Critical Analysis of Gender Role and Power in Shakespeare's Selected Tragedies

Dr. Mehtab Khaskheli
mehtab.khaskheli@usindh.edu.pk
Assistant Professor, Institute of English Language and Literature, University of Sindh, Jamshoro

Sumera Bhanbhro
bhanbhro.sumera@usindh.edu.pk
Assistant Professor, Institute of English Language and Literature, University of Sindh, Jamshoro

Dr. Zahid Ali Jatoi
zahid.jatoi@iba-suk.edu.pk
Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Sukkur IBA University, Pakistan
Corresponding Author: * Dr.Mehtab Khaskheli mehtab.khaskheli@usindh.edu.pk

ABSTRACT

This research paper analyzes the intersection of gender and power in three of Shakespeare's tragedies such as Macbeth, Othello and King Lear. The research seeks to understand how Shakespeare either upholds or contests the modern gender and power paradigm of his time through the scrutiny of gender role. The paper applies feminist literary theory The Second Sex (1949) by Simon De Beauvoir to analyze the construction of femininity, the negotiation of power, and the tragic imposition of gender norms within the selected Shakespeare's tragedies. The findings reveal that women's defiance in Shakespeare's works is often depicted through his female characters. Though, they do mindlessly submit to patriarchal norms but when they attempt to challenge the patriarchy such act results in an increases in their oppression.

Keywords: Shakespeare, Gender, Power, Tragedy, Patriarchy, Femininity

INTRODUCTION

William Shakespeare's tragedies like Macbeth, Othello and King Lear, have deeply explored moral decline as well as unbridled ambition and betrayal. Within these works, gender, as well as power, intricately intertwines whilst deeply reflecting the patriarchal order of early modern England, at the same time challenging or reinforcing them. In *Macbeth*, the titular character's ruthless pursuit of power is both instigated and manipulated by Lady Macbeth, whose infamous invocation to 'unsex me here' 55(Shakespeare, 1623/2020, 1.5.40) subverts traditional femininity, positioning her as a formidable force who ultimately collapses under the weight of guilt, suggesting that female ambition**,** when exceeding prescribed gender roles, leads to destruction (Belsey, 2021). Similarly, *Othello* interrogates toxic masculinity through the Moor's tragic downfall, where his insecurity—exacerbated by Iago's manipulations—reveals how patriarchal expectations of male honor and control result in Desdemona's victimization, her innocence and passive resistance underscoring the limited agency afforded to women (Smith, 2022). In *King Lear*, the aging monarch's loss of authority exposes the fragility of patriarchal dominance**,** particularly in his fraught relationships with Goneril and Regan, whose defiance and subsequent vilification illustrate how female rebellion is punished within a male-dominated society, while Cordelia's silent suffering reinforces the tragic cost of feminine virtue in a world governed by masculine pride (Howard, 2023).The examination of plays reveals the complexity of Shakespeare's critique of gender norms—while Lady Macbeth's unnatural ambition, the muted voice of Desdemona, and Goneril's ruthless ambition shun traditional femininity, the tragic outcomes suggest a reluctant

acknowledgment of defiance leading to destruction and reinforcing the patriarchal ideologies instead of dismantling them (Butler, 2020). Furthermore, Loomba (2024) and Traub (2025) add the intersection of race and gender in Othello and the queer overtones of the power dynamics in Macbeth, respectively, exploring early modern preoccupations of fluidity concerning gender, racial 'otherness,' and tragic consequences. Scholarly debate remains whether the portrayal of women in the plays is subversive or compliant. Adelman (2021) argues the women in Shakespeare's plays expose the instability of patriarchy, while Greenblatt (2024) counters that the violence targeted at them restores order. Bridging these viewpoints, researchers analyze the power dynamics, negotiated through gender relations in these tragedies, and through feminist and psychoanalytic lenses interrogate whether Shakespeare defies or conforms to the gendered order of his society. This paper also aims to analyze how Othello's jealousy or masculine insecurity, Lady Macbeth's ruthlessness or feminine transgression, and Lear's madness as patriarchal collapse all converge to create tragedy. Shakespeare's tragedies underscore the criticism born out of unyielding gender dynamics, reinforcing the societal confines of the gendered framework they are trapped in, and ultimately leaving audiences to ponder the consequences of these rigid structures in contemporary conversations around patriarchy, misogyny, and defiance.

