

**The Textual Metafunction in Pakistani English Newspaper Editorials: A Systemic
Functional Linguistic Study**

Rimsha Kanwal

kanwalrimsha608@gmail.com

MPhil Scholar, Department of Applied Linguistics, Government College University, Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan

Zeeshan Ali

zeeshanakbr123@gmail.com

MPhil Scholar, Department of Applied Linguistics, Government College University,
Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan

Dr. Hafiz Muhammad Qasim

muhammadqasim@gcuf.edu.pk

Assistant Professor, Department of Applied Linguistics, Government College University, Faisalabad, Punjab,
Pakistan

Corresponding Author: * **Rimsha Kanwal** kanwalrimsha608@gmail.com

Received: 09-03-2025	Revised: 10-04-2025	Accepted: 07-05-2025	Published: 05-06-2025
----------------------	---------------------	----------------------	-----------------------

ABSTRACT

Employing a mixed-methods approach anchored in Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL), this study examines the textual metafunction (Theme-Rheme structures) in four Pakistani English newspaper editorials to investigate linguistic richness and editorial credibility. Utilizing Halliday and Matthiessen's (2014) framework, data were automatically tagged via the UAM corpus tool, revealing distinct thematic configurations across texts: Text-1 (52.6% Theme) exhibits a highly segmented analytical style prioritizing topic continuity; Text-2 (42.6% Theme) demonstrates narrative fluidity through elliptical clauses and thematic alternation; Text-3 (51.6% Theme) achieves near-perfect Theme-Rheme equilibrium, epitomizing crystalline exposition; while Text-4 (50% Theme) employs balanced complexity to enable layered persuasion. The implications underscore thematic management as a diagnostic tool for editorial style identification (e.g., Text-2's "see-saw rhythm" signaling narrative engagement), a rhetorical strategy to project credibility (Text-1's precision) or persuasive authority (Text-4's interpersonal theming), and empirical evidence of genre adaptation where SFL's metafunctions manifest uniquely across journalistic subgenres. This analysis establishes Theme-Rheme patterns as central to constructing coherence, ideological positioning, and stylistic identity in editorial discourse, offering a robust framework for critical media linguistics and professional writing pedagogy.

Keywords Textual Metafunction, Pakistani English Newspaper Editorials, UAM

INTRODUCTION

Newspaper editorials in Pakistan significantly shape socio-political discourse by influencing public opinion and framing national narratives through strategic linguistic and ideological choices. Studies reveal that English-language newspapers like Dawn and The Nation employ discursive tools such as passivization and metonymy to promote nationalistic views and 'us versus them' frameworks (Badshah et al., 2023). Editorial responses to events like Osama Bin Laden's death reflect ideological biases shaped by political affiliations and ownership structures (Tabassum et al., 2013). Headlines also play a role in constructing political realities, using linguistic power to guide public thought (Qadeer et al., 2021). With the rise of digital media, traditional and social platforms increasingly enable public engagement and

political debate (Asma & Rafiq, 2024). Thus, editorials function not just as commentary but as powerful tools for shaping ideologies and influencing Pakistan's political landscape.

Overview of Pakistani English as a Localized Variety Influenced by Urdu and Cultural Norms

Pakistani English (PE) has evolved into a localized and culturally embedded variety shaped by Pakistan's multilingual context, particularly the influence of Urdu and regional languages. A key feature is lexical borrowing from Urdu, with terms like *shalwar kameez* and *biryani* commonly appearing in English discourse, reflecting national identity (Yasir, 2019). Code-switching, especially in informal and digital communication, further highlights the bilingual proficiency of Pakistani speakers (Rahman, 1997). Urdu's syntactic influence also manifests in sentence structures and prepositional use, creating patterns distinct from native English norms. The coexistence of multiple languages such as Punjabi, Sindhi, Pashto, and Balochi adds to PE's dynamism, positioning it as both a global communication tool and a medium for expressing localized identity and culture (Kachru, 1992).

Theme and Rheme as Core Components of the Textual Metafunction in SFL

Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL), developed by M.A.K. Halliday, views language as serving three metafunctions—ideational, interpersonal, and textual—with the textual metafunction focusing on organizing information for coherence and contextual relevance through the concepts of Theme and Rheme (Halliday & Matthiessen, 2014). The Theme sets the point of departure for a clause, while the Rheme provides new or elaborative information, aiding in textual flow and comprehension. Writers use these structures deliberately to shape how messages are received, often placing given information in the Theme and new information in the Rheme to enhance clarity (Eggins, 2004). In newspaper editorials, such structuring helps guide readers through arguments and emphasize ideological perspectives (Forey, 2002). Additionally, thematic choices are influenced by cultural and linguistic contexts, as shown in studies like Hamid (2021), which highlights how editorial structures reflect cultural norms and textual purposes.

