ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences
Volume 4, Issue 3, 2025 ISSN-L (Online): 3006-6638

Cultural Revival Through Zero-Waste Fashion: Crochet in the Modern Design Narrative

Muhammad Muddasar Mehmood
Mudassirmehmood104@gamil.com
PhD Scholar, Department of Clothing, National Textile University, Faisalabad

Manila Afzal
manila.afzal@lcwu.edu.pk
Assistant Professor, Lahore College for Women University LCWU Lahore

Wajeeha Bakhtawar
wajeeha.bak@gmail.com
MSC (Hons) Clothing and Textiles, Visiting lecturer (GCWUF)

Yousra Saleem
Yousrasaleem@gcuf.edu.pk
Assistant Professor, Institute of Art and Design, Government College University Faisalabad

Hira Khalid
hiraa.khalid2025@gmail.com
PhD Scholar, National Textile University, Faisalabad

Muhammad Salman Arshad
salman.arshad.fsd@superior.edu.pk
Lecturer, Department of Business Administration, Superior University
Corresponding Author: * Yousra Saleem Yousrasaleem@gcuf.edu.pk

Received: 12-06-2025 **Revised:** 18-07-2025 **Accepted:** 02-08-2025 **Published:** 24-08-2025

ABSTRACT

This study investigates how to creatively combine ancient crocheting methods with contemporary, eco-friendly fashion by repurposing denim waste. Focusing on crochet as a fundamental design and cultural component, the study reimagines this traditional handcraft in modern clothing designs that exhibit understated, marketable aesthetics. Through the collection of more than 20 kg of pre- and post-consumer denim from nearby sources and collaborations with rural women crocheters, the study produced twelve experimental design samples. Based on sustainability, functionality, and aesthetic appeal, four final clothes were chosen through comparative study. These gender-neutral designs combined hand-crocheted motifs with modular panel construction, signifying a fusion of artisan empowerment, zero-waste methods, and cultural rebirth. The project shows how waste materials may be turned into wearable representations of heritage and modernity through slow fashion and craft-led innovative thinking, all the while assuring a positive social and environmental impact.

Keywords: *Zero waste design, sustainable fashion, crochet revival, artisan collaboration, gender neutral garments, cultural preservation*

INTRODUCTION

The global fashion industry has changed a lot in recent decades. It is now being closely examined for its harmful effects on the environment, culture, and ethics. Designers, scholars, and activists are focusing on sustainable fashion due to growing concerns about mass production, overconsumption, and environmental harm. This change involves using waste materials, employing ethical labor practices, and bringing back

ancient textile methods. In these methods, crochet is a traditional handicraft that has been passed through generations and has become a very valuable cultural and artistic asset.

This study explores how denim waste and crocheting can be combined to create modern clothing designs. To promote sustainable design methods, the project connects the past and present by creating traditional crochet patterns in modern styles with recycled materials. The main goal of this project is to use sustainability to rethink old crochet skills in modern fashion. These goals come from a design philosophy that emphasizes cultural legacy, environmental awareness, and practical beauty. As a traditional skill, crochet has its origins both in rural and domestic textile practices. Crochet has been used historically by women in South Asian and other communities to make clothing and household goods. But in the past few years, crochet has become a popular design feature in sustainable and high fashion. This comeback is part of a larger movement. Designers are using traditional methods again to create clothing that is genuinely cultural, handmade, and rich in emotion. Fashion is adopting the craft revival as an ethical and aesthetically pleasing option as mass production's negative effects on the environment and society are being called into question more and more. Using discarded materials, especially denim, supports zero-waste design principles. Denim is one of the most durable and resource-heavy fabrics in the clothing industry. It is produced and used in large amounts around the world. Too much energy, water, and chemicals are used in its manufacturing. With each passing year, masses of waste denim end up in landfills due to the fast fashion trend. This project diverts trash from disposal streams and reduces the environmental impact of making new clothing by obtaining denim leftovers from factories, secondhand markets, and tailoring shops. Employing crochet-trained rural women is an important part of this research. To bring ancient knowledge back to life, this supports social sustainability by giving marginalized populations with economic inclusion and empowerment. The artists actively handled the creation of the motif, coordinated the colors, and executed the crochet embroidery that was later added to the clothing. The partnership model used in this study focuses on fair pay, new ideas, and recognition of artisan contributions. These are essential for ethical and sustainable fashion systems. In order to test different denim panel combinations and crochet motif placements, twelve prototypes were created. A selected group of boutique buyers, and fashion students, examined and assessed these examples.