Subversion of Gender Hierarchies Through Female Agency

Overcoming obstacles within the gender power system in Shakespeare's tragedies often achieved through his female characters defying and manipulating the gender order within these societal boundaries. Lady Macbeth serves as the most striking example of this subversion, as she sometimes exhibits more masculinity than Macbeth and took the leading position in the couple and started to dictate the actions of her husband to realize her ambitions to become a queen (Ferdous, 2017). Her transformation represents a complete reversal of expected gender roles, where she assumes the absolute power of the state by acting as if she were accountable to none and deserves no censure (Hossain et al., 2014). This role reversal extends beyond mere ambition to active emasculation, as Lady Macbeth scorns him for his mental weakness and assumes his bloody obligation when Macbeth falters (Hossain et al., 2014).

Clash between Traditional Power Structures and Subversion

Shakespeare's tragedies are fundamentally structured around the clash between traditional power hierarchies and those who challenge them. The plays feature violent and recurrent confrontations between disorderly women and patriarchal power that form a major feature of the tragedies (Loomba, 1989). These confrontations reveal how intimacy and power most compellingly intersect and frequently collide" within gender relationships (Daniel, 2018).

Shakespeare's treatment of power structures reveals both reinforcement and subversion of patriarchal norms. While Shakespeare presents a hierarchy of sexes with defined roles where men [are] strong and in charge of the ruling and female characters belonged in the household, he simultaneously challenges these traditional power dynamics through his female characters (Shahwan, 2022). Female characters challenge male dominance and the traditional negative stereotypes of discrimination, weakness, silence, and naivety, creating tension between male and female characters since each assumes superiority (Shahwan, 2022).

The institution of marriage serves as a crucial site where these power dynamics play out in microcosm. Shakespeare presents marriage in such a way that the relation of the wife—the potentially disorderly woman—to her husband becomes an epitome for the relation of subordinates to their ruler (Shuqair, 2014). In this framework, love is contingent on the establishment of male power in such a matrimonial relation; the husband is feared first, and then loved (Shuqair, 2014). Women's access to power remains fundamentally constrained, as the qualities associated with incompletion and fragility are ascribed to women; they have only indirectly come to power because they are not men (Bozkurt, 2025).

Through these complex power dynamics, Shakespeare's plays function as vehicles for examining gender as a political instance, as a form of identity construct, as a means for hierarchies to be reinforced or put into question (Goncalves, 2019). The tragic outcomes often result from the fundamental instability of these power structures when challenged by those who refuse to accept their prescribed roles within the established hierarchy.

Patriarchal Structures and the Restriction of Female Agency

Shakespeare's tragic universe is fundamentally built upon patriarchal structures that systematically restrict female agency and autonomy. The playwright employs a recurring dramatic formula featuring a family with an authoritative father, a rebellious daughter, and an absent mother that appears across his works (Cieslak, 2017). This pattern reveals how the father stands for power and authority, very often being literally a political authority, like Lear or Prospero, with nearly unlimited power over his daughter, whom he treats not only as his property but also often as an asset in his political or economic agenda (Cieslak, 2017). The systematic absence of mothers renders daughters even more helpless while stressing the institutional insignificance of the mother figure and the social powerlessness of the female (Cieslak, 2017).

Within these patriarchal frameworks, Shakespeare presents women whose fate is often limited and manipulated by male power, becoming the second sex where this shackle of fate prevents them from truly controlling their lives and destinies (Tan, 2024). The playwright's dramatic universe demonstrates how the lack of agency and autonomy of women, sexual objectification, and their plagues as victims of patriarchy represent a vivid presentation of the fate of women in a patriarchal world (Yalley, 2022). Female characters are systematically depicted as the subservient and silent gender, controlled by men where women had little power and property throughout the Elizabethan period (Habib, 2022).

The manifestation of female silence becomes particularly significant as a marker of patriarchal control. The silence of female characters in some plays by Shakespeare reflects the expectations of the 16th-century society about gender roles and behaviour where Cordelia's silence in *King Lear* illustrates the absence of positive alternatives for women in patriarchal society, and show the consequences of the oppressive and repressive values that characterize the universe of Shakespeare's tragedies (Guedes, 1997). This silence represents more than mere compliance; it reveals how patriarchal structures create situations that maintain traditional gender stereotypes and suppress female autonomy (Soileh, 2024).

