

## Enhancing Human-AI Collaboration through Emotional Intelligence: The Mediating Role of Trust and the Moderating Effect of Technological Readiness in Knowledge-Intensive Industries

Dr. Ayaz Qaiser

[qaiser.ayaz06@gmail.com](mailto:qaiser.ayaz06@gmail.com)

Grand Asian University Sialkot, Pakistan

Muhammad Ali Baig

[mabaig.research@gmail.com](mailto:mabaig.research@gmail.com)

Research Scholar, Department of Graduate Studies, School of Management, Air University Islamabad, Pakistan

Dr. Saqib Anwar Siddiqui

[Saqib.phd@gmail.com](mailto:Saqib.phd@gmail.com)

Assistant Professor, Grand Asian University Sialkot, Pakistan

Maha Zaka

[maha.zaka.khan@gmail.com](mailto:maha.zaka.khan@gmail.com)

Lecturer, Iqra University North Campus, Karachi, Pakistan

Muhammad Muzammil Asghar

[muzammilasghar42@gmail.com](mailto:muzammilasghar42@gmail.com)

School of Economics, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan

Corresponding Author: \* Dr. Ayaz Qaiser [qaiser.ayaz06@gmail.com](mailto:qaiser.ayaz06@gmail.com)

Received: 09-04-2025

Revised: 10-05-2025

Accepted: 15-06-2025

Published: 06-07-2025

### ABSTRACT

*The growing integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in knowledge-intensive industries is transforming traditional workplace dynamics, making human-AI collaboration a critical success factor. However, while technical and operational efficiencies of AI are widely discussed, the role of human emotional intelligence (EI) in enhancing this collaboration remains underexplored. This study investigates the strategic importance of EI in fostering effective human-AI synergy and proposes that EI-driven human resource management (HRM) practices can significantly improve organizational adaptability and performance. Utilizing a mixed-method approach, data will be collected from 300 employees and 30 HR professionals across IT, healthcare, and education sectors in Pakistan. The research draws on Goleman's Emotional Intelligence Model and Socio-Technical Systems Theory to frame the analysis. Preliminary expectations suggest a positive relationship between EI levels and the perceived success of human-AI collaboration. The findings are anticipated to guide HRM strategies in building EI competencies to optimize AI integration, thereby offering actionable insights for organizations navigating the era of workforce automation. This study explores how emotional intelligence (EI) influences human-AI collaboration in knowledge-intensive industries, introducing trust in AI systems as a mediator and technological readiness as a moderator. Drawing on Goleman's EI model and Socio-Technical Systems Theory, the research adopts a mixed-method approach, surveying 300 employees and 30 HR professionals from the IT, healthcare, and education sectors in Pakistan. Findings are expected to show that EI enhances trust in AI, which subsequently improves collaboration. Moreover, the effect of EI on collaboration is stronger when technological readiness is high. These results offer actionable insights for HRM practices in optimizing AI integration through emotional and technological preparedness.*

**Keywords:** Human-AI Collaboration, Emotional Intelligence, Trust, Technological Readiness, Knowledge-Intensive Industries

## INTRODUCTION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into contemporary organizational structures is transforming the nature of work, especially within knowledge-intensive industries such as information technology, healthcare, education, and finance. AI technologies are no longer confined to routine automation but are now embedded in strategic decision-making, predictive analytics, and service delivery. This shift has given rise to hybrid human-AI systems that enhance rather than replace human potential. In this evolving landscape, the effectiveness of human-AI collaboration has become a critical determinant of organizational success (Kumar et al., 2025).

Emotional intelligence (EI)—the ability to perceive, regulate, and manage one's own and others' emotions—has become a vital competency for navigating the emotional and interpersonal complexities of AI-integrated workplaces. While machines excel in logic and automation, they lack human capacities such as empathy, ethical judgment, and nuanced communication. As such, EI is central to bridging this gap and enabling effective collaboration (Kolomaznik et al., 2024).

However, effective human-AI collaboration extends beyond emotional competencies alone. Trust in AI systems has emerged as a critical mediating factor in this relationship. Without sufficient trust, even emotionally intelligent individuals may hesitate to fully engage with AI technologies. Trust shapes how employees perceive the reliability, fairness, and usefulness of AI, thereby significantly influencing collaboration outcomes (Ferrada & Camarinha-Matos, 2024). Furthermore, technological readiness moderates this relationship. Organizations and individuals with high technological readiness are more likely to benefit from the positive impact of EI on human-AI collaboration. Conversely, low readiness may dampen the potential benefits of high EI (Kumar et al., 2024).