To gather the feedback, both quantitative and qualitative methods, like surveys and visual evaluation rubrics were used. Four finished garments were then selected for completion and display based on this comparison. These pieces show a balance between sustainable material use, traditional surface layout, and modern silhouettes. Modular panel cutting methods were used to make the chosen clothing. This approach reduced fabric wastage and allowed for versatile design options. The silhouettes were designed to be gender-neutral. They provide comfort, utility, and modesty, which are increasingly desired in today's fashion markets. To highlight craftsmanship and add visual detail without sacrificing wearability, hand-crocheted motifs were placed strategically. Reusing trims, fasteners, and other materials was another sustainable method that kept the environmental impact low during the production. This initiative shows how historical crafting, inclusive design, and waste reduction can work together. So, it adds to the ongoing discussions about sustainable fashion. It presents a perspective on fashion, viewing it as a cultural artifact that highlights both tradition and creativity. The clothes made here share stories of resilience, creativity, and responsibility. They are more than just things to wear. They indicate that the skills of human hands and the wisdom of traditional methods, combined with new technologies and materials, will be important for the future of fashion.

This study highlights the importance of audience-focused design thinking. Including potential consumers in the assessment stage helped improve the clothing to meet their preferences and expectations in real life. This user-focused approach ensures that sustainability is a common value which is reflected in how acceptable the final product is, and not just an internal design goal. In conclusion, using crochet in modern fashion design is an attractive option for ethical fashion. This is especially true when it involves artisans and fabric recycling. This initiative demonstrates how cultural preservation and zero-waste methods can

truly help each other. By mixing traditional methods with modern design approaches, the study shows that crochet can be a strong tool for social change, sustainability, and fashion innovation rather than just a sentimental keepsake.

Objectives

The study highlights three related objectives.

i. The preservation and modern application of crochet handcraft.

ii. The use of discarded denim fabric sourced from local markets to minimize textile waste.

iii. The creation of gender-neutral, market-relevant garments that cater to modest fashion trends.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Sustainable fashion has emerged as a dynamic field of inquiry, driven by increasing ecological awareness and a critical reassessment of global production systems. Scholars and practitioners are reorienting fashion not merely as commodity creation but as a multidimensional cultural practice that intersects with environmental ethics, heritage preservation, and social agency. The revival of traditional crafts—most notably crochet—and the incorporation of waste materials into design processes form a central axis in contemporary efforts to reconfigure fashion's socio-environmental narrative (Fletcher, 2008; Fraser, 2020; Jevons, 2021).

Craft Revival and Cultural Preservation

Crochet, a historically feminine domestic handcraft, has reentered the design lexicon as both a stylistic and symbolic instrument. Its resurgence is emblematic of a broader craft renaissance, whereby designers embrace tactile, labor-intensive techniques in rejection of mass-produced anonymity (Fletcher, 2008; Fraser, 2020). Jevons (2021) frames crochet as a contemporary couture asset, reflecting aesthetic intentionality and cultural rootedness. With its intricate stitch patterns and small size, the material of crochet carries a sense of attention to detail that is mostly absent in the production of automated clothing.

Chan and Wong (2012) look at how traditional crafts support cultural sustainability. They also highlight how these crafts can increase local identity and encourage community participation. Scrase (2003) discusses craft work in relation to global economic changes. He argues that artisans represent flexibility and resistance in response to industrialization. Mehmood and Gill (2023) expand this discussion by showing how mixing waste fabrics with local crafts leads to new solutions that are environmentally friendly.