Despite these constraints, Shakespeare's female characters demonstrate remarkable agency within their limited circumstances. They actively challenge male dominance and the traditional negative stereotypes of discrimination, weakness, silence, and naivety, creating tension between male and female characters since each assumes superiority (Shahwan, 2022). The playwright presents female characters who focus on challenging the old-style negative stereotypes of discrimination, inexperience, weakness, and silence while working to subvert the common Elizabethan gender stereotypes (Shahwan, 2022).

However, the female characters attempts to challenge patriarchal authority carry severe consequences within Shakespeare's tragic framework. The dramatic pattern reveals that regardless of whether the daughter successfully rebels like Desdemona is bound to be punished for her disobedience by death (Cieslak, 2017). This tragic inevitability suggests that while female agency exists within Shakespeare's patriarchal structures, it operates within severely constrained parameters where any significant challenge to male authority ultimately results in the destruction of those who dare to transgress established gender boundaries.

Tragic Consequences of Gender Transgression

Shakespeare's tragic framework establishes a pattern where gender transgression leads to inevitable destruction, creating a dramatic universe where characters who challenge established roles face systematic punishment. The playwright presents female characters who often set women as moral outsiders where despite appearing to be a social insider at the beginning, she does not recover the status of social insider and dies alone as a moral outsider at the end (OSuJin, 2015). This pattern extends beyond individual moral failings to encompass a broader structural critique of gender boundaries themselves.

The playwright's treatment of gender transgression reveals deeper anxieties about social order and female power. In his tragedies, Shakespeare creates such nightmare female figures as Goneril, Regan, Lady Macbeth, and Volumnia whose evil is inseparable from their failures as women (Kodal, 2019). These characters represent more than individual moral corruption; they embody the perceived threat that female ambition poses to patriarchal structures. Lady Macbeth's disruption to the political culture stems from her ambition, and this virulent ambition is made highly unnatural by her gender, leading to "corruption of the politic body through corruption of the female sovereign body (Hossain et al., 2014).

Ultimately, Shakespeare's tragic conclusions serve a restorative function for the social order. After the chaos created by gender transgression, his plays typically end with the diminution of female sovereign authority and an apparent return to a state of normalcy within a more traditional, patriarchal framework, representing both Shakespeare's political resolution and the Elizabethan cultural desire (Hossain et al., 2014). Through these tragic consequences, Shakespeare both explores the possibilities of alternative gender arrangements and ultimately reinforces the boundaries that his characters dare to cross.

Research Question

1. How does Shakespeare portray the femininity in *Macbeth*, *Othello*, and *King Lear* through power struggle in male and female characters?

2. What are the consequences of defying gender expectations in Shakespeare's *Macbeth*, *Othello*, and *King Lear*?

Objectives

1. To analyze Shakespeare's portrayal of the femininity in *Macbeth*, *Othello*, and *King Lear* through power struggle in male and female characters.

2. To evaluate the consequences of defying gender expectations in Shakespeare's *Macbeth*, *Othello*, and *King Lear*.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Shakespeare's exploration of gender and power in his tragedies emerges from the unique historical context of the Renaissance, a period of transition from medieval to modern worldviews. Although the Renaissance period is broadly marked with the onset of progressive ideas, this period also coexisted with misogynistic frameworks. During this time, a handful of individuals adopted an alternative view and were characterized by an energetic attitude towards the stiff gender system, highlighting the blatant contradiction during this period of advancement (Yeob, 2013). Shakespeare serves as a testament to exhibit this dichotomous battle of ideologies.

During Shakespeare's lifetime, society was fundamentally patriarchal, which meant women were viewed as subordinate and their existence confined to the roles of daughters or wives (Ciroma et al, 2024). This period was not without powerful women, as the Tudor queens Mary and Elizabeth showcased a woman's

authority and power, albeit within the bounds of their families (Chiributa, 2023). These women's reigns were powerful, but fraught cultural inequalities remained—inequality was law, religion, and the customs of daily life (Chiributa, 2023).Shakespeare's theatrical context further complicated gender representation, as his plays were written by a male author to be performed by an exclusively male company of players and expressed an overwhelmingly masculine point of view (Chiributa, 2023). Yet paradoxically, the practice of cross-dressing in performance had the capacity to destabilize the gender norms of the represented action (Chiributa, 2023). Within this complex cultural framework, Shakespeare created works that both reflected and challenged his society's gender assumptions, presenting characters who attempt to transcend traditional roles but often face tragic consequences for their transgressions.