This study investigates the strategic role of emotional intelligence in enhancing human-AI collaboration, incorporating trust in AI as a mediator and technological readiness as a moderator. The research focuses on knowledge-intensive sectors in Pakistan and employs Goleman's Emotional Intelligence Model and Socio-Technical Systems Theory to provide a robust theoretical foundation. Through this expanded lens, the study aims to offer actionable insights for HRM practices that seek to optimize the human-AI interface.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

The adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in knowledge-intensive industries has revolutionized organizational processes by enhancing efficiency, decision-making, and service delivery (Makridakis, 2017). AI systems are extensively used in sectors such as healthcare, finance, and education to analyze complex data patterns, automate routine tasks, and provide predictive insights (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017). However, scholars emphasize that while AI offers remarkable capabilities, its successful integration depends heavily on human intervention, particularly in areas requiring judgment, empathy, and ethical considerations (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018). The socio-technical perspective suggests that technological systems cannot operate in isolation but require harmonious interaction with the human component to achieve organizational objectives (Trist & Bamforth, 1951).

Emotional Intelligence (EI) is defined as the ability to recognize, understand, and manage one's own emotions and those of others (Salovey & Mayer, 1990). Goleman (1995) expanded the construct by categorizing EI into five domains: self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skills. EI has been found to influence various organizational outcomes, including leadership effectiveness, team performance, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment (Cherniss, 2010; Lopes et al., 2006). In

high-stakes environments such as healthcare and IT, where human-AI collaboration is prevalent, EI enhances employees' capacity to adapt to AI-driven change and mitigates resistance to technology adoption (Huang & Rust, 2021).

Human-AI collaboration requires not only technical skills but also emotional and social competencies. Rai et al., (2019) argue that future workplaces will increasingly depend on hybrid systems combining human creativity with machine intelligence. Employees with high EI are better equipped to interpret AI outputs, manage uncertainty, and build trust in AI systems (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2019). Moreover, EI fosters ethical sensitivity, helping individuals address dilemmas arising from AI use, such as data privacy and algorithmic bias (Shah et al., 2017).

Strategic Human Resource Management (HRM) practices are critical in preparing the workforce for AI-driven environments. Albrecht et al. (2015) suggest that HRM initiatives such as EI assessment during recruitment, EI training programs, and leadership development are essential for building an emotionally intelligent workforce. Organizations that invest in such practices not only enhance their adaptability to technological change but also improve innovation and resilience (Cherniss, 2010). Furthermore, HRM can play a role in facilitating psychological safety, which is necessary for open communication between humans and AI systems (Qureshi et al., 2021).

Despite the growing interest in the intersection of EI and AI, empirical research remains limited, particularly in the context of developing economies like Pakistan. Most existing studies focus on Western contexts or highly developed economies, leaving a gap in understanding how cultural, economic, and organizational factors influence EI and human-AI collaboration in emerging markets (Qureshi et al., 2021). Additionally, few studies have empirically tested the impact of HRM practices on enhancing EI for the purpose of improving human-AI interaction, signaling the need for further exploration in this domain.

## **THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

This study is grounded in three complementary theoretical lenses: Goleman's Emotional Intelligence Model, Socio-Technical Systems (STS) Theory, and Trust Theory. Together, these frameworks provide a robust foundation for understanding the psychological, social, and technological dynamics involved in effective human-AI collaboration.

### **Goleman's Emotional Intelligence Model**

Goleman's (1995) model expands on the work of Salovey and Mayer (1990) and identifies five key components of Emotional Intelligence (EI): self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skills. These competencies are increasingly critical in AI-augmented work environments, where employees must adapt to automation while maintaining ethical judgment, interpersonal collaboration, and emotional balance.

In this study, EI is conceptualized as the independent variable that directly affects an employee's ability to work productively with AI systems. Individuals with higher EI are more likely to manage uncertainty, communicate effectively about AI outputs, and foster trust-based relationships in technologically mediated work settings.

### **Socio-Technical Systems (STS) Theory**

The STS theory (Trist & Bamforth, 1951) posits that optimal organizational performance arises from the alignment of both social (human) and technical (machine/system) subsystems. This theory underscores that the success of AI integration is not solely dependent on technical sophistication but also on how well human capabilities are developed to interface with AI tools.

In this context, EI serves as a critical social enabler that complements AI's technical capabilities. However, to maximize collaborative effectiveness, both subsystems must be synchronized. Hence, strategic HRM practices should aim to develop both EI competencies and technical fluency among employees.

### **Trust Theory**

Trust theory suggests that individuals are more likely to accept and engage with systems or entities they perceive as reliable, fair, and understandable. In AI-integrated environments, Trust in AI Systems plays a mediating role between EI and collaboration outcomes.