The return of crochet should be seen through the lens of modern design methods that focus on emotional impact. According to Joy et al. (2012), clothing with handmade details makes customers feel more connected and also increase product's life. Palomo-Lovinski (2014) states that cultural expression in clothing helps to build emotional resilience by promoting identification and memory. Based on these observations, crochet serves as a tradition and a design method that uses feelings and stories to tackle sustainability.

Environmental Impact and Circular Alternatives

There is still a significant concern about the environmental impact of clothing manufacture. Niinimäki (2020) estimates that 87% of textiles are either landfilled or burned, contributing around 10% of the world's carbon emissions.

Shen (2014) shows how supply chain interventions, like those started by companies like H&M, may lessen this burden, whereas Bick et al. (2018) address related environmental toxicity and public health issues.

As a result, a substantial amount of research supports circular design systems that give reuse and waste reduction first priority. As a solution-focused approach, zero-waste design has become more popular, especially during the construction stage when post-cutting waste can be reduced or eliminated completely (McQuillan, 2011; Goldsworthy, 2012). Modular layouts and pattern-integrated construction methods provide flexibility and creative aesthetics while also conserving resources.

Earley (2016) emphasizes the significance of conceptualization across the clothing lifecycle and cites circular design futures as crucial to long-term sustainability. Circularity is also framed by Lehmann et al. (2019) as a strategic necessity across textile value chains, reinforcing these concepts. Although Farrant et al. (2010) quantify the advantages of secondhand clothes in reducing overall material demand, Sandin and Peters (2018) provide empirical evidence supporting the decreased environmental impact of textile reuse.

Pre-consumer waste, which includes excess inventory, cutting remnants, and sample errors, has emerged as a popular area for research. Wang and Lee (2019) show how design creativity can enhance these materials. This adds to their visual appeal and usefulness with resources. Ryberg and Christensen (2021) say that life - cycle assessments of recycled clothing show significant drops in greenhouse gas emissions. Complementary studies by Fisher and Shipton (2009) and Earley and Vuletich (2010) show how design thinking can turn constraints into opportunities. It changes waste into an expressive form.

Slow Fashion and Emotional Durability

The slow fashion movement focuses on longevity, and quality rather than speed and excess. It is gaining popularity as it brings about important transformation. Black (2012) presents slow fashion as a response to seasonal waste by emphasizing the value of handmade skill and human effort. According to Beard (2008), narrative, not novelty, is how ethical fashion shares its message and also allows for deeper customer interaction.

This view is backed by research from Joy et al. (2012). Their study shows that a person's emotional connection to clothing influences behavior. This connection comes from factors like craftsmanship and cultural importance. As a result, people tend to discard less and repair more. The consumption cycle slows down when clothing has a strong sense of identity and personal meaning, according to Palomo-Lovinski (2014). So, crochet is a way to support emotional sustainability, and it is not just something decorative.

Artisanship and Inclusive Design Systems

The roles of female craftspeople in ethical fashion show a greater commitment to empowerment. Dissanayake and Sinha (2015) examine remanufacturing models where rural craftswomen support ecological and financial stability. They do this by making material and creative contributions to upcycled clothing. Nazir and Afzal (2021) highlight how women's involvement in design systems boosts their agency and visibility and this makes their craft knowledge valuable. Mukendi et al. (2020) show just how much

collaboration can spark innovation. When voices from all backgrounds - especially those that don't often get heard - come together, the results are simply better. These collaborative approaches let craftspeople move from being just workers to truly co-authoring the creative process. This shift doesn't just change how things are made; it helps balance who holds power in production and makes communities more economically resilient. And as Mehmood and Gill (2023) point out, weaving traditional stitching into modern fashion isn't just about style - it's a powerful example of why ethical authorship and working together across cultures matter so much in design.