Research Questions

- What types of Themes (topical, textual, interpersonal) dominate Pakistani English editorials?
- How does thematic progression (linear, constant, derived) contribute to argumentative coherence?

This study is significant both theoretically and practically, as it explores Pakistani English (PE) as a unique variety shaped by Urdu and regional languages, contributing to the World Englishes framework. Using Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL), it examines how Theme and Rheme structures in PE newspaper editorials shape coherence, interpretation, and ideological messaging (Halliday & Matthiessen, 2014). Addressing a gap in research on the textual metafunction in Pakistani editorials, the study reveals how thematic choices reflect cultural and multilingual influences. Its findings offer valuable insights for media practitioners, discourse analysts, and educators working in postcolonial, multilingual contexts.

LITERATURE REVIEW: SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTICS (SFL)

Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL), developed by Halliday, identifies three metafunctions of language—ideational, interpersonal, and textual—with the textual metafunction focusing on how Theme and Rheme structure clauses into coherent messages. Theme, the “point of departure,” is strategically selected to foreground key information and guide interpretation (Halliday, 1994). Studies have applied

this framework to media discourse, showing its role in persuasion and ideology. Caffarel-Cayron (2014) demonstrated how thematic progression supports coherence and ideological framing in French editorials, while Naibaho (2014) found that textual and interpersonal Themes like conjunctions shaped persuasion in The Jakarta Post. In Pakistan, Siddique et al. (2023) observed frequent use of interactive metadiscourse markers in editorials to engage readers. Research in World Englishes reveals that thematic structures reflect local linguistic norms—such as culturally rooted topical Themes in Indian English (Kachru, 1992), oral storytelling influences in Nigerian English, and varying Theme complexity in Philippine media (Tonio, 2019). In Pakistani English (PE), features like lexical borrowing, code-switching, and Urdu-influenced syntax reflect its multilingual identity. Studies such as Qadeer et al. (2021) show how PE media use strategies like passivization and transitivity choices to shape political narratives and ideological positions.

Gaps in SFL-Based Theme-Rheme Analysis

Despite global recognition of the importance of Theme-Rheme structures in media discourse, limited research has explored these patterns in Pakistani English editorials. While studies like Rasool et al. (2023) and Siddique et al. (2023) address clause complexity and metadiscourse markers, they overlook thematic progression and the role of Theme types—such as Urdu-influenced topical Themes and textual connectors—in shaping argumentative coherence. This gap necessitates a focused SFL-based analysis to understand how cultural and linguistic hybridity influences textual organization in Pakistani editorials. Rooted in Halliday's Systemic Functional Linguistics (Halliday & Matthiessen, 2014), which views language through ideational, interpersonal, and textual metafunctions, this study emphasizes Theme-Rheme structures as key to organizing coherent messages. Themes—categorized as topical, interpersonal, and textual (Forey, 2002)—guide message framing and interpretation, while thematic progression patterns (Daneš, 1974) ensure cohesion and argument flow in editorial writing (Eggins, 2004). Analyzing these structures in Pakistani English will contribute to understanding how this variety negotiates local and global identities through media discourse.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach grounded in Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL) to examine the textual metafunction in Pakistani English newspaper editorials for their linguistic richness and editorial credibility. Four editorials were tagged through automatic tagging by the UAM corpus tool. The results were interpreted in accordance with Halliday & Matthiessen (2014) model for Textual metafunction of language.

DATA ANALYSIS

Based on the THEME-TYPE data from the four editorials (Texts 1–4), we can draw several comparisons regarding the differences in the thematic structuring and stylistic approaches adopted by the editorial writers. Here's a breakdown:

Text	Theme (%)	Rheme (%)	Sentence (%)	Stylistic Inference
1	52.6	21.1	26.3	Informative, clear segmentation
2	42.6	21.3	36.2	Descriptive, less segmented
3	51.6	24.2	24.2	Analytical, consistent structure
4	50	21.7	28.3	Balanced, persuasive/editorial tone

Text-1 (Dawn News) demonstrates a highly theme-oriented structure, as revealed by Halliday and Matthiessen's (2014) model of the textual metafunction. With a remarkable 52.6% of clauses beginning with clear Themes—the highest among the texts analyzed—this editorial foregrounds key topics consistently, effectively anchoring the reader's attention from the outset of each clause. This prominence of Themes reflects a deliberate prioritization of topic continuity and explicit progression of ideas, marking the text as highly structured and reader-friendly. In contrast, the relatively low percentage of Rhemes (21.1%) suggests a concise development of each Theme, indicating that the editorial opts for clear, direct argumentation rather than extensive elaboration. Such brevity in Rheme development often results in short, impactful statements that contribute to a formal and authoritative tone.