Employees with high EI are more likely to develop trust in AI, as they can manage anxiety, regulate their reactions, and better interpret AI behavior. This trust then facilitates effective human-AI collaboration, making it a central psychological mechanism through which EI exerts its influence.

### **Technological Readiness**

Drawing on the Technology Acceptance Model and organizational readiness frameworks, Technological Readiness refers to the degree to which individuals and organizations are prepared—both psychologically and infrastructure-wise to adopt and utilize new technologies.

In this study, technological readiness moderates the relationship between EI and collaboration effectiveness. When readiness is high, the positive impact of EI on collaboration is amplified; conversely, low readiness may hinder even emotionally intelligent employees from collaborating effectively with AI systems. This suggests that without supportive systems, infrastructure, and culture, the benefits of EI may not fully materialize.

## **METHODOLOGY**

### **Research Design**

This study adopts a quantitative, cross-sectional, and explanatory research design to explore the impact of Emotional Intelligence (EI) on Human-AI Collaboration Effectiveness, while examining the mediating role of Trust in AI Systems and the moderating role of Technological Readiness. The research aims to uncover both direct and indirect relationships among the variables, using statistical analysis to test the proposed conceptual model (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

### **Population and Sample**

The target population comprises middle and senior-level employees working in knowledge-intensive industries across Pakistan, particularly in sectors such as Information Technology (IT), healthcare, and higher education where AI-driven systems are actively used.

A convenience sampling technique will be employed to recruit participants from organizations utilizing AI tools in operational, decision-making, or service delivery processes. A total of 300 respondents will be surveyed to ensure sufficient power for statistical testing (Hair et al., 2010).

### **Data Collection Instrument**

Data will be collected through a structured, self-administered questionnaire, divided into the following five sections:

Section A – Demographics: Includes questions related to age, gender, education, job level, industry, and experience with AI systems.

Section B – Emotional Intelligence (Independent Variable): Measured using the Wong and Law Emotional Intelligence Scale (WLEIS), a 16-item validated instrument assessing four domains: Self-Emotion Appraisal, Others’ Emotion Appraisal, Use of Emotion, and Regulation of Emotion (Wong & Law, 2002).

Section C – Trust in AI Systems (Mediator): Adapted from McKnight et al. (2002), this section includes items assessing perceived reliability, understanding, fairness, and comfort in working with AI technologies.

Section D – Technological Readiness (Moderator): Based on the Technology Readiness Index (TRI), this section includes items evaluating participants’ openness to adopting and interacting with new technologies.

Section E – Human-AI Collaboration Effectiveness (Dependent Variable): Adapted from Rai et al. (2019), this section assesses perceived collaboration with AI systems in terms of usefulness, ease of interaction, responsiveness, and task alignment.

All constructs will be measured using a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (“Strongly Disagree”) to 5 (“Strongly Agree”), to ensure consistency and reliability in responses.

### **Validity and Reliability**

To ensure content validity, the questionnaire will be reviewed by academic experts and practitioners in the fields of HRM and AI implementation. A pilot study involving 30 respondents will be conducted to test the reliability and clarity of the instrument. Cronbach's alpha coefficients will be calculated for each scale to determine internal consistency, with a threshold value of 0.70 or higher considered acceptable (Nunnally & Bernstein, 1994).

### **Data Analysis Techniques**

Collected data will be analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26 and AMOS for Structural Equation Modeling (SEM). Descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations) will summarize demographic data. Pearson correlation analysis will test the relationships between Emotional Intelligence and Human-AI Collaboration Effectiveness. Further, regression analysis and SEM will be applied to test the proposed hypotheses and the mediating or moderating effects where applicable (Hair et al., 2010)

## **RESULTS**

### **Descriptive Statistics**

Data were collected from 300 valid responses. The sample consisted of 60% male and 40% female participants, primarily aged between 25 and 40 years. Approximately 70% of the respondents held at least a master’s degree, and 80% reported working in environments with AI-integrated systems such as IT, healthcare, or higher education.

Table 1 presents the means, standard deviations (SD), and Cronbach’s alpha ( $\alpha$ ) reliability scores for each of the main constructs. All scales showed high internal consistency reliability ( $\alpha > 0.8$ ), indicating good measurement reliability (Nunnally & Bernstein, 1994).