Modest and Gender-Neutral Fashion Trends

Recent research has also looked into the link between sustainability ideals and simple, gender-neutral fashion. Pal and Gander (2018) investigate how combining cultural significance, comfort, and adaptability makes modest clothing a distinctive means for people to express themselves. These styles encourage responsible consumption because they are timeless and don't rely too heavily on fads, claim Kaur and Chahal (2020).

Beard (2008) connects ethical branding to simple design aesthetics, and Park and Kim (2016) look at how adopting technology, like modular fitting and sizing personalization, can help sustainability that focuses on the user. These trends go against seasonal and binary fashion cycles by offering inclusive options that accept a variety of body types and personal values.

METHODOLOGY

The goal of this practice-based design study was to combine traditional crochet craft methods with contemporary zero-waste clothing production. It used a hybrid qualitative and quantitative methodology. The three primary stages of the procedure were material collection and classification, design development and sampling, and final garment manufacturing and analysis. The study was grounded in market relevance, cultural preservation, and sustainable design principles. The methodology places emphasis on hands-on experimentation, data-supported decision-making, and artisan collaboration. The first phase began with the sourcing of textile waste materials, particularly post-consumer and pre-consumer denim, from local tailoring shops, factory leftovers, and resale markets. Over 20 kilograms of denim waste were gathered and categorized by type, texture, color, and condition. Categories included light-wash, medium-wash, and dark-wash denim, each further subdivided based on stretch, durability, and size of usable pieces. Usability was determined through a minimum patch size (8x8 inches) and visual inspection for wear and tear. Approximately 93% of the collected fabric was deemed suitable for reuse, with the rest discarded due to heavy staining, tearing, or shrinkage. Parallel to fabric collection, the project engaged a group of seven rural women artisans with expertise in traditional crochet-making. These artisans were commissioned to produce varied crochet motifs using thread colors that complemented the collected denim fabrics. Motifs included floral, geometric, and grid patterns, and were developed based on traditional techniques passed down through generations as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Traditional crochet motifs developed by rural artisans

Color coordination charts were also created to match the reclaimed fabric with crochet threads, ensuring aesthetic balance in the final garments. Each artisan was provided with clear design templates and color references. Their output was evaluated weekly to ensure stitch uniformity, motif consistency, and alignment with the designer's vision. In the second phase, twelve experimental design samples were created. These prototypes integrated the crochet motifs with denim panels in various configurations. The samples were created to test different design techniques: full-panel integration, applique layering, modular patching, and edge-based enhancement. Each sample was constructed using basic garment shapes, including tunics, vests, coats, and skirts. The primary goal at this stage was to assess the compatibility of traditional crochet with rugged denim fabric, both visually and structurally. Data were recorded on the amount of fabric used, time required for assembly, quantity of crochet patches applied, and the weight of the final product. To further evaluate design effectiveness, a structured survey was conducted with 20 individuals representing the target market, including fashion students, designers, and boutique retailers. The respondents rated each sample on a five-point Likert scale across four criteria: visual appeal, functionality, sustainability, and market potential. Statistical analysis was performed to determine mean scores and select the top four designs. The most highly rated samples showed a balance of traditional aesthetic, modern appeal, and practical construction. Samples 2, 5, 7, and 10 emerged as the strongest candidates for further development. The third phase focused on constructing the final garments. The selected samples were converted into complete wearable designs using a gender-neutral approach to fit. The silhouettes were intentionally designed for versatile, modest wear and constructed with minimal fabric waste.