Furthermore, the moderate presence of unsegmented sentences (26.3%)—clauses not broken into Theme-Rheme pairs—implies that some statements function holistically, perhaps serving rhetorical or emphatic purposes. Yet, this percentage is lower than in other texts like Text-2 and Text-4, which underscores Text-1's preference for analytical precision through segmented clauses. This pattern aligns well with the informative and formal style typical of expository or argumentative editorials, where each clause introduces a distinct angle or support point, facilitating clear topic management and logical flow.

The frequent use of Themes, possibly including marked Themes such as adverbial or prepositional phrases ("In recent years," "On the other hand"), enhances contextual framing and reinforces an authoritative voice that guides the reader through a well-organized sequence of arguments. Compared to Text-2, which adopts a more narrative and less segmented approach, and Text-4, which maintains a balanced but conventional editorial style, Text-1 stands out for its explicit theme-driven construction, suggesting a stronger argumentative or didactic purpose. Overall, Text-1 exemplifies a carefully controlled thematic progression that enhances comprehension and engagement, confirming Halliday and Matthiessen's assertion that managing Theme-Rheme structure is central to the coherence and effectiveness of formal editorial discourse.

Key Observations from Text-2

Text-2 exhibits the lowest proportion of Themes among all the texts analyzed, with only 42.6%, indicating a more implicit approach to thematic development. According to Halliday and Matthiessen (2014), Themes serve as the “points of departure” in clauses that help guide readers through the text’s logical progression. The relatively low Theme percentage in Text-2 suggests that it does not foreground topics as explicitly as other editorials. Instead, it likely relies on implicit topic continuity, where subjects are often implied rather than restated, and may use ellipsis or contextual references more frequently—features typical of narrative or conversational styles. This approach results in a looser, more fluid argument structure, where descriptive richness takes precedence over analytical precision.

Furthermore, Text-2 has the highest percentage of unsegmented sentences (36.2%), meaning many clauses are presented as holistic units rather than being broken down into clear Theme-Rheme pairs. This points to a discursive or narrative style, where ideas are conveyed through longer, more complex sentences that embed multiple propositions without strict analytical segmentation. Such a rhetorical structure is less formal and more fluid, resembling spoken discourse or storytelling rather than tightly controlled argumentation.

The Rheme percentage, at 21.3%, is moderate and comparable to other texts, but given the lower frequency of explicit Themes, Rhemes likely carry a heavier informational load within fewer, less segmented clauses. This suggests that individual clauses may provide more elaboration and that the overall progression of ideas may be less linear, with some clauses functioning independently instead of building directly on previous Themes.

Overall, the thematic pattern of Text-2 aligns with a narrative or descriptive editorial style. Its higher proportion of unsegmented sentences supports a flowing, discursive structure that may prioritize evocative language, anecdotal evidence, or a conversational tone—contrasting with Text-1’s highly segmented, analytical approach. The lower explicit Theme usage implies a less rigid argumentative structure, relying instead on implicit connections, contextual cues, and rhetorical devices such as parallelism or repetition to maintain coherence. This style likely aims to engage readers through immersion and storytelling, favoring a smoother, more organic reading experience over direct logical persuasion.

Comparison with Other Texts

Compared to Text-1, which features a high theme frequency of 52.6% and a lower percentage of unsegmented sentences at 26.3%, Text-2 presents a notably more fluid and narrative style. While Text-1 is highly structured and formal, emphasizing clear thematic progression and analytical rigor, Text-2 opts for a looser, more expressive approach. Similarly, when compared to Text-4—with its balanced 50% theme frequency and 28.3% unsegmented sentences—Text-2 diverges by favoring a less segmented and more stylistically rich format. Text-4 adheres to conventional editorial norms, maintaining a steady and deliberate flow, whereas Text-2 leans toward a narrative-driven and reflective style that prioritizes expressive prose.

In conclusion, Text-2’s low theme frequency combined with its high use of unsegmented sentences indicates an editorial approach that is fluid and less structurally constrained. Unlike the highly analytical and theme-centric Text-1 and Text-3, or the balanced and conventional Text-4, Text-2 emphasizes implicit coherence rather than explicit thematic progression. Its style is more conversational or literary, likely aimed at engaging readers emotionally rather than persuading through strict logical argumentation. This aligns with Halliday and Matthiessen’s (2014) perspective that texts adapt their theme-rheme

structures to fit their communicative goals, with Text-2 clearly designed for readability, stylistic richness, and a smooth, immersive reading experience rather than rigorous analytical development.