Roy (2024) contends that these characters function as sites of ideological disruption, arguing that Shakespeare employs them to interrogate and destabilize the gender expectations of his era, positioning them as both constrained by and subversive of their social worlds. This blend of oppositions is sharply characterized through the text "Ophelia's Madness," where Lady Macbeth uses rhetoric to influence Macbeth, and Ophelia uses silence to expression her defiance through 'coded' acts of insanity. Ghorpade & Samy (2023) expand this feminist reading, observing that while Elizabethan norms demanded female submission, Shakespeare's tragedies grant women complex intellects and defiant wills, framing their agency as tragically circumscribed yet undeniably potent. In addition a study conducted by Tan (2024) reveals the patterns of gendered resistance across the tragedies. Tan (2024) contrasts tragic and comedic heroines, noting that tragic women are often collateral to male ambition, denied the communal agency and resolution afforded to comedic counterparts like Rosalind and Beatrice. Whereas, the character of Lady Macbeth remains a lightning rod for debates on gender and power. In *Othello*, Emilia's climactic defiance—Let husbands know / Their wives have sense like them"—has been reclaimed by modern audiences as a proto-feminist manifesto. Reddit-based discourse analyses (2023) note how her speech disrupts the play's gendered violence, offering a fleeting but potent critique of marital oppression that resonates with contemporary movements.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs qualitative textual analysis, grounded in feminist theory to examine the relationship of gender and power in *Macbeth*, *Othello*, and *King Lear* through the close readings of the selected tragedies by Shakespeare. According to Kumar (2011), qualitative research is an effective method for analyzing, explaining, investigating, and uncovering narrative data. He further says that the qualitative method of study is detailed and worthwhile. The selected tragedies by Shakespeare were studied using Alan McKee's textual analysis method. According to McKee (2003), when we examine a text, we develop educated estimates about its closest meanings. It is a detailed evaluation of a text. The close reading comprehension requires the reader to have a deeper understanding of the material rather than a quick read to acquire the substance of the text. Analysis focuses on dialogue and soliloquies, power dynamics in male-female relationships, the tragic consequences of defying gender norms by employing the close reading approach of the text of the selected tragedies. The close reading approach was employed for the present research to carry out the analysis.

DATA ANALYSIS

Shakespeare's tragedies serve as profound examinations of the complex relationship between gender and power in early modern society. Through his dramatic works, Shakespeare not only reflects but critically interrogates the patriarchal structures of his time, revealing how rigid gender norms lead to psychological disintegration, social upheaval, and tragic downfall. This analysis synthesizes key critical perspectives to demonstrate how Shakespeare's tragedies expose the destructive consequences of gendered power dynamics, while simultaneously offering moments of subversion and resistance.

The male protagonists of Shakespeare's tragedies embody what Bradley (1904) termed the tragic flaw, yet modern scholarship reveals these flaws to be deeply rooted in cultural constructions of masculinity. As Bradley established in *Shakespearean Tragedy* (1904), Macbeth's descent into tyranny stems not merely from ambition but from his obsessive need to perform hyper-masculinity. Lady Macbeth's famous challenge – "When you durst do it, then you were a man" (Shakespeare, 1623, 1.7.49). It weaponizes renaissance gender expectations, demonstrating how masculinity becomes a site of violent performance.

The crisis of masculine identity takes different but equally destructive forms across the tragedies. The character analysis of *Othello* reveals how his racial otherness compounds his masculine insecurity, making him vulnerable to Iago's manipulation. Othello's murder of Desdemona becomes a perverse attempt to reclaim masculine control. Similarly, King Lear's mis judgement and his inability to act decisively represent a fundamental challenge to the renaissance ideal of the wise and active male.

Shakespeare's tragedies simultaneously offer women remarkable agency and punishes their transgressions. The female characters articulate, at least to some degree, feminist discourse that lies outside the patriarchal box. Perhaps the most radical rejection of prescribed femininity is Lady Macbeth's "unsex me here" (Macbeth 1.5.40). The madness she suffers later during life is patriarchal punishment for her attempt to "unsex" herself. Shakespeare offers us a spectrum of female defiance and the aftermath of that defiance in his tragedies. In addition, the feminism of defiance "I cannot heave my heart into my mouth" (King Lear 1.1.91-92) is also quiet and subtle as embodied by Cordelia. The execution of both women reveals the violent cost that is imposed for the exercise of defiance in a world dominated by men.