Modular construction techniques were employed to optimize material use. Layouts were drawn digitally and manually to determine cutting plans that minimized off-cuts. Any remaining scraps were re-integrated into smaller garment components such as pockets or trims. Reused fastenings and accessories (e.g., buttons, rivets, zippers) were also sourced from second-hand shops to maintain a consistent sustainability framework. Each final garment was assembled using sewing techniques suitable for heavy denim, ensuring seam strength and garment durability. Crochet motifs were attached by hand to prevent fabric distortion and to highlight the handcrafted quality of the work. Each piece was evaluated on criteria such as stitch tension, balance of materials, ease of wear, and movement comfort. A second feedback loop was initiated, where garments were worn by models of different body types and genders to confirm versatility and market alignment. Feedback was documented in the form of structured interviews and observation notes. To measure sustainability impact quantitatively, the weight of fabric used per garment was recorded, along with leftover materials. Less than 3% waste was recorded for the entire production process. A life-cycle perspective was applied to assess longevity potential based on fabric durability, reparability, and user attachment. User attachment was inferred through participant interviews and engagement during the design review sessions, where users expressed appreciation for both cultural value and modern aesthetic. The methodology employed in this study is replicable and scalable. It not only highlights the potential of fusing craft heritage with sustainability but also validates the process through measurable data and market-driven evaluation. The close collaboration with artisans ensures socio-economic inclusion, and the use of waste material affirms environmental responsibility. The methodology reflects a holistic model for future-forward fashion that preserves tradition while addressing modern ecological and ethical challenges.

Sample Development and Denim Waste Collection

The first phase involved the development of twelve initial garment samples, created using various combinations of panel layouts and crochet placement. These samples explored differences in:

- Panel dimensions
- Placement of crochet motifs (e.g., cuffs, pockets, plackets, yoke)
- Fabric texture and layering
- Stitch patterns and color coordination

To support the sustainable goal, over 20 kg of discarded denim fabric was sourced from three local resale markets and denim tailors. The material was sorted by:

- Color (light, medium, dark wash)
- Texture (stretch, raw, soft)
- Condition (wearable, stained, torn)

After cleaning and trimming, 18.6 kg of the fabric was deemed usable. The breakdown of the collected fabric is shown in Table 1.

Table 1: A breakdown of collected fabric

Denim Type	Quantity (kg)	Usable (%)	Use in Sampling
Light Wash	7.2	88%	Cuffs, Base Panel
Medium Wash	6.5	90%	Full Body Panels
Dark Wash	6.3	75%	Accents, Collars
Total	**20.0**	**93% avg**	All garments

The crochet work was developed using cotton threads in complementary colors. Motifs included floral, grid, and geometric styles based on traditional regional stitch patterns.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Each of the twelve samples was evaluated based on:

- Visual appeal (color balance, motif placement)
- Functionality (ease of wear, comfort, durability)
- Sustainability (material use, waste reduction)
- Market viability (relevance to gender-neutral fashion and modest wear trends)

A target group of 20 respondents (comprising fashion students, boutique owners, and sustainability advocates) participated in a structured evaluation survey. A 5-point Likert scale was used to rate each sample in the four categories above. Based on the quantitative scores, Samples 2, 5, 7, and 10 were selected for full garment development and their evaluations based on four criteria is given in Table 2.

Table 2: Final garment evaluation summary

Sample No.	Visual Appeal	Functionality	Sustainability	Market Relevance	Avg. Score
Sample 2	4.5	4.1	4.7	4.6	4.48
Sample 5	4.3	4.0	4.6	4.4	4.33
Sample 7	4.7	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.65
Sample 10	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.55

The final samples 2, 5, 7, and 10 are shown in Figures 2, 3, 4, and 5.

Figure 2: Sample 2: Boxy tunic shirt and trousers

Figure 3: Sample 5: Jacket and trousers

Figure 5: Sample 7: Cardigan and straight leg pants

Figure 4: Sample 10: Hoodie and jeans

Garment Overview

The Table 3 offers a glimpse into the interplay between style, material weight, and craftsmanship in a crochet-driven garment collection. Sample 2, the boxy tunic and trousers set, commands the highest fabric usage at 3.1 kg, matching its extensive panel count and time investment—ideal for structured silhouettes. Meanwhile, Sample 10's hoodie and jeans demonstrate efficiency, requiring the least fabric and fewest crochet panels, yet maintaining complexity with 6.5 hours of labor. Sample 7 mirrors Sample 2 in panel density but showcases streamlined fabrication. Each entry reveals how silhouette decisions and panel architecture directly shape material consumption and production pacing. Let me know if you'd like this reworded for exhibition text or collection notes.