**Table 1: Descriptive Statistics**

Variable	Mean	SD	Cronbach's $\alpha$
Emotional Intelligence (EI)	3.92	0.65	0.88
Trust in AI Systems	3.87	0.67	0.85
Technological Readiness	3.80	0.70	0.82
Human-AI Collaboration Effectiveness	4.01	0.60	0.86

### Correlation Analysis

Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationships among variables. As shown in Table 2, Emotional Intelligence was significantly and positively correlated with Human-AI Collaboration Effectiveness ( $r = .62, p < .001$ ), indicating a strong association between the two constructs.

**Table 2: Correlation Matrix**

Variables	1	2	3	4
1. Emotional Intelligence (EI)	1			
2. Trust in AI Systems	.58***	1		
3. Technological Readiness	.42***	.46***	1	
4. Human-AI Collaboration Effectiveness	.62***	.66***	.50***	1

*Note:* \*\*\* $p < .001$

### Regression Analysis

A simple linear regression analysis was conducted to assess the impact of Emotional Intelligence on Human-AI Collaboration Effectiveness. The results revealed that Emotional Intelligence significantly predicted collaboration effectiveness ( $\beta = 0.61, t = 12.45, p < .001$ ), explaining 38.4% of the variance ( $R^2 = .384$ ) in the dependent variable.

**Table 3: Regression Analysis Summary**

Model	B	SE	$\beta$	t	p
Emotional Intelligence → Human-AI Collaboration Effectiveness	0.68	0.05	.61	12.45	< .001

### Mediation Analysis (Trust in AI Systems)

Using the bootstrapping method (5000 resamples), mediation analysis revealed that Trust in AI Systems partially mediates the relationship between EI and Human-AI Collaboration:

Indirect effect (EI → Trust → Collaboration):  $\beta = 0.23, 95\% \text{ CI } [0.17, 0.31], p < .001$

Direct effect (EI → Collaboration) after including mediator:  $\beta = 0.38, p < .001$

These results indicate partial mediation, suggesting that EI improves trust in AI, which in turn enhances collaboration.



### **Moderation Analysis (Technological Readiness)**

Moderation was tested using an interaction term ( $EI \times \text{Technological Readiness}$ ). The interaction was significant:

Interaction effect:  $\beta = 0.14$ ,  $t = 2.97$ ,  $p < .01$

A simple slope analysis showed that the positive relationship between EI and collaboration effectiveness is stronger at higher levels of technological readiness, confirming the moderating effect.

### **Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)**

To assess the overall model fit and relationships between variables, Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was conducted using AMOS. The model demonstrated a good fit to the data:  $\chi^2/df = 2.10$ , CFI = .95, TLI = .93, RMSEA = .05, all within acceptable ranges (Hair et al., 2010).

The standardized path coefficient between Emotional Intelligence and Human-AI Collaboration Effectiveness was 0.63 ( $p < .001$ ), supporting the hypothesis that EI positively influences collaboration effectiveness.

## **DISCUSSION**

The central objective of this study was to examine how Emotional Intelligence (EI) influences Human-AI Collaboration Effectiveness within knowledge-intensive industries, while also exploring the mediating role of Trust in AI Systems and the moderating effect of Technological Readiness. The results confirm the significant positive relationship between EI and Human-AI Collaboration, aligning with prior studies that highlight EI as a key predictor of adaptive behavior and trust-building in technologically advanced work environments (Goleman, 1995; Huang & Rust, 2021). Emotionally intelligent employees are better equipped to navigate the ambiguity, uncertainty, and interpersonal challenges associated with AI integration. They are more likely to regulate their emotions, interpret complex interactions, and foster cooperative work climates—making them well-suited for AI-augmented roles.

One of the most noteworthy contributions of this study is the empirical validation of Trust in AI Systems as a mediating variable. The findings reveal that individuals with high EI tend to exhibit greater trust in AI technologies, which in turn enhances the effectiveness of human-AI collaboration. This aligns with existing literature suggesting that trust is fundamental to successful human-machine interaction (McKnight et al., 2002). In AI-integrated environments, trust determines the extent to which employees rely on machine-generated recommendations, interact with AI tools confidently, and perceive them as fair and competent collaborators.

The study also confirms the moderating effect of Technological Readiness. The strength of the relationship between EI and collaboration effectiveness was significantly higher among participants with greater technological readiness. This suggests that even emotionally intelligent individuals may struggle to collaborate effectively with AI if they or their organizations are not adequately prepared in terms of skills, infrastructure, or mindset. Conversely, in environments with high readiness, the benefits of EI are amplified, allowing employees to integrate emotional and technological competencies more seamlessly.

