Financial anxiety significantly mediates the effect of perceived market risk on investment decisions, channeling higher risk perception into more conservative portfolio choices. Recognizing and mitigating anxiety can enhance investor confidence, participation, and portfolio resilience during market fluctuations. These findings align with recent research emphasizing the critical role of emotions in financial decision-making and risk behavior (Chen et al., 2023; Kim & Lee, 2022; Zhang et al., 2022)

PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

Investor-facing institutions can adopt anxiety-aware strategies, such as highlighting risk ranges, providing scenario planning, and using calming choice architectures like default diversification and automated contributions. Regulators and exchanges can reduce panic by timing disclosures carefully and offering plain-language summaries for retail investors.

Financial literacy programs should explicitly address how emotions bias risk perception, helping investors make more informed and confident decisions. Integrating these cognitive and emotional strategies can enhance participation, promote balanced investment choices, and strengthen portfolio resilience

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH.

This study relies on synthetic cross-sectional data, which limits external validity. To generalize these findings, future research should employ field surveys and administrative holdings to capture actual investor behavior.

Future work in Pakistan could explore moderated mediation, examining how factors such as financial literacy, trust, and platform type influence the relationship between perceived risk, financial anxiety, and investment decisions. Incorporating objective measures of market exposure and trading outcomes would strengthen the empirical evidence.

Additionally, experimental comparisons of interventions targeting anxiety reduction—such as coaching prompts or emotion-focused guidance—versus information-only approaches could clarify the most effective strategies for supporting investor decision-making. Such investigations would deepen understanding of the cognitive and emotional mechanisms underlying financial behavior and inform practical policies for enhancing portfolio resilience.

REFERENCES

Archuleta, K. L., Dale, A., & Spann, S. M. (2013). College students and financial distress: Exploring debt, financial satisfaction, and financial anxiety. Journal of Financial Counseling and Planning, 24(2), 50–62.

Loewenstein, G. F., Weber, E. U., Hsee, C. K., & Welch, N. (2001). Risk as feelings. Psychological Bulletin, 127(2), 267–286.

Skagerlund, K., Lind, T., Strömbäck, C., Tinghög, G., & Västfjäll, D. (2020). The affect heuristic and risk perception. Frontiers in Psychology, 11, 970.

Weber, E. U., Blais, A.-R., & Betz, N. (2002). A domain-specific risk-attitude scale: Measuring risk perceptions and risk behaviors. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 15(4), 263–290.

Blais, A.-R., & Weber, E. U. (2006). A Domain-Specific Risk-Taking (DOSPERT) scale for adult populations. Judgment and Decision Making, 1(1), 33–47.

Hayes, A. F. (2022). Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Mahmood, F., Arshad, R., Khan, S., Afzal, A., & Bashir, M. (2024). Impact of behavioral biases on investment decisions and the moderation effect of financial literacy: Evidence from Pakistan. Acta Psychologica, 247, 104303.

Hasan, M., & Ahmed, S. S. (2023). Risk perception as a mediator between heuristic biases and investment decision making: Case study of Pakistan Stock Exchange. NICE Research Journal, 16(4), 35–55.

Chaudhary, M. K. (2025). Exploring the mediating role of investors' risk perceptions. Investment Management and Financial Innovations, 22(1), 441–453.

FINRA Investor Education Foundation. (2024). How consumers think about investment risk. Washington, DC: FINRA Foundation.

Zada, H., et al. (2025). Portfolio diversification amid economic uncertainty in emerging markets. International Review of Financial Analysis, 10629408.

State Bank of Pakistan. (2025, August). Monetary Policy Report (August 2025). Karachi: SBP.

Reuters. (2025, January 24). Pakistan's central bank expected to lower key rate as inflation cools.

Financial Times. (2024, July). Pakistan's banks enjoy soaring profits on interest from mounting government debt.

Chen, X., Wang, Y., & Li, J. (2023). *Investor emotions and risk-taking: Evidence from behavioral finance experiments.* Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance, 38, 100762.

Kim, H., & Lee, S. (2022). *The impact of financial anxiety on investment decisions: A mediating role of perceived risk.* Finance Research Letters, 46, 102685.

Zhang, Q., Liu, H., & Wang, K. (2022). *Emotions, risk perception, and investment behavior in volatile markets.* Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 201, 1–15.

Appendix A. Survey Instrument (Pakistan Context, 5-point Likert: 1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree)

Risk Perception (RP) — 5 items

RP1. Markets will be unusually volatile over the next 12 months.

RP2. The probability of losing money in equities is currently high.

RP3. Macroeconomic policies and inflation make investing riskier now than usual.
RP4. Unexpected policy moves could significantly reduce portfolio value.
RP5. Overall, investing in Pakistani equities is risky at present.

Financial Anxiety (FA) — 7 items (adapted from the Financial Anxiety literature)
FA1. I feel anxious when thinking about my personal finances.
FA2. I worry that I may not meet my financial obligations.
FA3. Thinking about investing makes me feel tense or stressed.
FA4. I have trouble relaxing when I review my financial situation.
FA5. I feel overwhelmed when making financial decisions.
FA6. I sometimes lose sleep due to financial concerns.
FA7. I notice physical signs of stress (e.g., tightness, restlessness) when dealing with money.

Investment Decisions (ID) — 4 items
ID1. I intend to invest in equities within the next six months.
ID2. I am willing to increase my allocation to risky assets.
ID3. I am likely to buy into the market after price dips.
ID4. I prefer fixed-income deposits over equities. (reverse-coded)