Table 3: A comparison of crochet paneling, material usage, and labor time across garment styles"

Samples	Style Type	Avg. Fabric Used (kg)	Crochet Panels	Estimated Time (hrs.)
Sample 2	Boxy tunic shirt and trousers	3.1	11	10
Sample 5	Jacket and trousers	2.8	7	7
Sample 7	Cardigan and straight leg pants	2.4	11	8
Sample	Hoodie and Jeans	2.0	5	6.5

Each design was evaluated again for finishing, fit (on both male and female models), and structural integrity. The garments were photographed and cataloged for exhibition and market testing.

Garment Design and Technique Application

The Table 4 traces how generational aesthetics shape the fusion of denim and crochet within a contemporary collection. The interplay between crochet technique and denim treatment reflects a nuanced dialogue between form, texture, and identity across fashion's emerging voices.

Table 4: Crochet techniques and denim fusion across four silhouettes"

Samples	Garment Style	Target Generation	Denim Fusion Concept	Crochet Techniques Applied
Sample 2	Boxy tunic shirt and trousers	Gen Z	Patchworked panels with raw edges	Double Crochet, Slip Stitch
Sample 5	Jacket and trousers	Gen Alpha	Gradient denim tones with tie-ups	Chain Stitch, Puff Stitch
Sample 7	Cardigan and straight leg pants	Gen Z	Structured modular denim panels	Triple Treble Crochet, Slip Stitch
Sample 10	Hoodie and Jeans	Gen Alpha	Mixed light-dark denim fusion	Double Crochet, Chain Stitch, Puff Stitch

The final phase of the research involved the design and construction of four practical, wearable garments tailored for Gen Z and Gen Alpha audiences. Each garment featured a unique denim fusion layout combined with culturally inspired crochet techniques. Initially, 12 design samples were created and evaluated based on sustainability, creativity, and youth market relevance. From these, the top four designs were selected and developed into complete garments using modular cutting and zero-waste principles. In order to ensure both structural functionality and aesthetic appeal, crochet applications were completed precisely and included using techniques like Slip Stitch, Triple Treble Crochet, Chain Stitch, Double Crochet, and Puff Stitch.

CONCLUSION

This study creates a crucial connection between inclusive design in modern fashion, ecological innovation, and the revival of traditional crafts. The project beautifully merges artisanal skills with a commitment to the environment by skillfully using traditional crochet techniques on recycled denim. This results in clothing that not only respects our planet but also carries cultural significance. Getting rural female artisans involved in designing and implementing the motifs not only helped uplift marginalized groups in the fashion industry but also played a very important role in preserving their generational knowledge. This teamwork approach puts artisans in the role of design partners, not just makers. It encourages ethical labor and gives them a sense of creative control over their work.

Using zero-waste methods such as modular cutting and putting scraps back into the process, proves that circular design systems work even for handmade production. These approaches lead to clothes with less than 3% material waste. The final choice of four gender neutral outfits shows the importance of input from viewers and real-world usefulness in creating long-lasting designs. By blending visual depth, market relevance, and modular adaptability, these pieces challenge the throwaway culture of fast fashion and resonate with the values of modest fashion. This fresh take on crochet, shifting from a cozy home craft to a sophisticated art form, turns it into a remarkable means of emotional resilience. It encourages us to cherish our products longer by weaving in feelings and stories. This study really pushes the slow fashion movement forward by showing that sustainability is more about self-expression than just a technical process. It takes old denim and blends it with traditional sewing and embroidery techniques from our ancestors, using them as powerful tools for change, resilience, and storytelling. Beyond just being wearables, this clothing serves as a canvas for cultural expression, embodying themes of responsibility, identity, and memory. By taking this approach, the project highlights that honoring our past, enhancing our present, and designing for a sustainable future are essential for the growth of ethical fashion.

REFERENCES

Black, S. (2012). The Sustainable Fashion Handbook. Thames & Hudson.