The tragedies of Shakespeare offer gender oppression as a systematic delineation of focus. It is the women as well as the men in the stories plagued by oppressive patriarchal structures who suffer. The women's punishment for asserting agency occurs as men, and women are both trapped in performance. Additionally, the affliction caused by the tension of the feminine and masculine tether often caused the shattered marriages depicted in the tragedies which highlights the delicate and principal interconnection of gender in a society ruled by men. Shakespeare's tragedies center on the patriarchal order. The interwoven issues of gender, femininity, and masculinity embody a society's creeping universal anxieties of control. These anxieties are timeless, and turn a spotlight on the unyielding burden of temporal systems. Instead of showcasing individual tragedies, the works emphasize the destructive impact of a societal framework established on rigid gender dichotomy.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

In each of Shakespeare's tragedies—Macbeth, Othello, and King Lear—there are clear observations that can be made of the interplay between power, authority, and gender sociocultural norms. The portrayal of women in these tragedies depicts how they are likely to end in grotesque suffering as a result of their dominance transgressing into a patriarchal world. Her undoing, as seen in the collapse and eventual suicide of Lady Macbeth, reinforces the concept that female empowerment that comes from the granting and surpassing of agency leads to ruin. Witching, a bound to curses anchored in deep-seated patriarchal order, solidifies destruction of the self, while Desdemona's passive rebellion paired with Othello's toxic hyper-masculinity leads to her murder, embodying the sheer brutality of her patriarchal defiance and unapologetic action. King Lear showcases a similar trend with Goneril and Regan, whose rebellion against paternal authority casts them into vilification and death. On the other hand, the silent virtue espoused by Cordelia which ends in decapitation serves to reinforce the punishment meted out to women who dismiss and improperly brandish patriarchal virtue or tenderly defy them. These patterns cement the fact that the gender hierarchy critiqued becomes a tragic attempt to restore the patriarchal system which dominated the early modern England. The male protagonists deeply rooted psychological issues are the keystone of patriarchal control.

The subordination of power stemming from Lady Macbeth's dominant position, along with Othello's constant emasculation, portrays Lear's last form of tyranny, reveals, step by step, the performative aspect of male gender, power, dominion, and control. A sketch of the ending of the patriarchal stories reveals their core instability. We can consider Macbeth and Malcolm's climb to power, or King Lear and Edgar's dominion, both confirming the return of the male dominated social order. Silence in the tragedies operates on the dual function of oppression, and together with the absence of social order, which operates as defiance. The refusal of Cordelia to perform a speech aligns with the silencing of women's speech, and shrinking, illustrates offering a theatrical answer to patriarchal oppression. While in Othello, Emilia's silence after defying her husband marks a withdrawal from wifely submission, her silence that follows is a reminder of the oppressive shackles of constrained female freedom. The constant absent-mother motif portrays the systemic dominant erasure of feminine power and agency, reducing daughters to victims of patriarchal aggression. Such reinterpretations, as with female-led performances of Macbeth, show the relevance of Shakespeare to contemporary discussions of gender. Yet, some critics oppose contemporary anachronistic adaptations, emphasizing the subversive power of Shakespeare's female characters, whose stories, despite their confinement to the early modern period, can transcend time. The tragedies challenge the audience with the contradictions of gender, power, and freedom, leaving the resolution unachieved.

Table 1: Gender Transgression and Punishment in *Macbeth*

Aspect	Early Play	Late Play
Feminine Power	Dominant manipulator of Macbeth	Haunted by guilt, sleepwalking
Language	Commanding imperatives ("Screw your courage")	Fragmented, hysterical speech ("Out, damned spot")
Outcome	Achieves regicide	Dies offstage, implied suicide

Shakespeare's examination of gender transgression and its repercussions is best illustrated by Lady Macbeth's storyline. She first challenges conventional notions of femininity by using aggressive words and controlling Macbeth, such as "unsex me here" (Shakespeare,1623, 1.5.15-17). Her suicide and psychological breakdown, however, represent narrative punishment for defying gender expectations. The conflict between female agency and patriarchal reinforcing is highlighted by this dualism.