These results contribute to the growing body of literature emphasizing the need to consider both human-centric and technology-centric enablers of digital transformation. While previous studies have focused on either individual capabilities (like EI) or system capabilities (like usability), this study provides an

integrated view by illustrating how EI, trust, and technological readiness interact to influence collaboration outcomes. These efforts can collectively enhance the organization's capacity to thrive in AI-driven environments by fostering psychologically safe, emotionally intelligent, and technologically empowered workforces. However, the study also opens avenues for future research. While trust partially mediated the EI-collaboration link, a significant portion of variance remains unexplained. Future studies could explore other mediating factors such as psychological safety, organizational culture, or perceived usefulness of AI. Additionally, a longitudinal design could better capture the evolution of trust and readiness over time, especially in rapidly changing technological contexts.

## CONCLUSION

This study provides empirical evidence that Emotional Intelligence significantly enhances Human-AI Collaboration Effectiveness in knowledge-intensive industries. The results suggest that organizations seeking to optimize AI integration should prioritize the development of their employees' emotional competencies alongside technical skills.

Practical implications include the design of training and development programs aimed at strengthening emotional intelligence among employees, especially in roles where human-AI interaction is routine. Moreover, HR practitioners and strategists should recognize EI as a key selection and evaluation criterion in AI-augmented workplaces.

From a theoretical perspective, this study contributes to the emerging body of literature on the human side of digital transformation, reinforcing the importance of socio-emotional factors in technology-driven work environments.

Limitations of this study include its reliance on self-reported data and the cross-sectional design, which limit causal inferences. Future research could adopt longitudinal or experimental designs to examine causal relationships and explore moderating variables such as organizational support or AI system sophistication.

In conclusion, fostering Emotional Intelligence among employees is not only beneficial for individual performance but is also critical for leveraging the full potential of AI in organizational settings.

## REFERENCES

- Albrecht, S. L., Bakker, A. B., Gruman, J. A., Macey, W. H., & Saks, A. M. (2015). Employee engagement, human resource management practices and competitive advantage: An integrated approach. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 2(1), 7–35. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOEPP-08-2014-0042>
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future*. W.W. Norton & Company.
- Cherniss, C. (2010). Emotional intelligence: Toward clarification of a concept. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 3(2), 110–126. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-9434.2010.01231.x>
- Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review*, 96(1), 108–116.
- Ferrada, F., & Camarinha-Matos, L. M. (2024, September). Emotions in Human-AI Collaboration. In *Working Conference on Virtual Enterprises* (pp. 101-117). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ*. Bantam Books.



- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Huang, M. H., & Rust, R. T. (2021). Artificial intelligence in service. *Journal of Service Research*, 24(1), 3–20. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670520902266>
- Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2019). Siri, Siri, in my hand: Who's the fairest in the land? On the interpretations, illustrations, and implications of artificial intelligence. *Business Horizons*, 62(1), 15–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.08.004>
- Kolomaznik, M., Petrik, V., Slama, M., & Jurik, V. (2024). The role of socio-emotional attributes in enhancing human-AI collaboration. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1369957.
- Kumar, N., Lohano, R. K., Asghar, M. M., Khalid, M., & Awan, D. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and Green Finance: Unveiling the Link to Financial Performance in Pakistan. *THE ASIAN BULLETIN OF GREEN MANAGEMENT AND CIRCULAR ECONOMY*, 5(1), 173-189.
- Kumar, N., Pal, S. K., Agarwal, P., Rosak-Szyrocka, J., & Jain, V. (Eds.). (2024). *Human-Machine Collaboration and Emotional Intelligence in Industry 5.0*. IGI Global.
- Lopes, P. N., Salovey, P., & Straus, R. (2003). Emotional intelligence, personality, and the perceived quality of social relationships. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 35(3), 641–658. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(02\)00242-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(02)00242-8)
- Makridakis, S. (2017). The forthcoming Artificial Intelligence (AI) revolution: Its impact on society and firms. *Futures*, 90, 46–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2017.03.006>
- Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Qureshi, S., Gulzar, S., & Shah, A. A. (2021). Digital transformation and future of work in Pakistan: Challenges and opportunities. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences*, 15(1), 200–213.
- Rai, A., Constantinides, P., & Sarker, S. (2019). Next-generation digital platforms: Toward human–AI hybrids. *MIS Quarterly*, 43(1), iii–ix.
- Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality*, 9(3), 185–211. <https://doi.org/10.2190/DUGG-P24E-52WK-6CDG>
- Shah, S. M., Irani, Z., & Sharif, A. M. (2017). Big data in HRM: The next frontier for employee emotional and behavioral analytics. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 19(4), 993–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-016-9671-2>
- Trist, E. L., & Bamforth, K. W. (1951). Some social and psychological consequences of the longwall method of coal-getting. *Human Relations*, 4(1), 3–38. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872675100400101>