Fletcher, K. (2008). Sustainable Fashion and Textiles: Design Journeys. Earthscan.

Fraser, A. (2020). Crafting change. Textile: Journal of Cloth and Culture, 18(4), 410–429.

Chan, A. P. H., & Wong, M. M. (2012). Cultural sustainability and crafts. International Journal of Heritage Studies, 18(4), 437–452.

Scrase, T. (2003). Globalisation and artisan labour. Third World Quarterly, 24(3), 449–461.

Niinimäki, K. (2020). Sustainable fashion in a circular economy. Sustainability, 12(3), 832.

McQuillan, H. (2011). Zero-waste design thinking. Fashion Practice, 3(1), 99–122.

Farrant, L., Olsen, S. I., & Wangel, A. (2010). Environmental benefits of clothing reuse. Waste Management, 30(2), 213–224.

Goldsworthy, K. (2012). Strategies for zero-waste fashion. Refashioning Textiles, 17(2), 145–156.

Earley, R. (2016). Circular design futures. Journal of Textile Design Research, 4(1), 89–104.

Sandin, G., & Peters, G. M. (2018). Environmental impact of textile reuse. Journal of Cleaner Production, 184, 353–365.

Palomo-Lovinski, N. (2014). Cultural identity and global fashion. Fashion, Style & Popular Culture, 1(3), 295–309.

Joy, A., Sherry, J. F., Venkatesh, A., Wang, J., & Chan, R. (2012). Fast fashion and ethics. Fashion Theory, 16(3), 273–295.

Dissanayake, D., & Sinha, P. (2015). Fashion remanufacturing. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 104, 94–102.

Nazir, T., & Afzal, H. (2021). Women's empowerment through crafts. South Asian Textile Review, 19(3), 42–49.

Mukendi, A., Davies, I., Glozer, S., & McDonagh, P. (2020). Sustainable fashion futures. European Journal of Marketing, 54(11), 2873–2909.

Beard, N. D. (2008). Branding of ethical fashion. Ethical Consumption, 12(1), 446–457.

Pal, R., & Gander, J. (2018). Muslim fashion and modesty. Journal of Fashion Tech & Textiles, 6(1), 1–7.

Kaur, J., & Chahal, H. (2020). Responsible fashion. Sustainability, 12(2), 672.

Lehmann, M., et al. (2019). Circular economy of textiles. Environmental Science & Technology, 53(19), 11683–11692.

Wang, Y., & Lee, J. (2019). Fabric waste as a resource. Clothing and Textiles Research Journal, 37(3), 160–172.

Tham, M., & Jones, D. (2008). Re-designing fashion. Design Issues, 24(2), 52–63.

Bick, R., Halse, A., & Ekenga, C. (2018). Fast fashion's impact. Environmental Health, 17(1), 92.

Shen, B. (2014). H&M's sustainable supply chain. Sustainability, 6(9), 6236–6249.

Jevons, S. (2021). Crochet in contemporary couture. Craft Research, 12(2), 147–164.

Mehmood, M., & Gill, S. (2023). Indigenous crafts and waste fusion. Pakistan Journal of Art and Design, 10(1), 65–74.

Park, H., & Kim, Y. K. (2016). Technology adoption in fashion. Clothing and Textiles Research Journal, 34(2), 103–118.

Earley, R. & Vuletich, C. (2010). Design thinking in waste-based fashion. Design Journal, 13(2), 221–236.

Fisher, T., & Shipton, D. (2009). Design for reuse. Design Studies, 30(2), 199–216.

Ryberg, M., & Christensen, T. H. (2021). Environmental analysis of recycled garments. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 26, 627–636.

Warsi, A. Z., & Shaiq, M. (2025). The Role of Sustainable Planning and Project Team Attitude in Achieving Sustainable Project Success in the Health Sector. THE ASIAN BULLETIN OF GREEN MANAGEMENT AND CIRCULAR ECONOMY, 5(1), 44-64.