Table 2: Masculine Insecurity and Feminine Limitation in *Othello*

Character	Power Expression	Gender Constraint	Tragic Outcome
Othello	Military authority	Vulnerability to rumors about virility	Murders wife, then himself
Desdemona	Subtle defiance (helping Cassio)	Confined by wifely obedience norms	Smothered in her marital bed

Othello's masculine jealousy, exploited by Iago, demonstrates how fragile the powerful patriarchy is when tangled with race, and gender stereotypes. The actions of Desdemona showcase the passive defiance that fully embodies the toxic boundaries of femininity, her minimal freedom of action leads to being a victim. This highlights the centrality of patriarchal dominion in the play.

Table 3: Patriarchal Challenge and Reinforcement in *King Lear*

Daughter	Relationship to Power	Gender Performance	Narrative Fate
Goneril	Assertive political maneuvering	Rejects obedient daughter role	Poisoned by Regan
Regan	Violent enforcement of authority	Embraces masculine aggression	Killed by Goneril
Cordelia	Silent resistance to performative love	Embodies idealized femininity	Executed offstage

Goneril and Regan's defiance serves as an attack toward the patriarchal order of society, though they project misogynistic ideas as they are depicted as monstrous. The mute and death of Cordelia showcases the punishment attributed to the patriarchy, painting a conservative image of Shakespeare's solution to the revolt of women.

Table 4: Comparative Gender Transgressions and Outcomes

Play	Transgression	Punishment	Patriarchal Reinforcement
Macbeth	Lady Macbeth's usurpation of masculinity	Suicide	Restoration of male lineage
Othello	Desdemona's defiance of wifely norms	Murder	Othello's suicide as justice
King Lear	Goneril/Regan's political ambition	Mutual destruction	Male-dominated reconciliation

Shakespeare often depicts punishment of transgression of gender norms as a tragedy. With defiant female characters, the narrative ultimately resolves with the death of the heroines, reinstating the patriarchal setup, capturing the socio-political climate of the early modern world.

These insights all together illustrate Shakespeare's gender conservatism. His female characters, be it in the shape of agency through manipulation as witnessed with Lady Macbeth, passive resistance via Desdemona, or outright defiance through Goneril and Regan. The women of the narrative are, eliminated. Such a structure depicts that the dramatized conflicts reveal the society's patriarchal reign, asserting that modern England's gender dynamics are solidified. The energy of the plays likely stems from the clash of depiction of oppression, while the silence of revolution. This allows the audience to confront the work over centuries.

CONCLUSION

Shakespeare's tragedies—*Macbeth, Othello*, and *King Lear*—offer a withering critique of gender and power in the world as we know it, with a world of alive female power that is systematically crushed by a tide of male vengeance. Women who defy the gender norms face ruthless punishment, be it through the overreaching ambition of Lady Macbeth, the quiet defiance of Desdemona, or the political defiance of Goneril and Regan. Death or madness—along with the social narrative that vilifies women—captures and confines the terrible social conditions of Tudor and Stuart England. This series of defiance and punishment works at various planes. Lady Macbeth's madness underscores the cultural anxiety surrounding a powerful, dominant woman. Violent murder of Desdemona exemplifies the lethal price a woman has to pay for disobeying societal standards of marital subservience, and the sister's destruction of each other in King Lear is the misogynistic portrayal of monstrous female ambition. Meanwhile, male characters like Macbeth's emasculation, Othello's racialized insecurity, and Lear's hysterical loss of authority expose the fragility of patriarchal power, even as the plays' conclusions restore male-dominated order through the survival of figures like Malcolm, Albany, and Edgar. Contemporary studies (Traub, 2025; Loomba, 2024) positions these tragedies not merely as historical artifacts but as enduring commentaries on gender politics, their resonance lying in Shakespeare's ability to dramatize both the subversive potential of female agency and its suppression. The plays thus function as both mirror and warning. They reflect the gender constraints of their time while illustrating the catastrophic consequences of defying them. Centuries later, they compel audiences to confront how much—and how little—has changed in the ongoing struggle between power, gender, and autonomy.

References

1. Adelman, J. (2021). *Suffocating mothers: Fantasies of maternal origin in Shakespeare's plays*. Routledge.
2. Belsey, C. (2021). The subject of tragedy: Identity and difference in Renaissance drama. *Shakespeare Quarterly, 72*(3), 45–60.
3. Bozkurt, K. (2025). Powerful women, men in power: An analysis of Kösem Sultan and Lady Macbeth. *Akademik İncelemeler Dergisi (AID)*.
4. Butler, J. (2020). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. Routledge.
5. Chiribuță, R. (2023). Female figure and the idea of femininity across time. *Anuarul Institutului de Cercetări Socio-Umane "C.S. Nicolăescu-Plopşor"*.
6. Cieślak, M. (2017). Authority in crisis? The dynamic of the relationship between Prospero and Miranda in appropriations of *The Tempest*.
7. Ferdous, M. (2017). The values of masculinity in William Shakespeare's *Macbeth*.
8. Ghorpade, V., & Samy, R. (2023). Female agency and resistance in Shakespearean tragedies. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences, 4*(1), 49–58.
9. Gonçalves, D. (2019). Shakespeare's comic and tragic gender issues: An attempt at transgression in *The Taming of the Shrew* (1591) and *Romeo & Juliet* (1597). *Revista Periódicus*.
10. Greenblatt, S. (2024). *Tyrant: Shakespeare on power*. W.W. Norton & Company.
11. Guedes, P. V. (1997). *A mulher e o silêncio nas peças de Shakespeare*.
12. Habib, M. (2022). The role of Gertrude and Ophelia in Shakespeare's *Hamlet*: A feminist implication. *Scholars Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences*.
13. Hossain, M., Iseni, A., Siljanovska, L., & Ejupi, V. (2014). Shakespeare and Ibsen: A comparative study of *Macbeth* and *Hedda Gabler* from 21st-century radical feminism perspective.
14. Howard, J. E. (2023). The early modern gender wars: Shakespeare's *King Lear* and the crisis of patriarchy. *PMLA, 138*(2), 210–225.
15. Kodal, A. (2019). Tragic downfall of Antony in Shakespeare's *Antony and Cleopatra*.
16. Loomba, A. (1989). *Gender, race, Renaissance drama*.
17. Loomba, A. (2024). *Shakespeare, race, and colonialism*. Oxford University Press.
18. Roy, A. (2024). Unsexed and undone: Gender subversion in *Macbeth* and *Othello*. *Shakespeare Quarterly, 75*(2), 89–112.
19. Shahwan, S. (2022). Gender roles in *The Merchant of Venice* and *Othello*. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*.
20. Shuqair, K. M. (2014). Matrimonial relations in Shakespeare's *The Taming of the Shrew*: The Machiavellian husband/ruler.

21. Smith, I. (2022). *Race and rhetoric in the Renaissance: Barbarian errors in Othello*. Palgrave Macmillan.

22. Soileh, K. A. A. (2024). *Hamlet* as an antifeminist. *International Journal of Religion*.

23. Tan, E. (2024). From comedy to tragedy: Shakespeare's women across genres. *Early Modern Literary Studies, 28*(1), 45–67.

24. Tan, M. H. (2024). Power and gender in Shakespeare: A contrast between comedies and tragedies. *English Humanities and Social Sciences Review, 14*(1), 18–34.

25. Tan, T. (2024). Shakespeare's shifting portrayal of women: A comparative analysis of comedies and tragedies. *Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences*.

26. Traub, V. (2025). *Desire and anxiety: Circulations of sexuality in Shakespearean drama*. Routledge.

27. Yalley, A. A. (2022). Shakespeare in the bush: Gender constructions and interpretations of *Hamlet* by the West African Tiv. *UJAH Unizik Journal of Arts and Humanities*.

28. 오수진 [OSuJin]. (2015). 셰익스피어 극에 나타난 아웃사이더와 인사이더로서의 여성 [Women as outsiders and insiders in Shakespeare's plays].'

29. HwangNamYeob. (2013). *Macbeth* and Lady Macbeth's distorted masculinity and femininity.

30. Kumar, Ranjeet. Research Methodology. London: SAGE Publications, 2011.

31. Mckee, A. Textual Analysis A Beginners's Guide. sage. (2003).

32. Daniel, J. (2018). Gender, intimacy and power dynamics in modern relationships. *Gender Studies Journal, 12*(3), 45-67