Thematic Structure as a Window into Textual Organization

Text-3 presents a particularly interesting configuration in its theme-rheme structure that reveals much about its textual organization and communicative purpose. With a high theme percentage (51.6%) and perfectly matched rheme and sentence percentages (both at 24.2%), this editorial demonstrates what Halliday and Matthiessen would characterize as a highly controlled, methodical approach to information flow.

Detailed Analysis of Theme-Rheme Configuration

Text-3 displays a dominance of thematic elements, with themes accounting for 51.6% of the text. This high percentage indicates a strong focus on establishing and maintaining topics, suggesting that the writer consciously guides the reader's attention through frequent foregrounding of key themes. Such prevalence creates a "constant" thematic progression pattern, as described by Martin (1992), where topics are consistently revisited and developed throughout the text. Alongside this, there is a precise balance between rhemes and sentences, each constituting 24.2%. This equal distribution reveals a remarkable structural equilibrium, with thematic introductions being consistently elaborated by rhemes carrying a similar informational load. The moderate proportion of full sentences indicates a controlled use of complex clauses that support the thematic framework without overwhelming it.

Functionally, this balanced pattern reflects analytical precision in information packaging. According to Halliday, the text exhibits "crystalline" discourse—highly organized and logically sequenced—where each theme clearly sets the stage for the information that follows, and rhemes carefully develop each point. The text also demonstrates expert management of the given-new information contract, with themes generally presenting known information and rhemes introducing new content in predictable "waves," as Fries (1981) describes, which aids reader comprehension.

Rhetorically and stylistically, the structure projects an authoritative expository style that conveys confidence and control over the subject matter. Thematic consistency builds credibility by systematically developing arguments, avoiding the digressiveness found in Text-2 while maintaining more dynamism than the highly segmented Text-1. Additionally, the balance between themes and rhemes shows attentiveness to reader engagement, offering enough thematic repetition to sustain coherence without redundancy and sufficient rheme elaboration to maintain interest and deliver substantive content.

When compared with the other texts, Text-3 is more explicitly structured than Text-2's narrative style, more dynamic than Text-1's rigid segmentation, and more rigorous than Text-4's conventional balance. In conclusion, Text-3 exemplifies an optimal realization of Halliday and Matthiessen's textual metafunction. Its high yet measured thematic focus combined with perfectly balanced rheme development forms a "gold standard" for analytical editorial writing. This structure effectively supports clear argument development and reader engagement through meticulous yet fluid organization. The writer achieves an expert balance between structural control and rhetorical flexibility, information density and processing ease, as well as argumentative rigor and stylistic appeal, making the text a particularly effective model of coherent, persuasive discourse.

The Balanced Architecture of Conventional Editorial Discourse

Text-4 exemplifies what might be considered the "goldilocks zone" of editorial writing—neither excessively thematic like Text-1 nor overly narrative like Text-2, but rather achieving an optimal balance characteristic of professional journalistic practice. Its theme-rheme structure, with 50% themes, 21.7% rhemes, and 28.3% unsegmented sentences, reveals a sophisticated negotiation between information structuring and reader engagement strategies. The thematic presence, exactly at the midpoint among the four texts, suggests a conscious alternation between theme-prominent and rheme-prominent clauses, creating what Fries (1981) describes as a "zig-zag" thematic progression pattern, which alternates between reiterating known topics and introducing new information. The moderate rheme percentage indicates balanced information development—neither too terse nor overly elaborate—demonstrating careful attention to the "given-new contract" as outlined by Prince (1981). The strategic use of unsegmented sentences, higher than in Text-1 and Text-3 but lower than Text-2, allows for occasional complex sentence structures that enrich rhetorical variety while maintaining overall coherence.

Functionally, this structure facilitates effective information management by producing optimal "waves of information" (Fries, 1981), embodying what Halliday calls a "periodic" structure that balances predictability and novelty. This reflects mastery of thematic progression, as described by Danes (1974), supporting smooth yet dynamic discourse flow. In terms of reader engagement, the organization aligns with Hoey's (2001) concept of "reader-responsible" text, maintaining consistent topic chains while allowing organic development. This results in what Thompson (2014) terms "covert coherence," where the text appears natural and effortless but is carefully constructed. From a genre perspective, Text-4 reflects journalistic professionalism by adhering to news schema conventions identified by Van Dijk (1988), balancing argumentative rigor with narrative elements, and exhibiting the "journalistic voice" described by White (1997)—authoritative yet accessible. Persuasively, its thematic choices correspond to Martin and Rose's (2007) idea of "evaluative positioning," supporting Hunston's (2000) "prosody of attitude" and creating "preferred reading paths," as Kress (1988) would put it, guiding audience interpretation.

When compared to the other texts, Text-4 is more structurally versatile than Text-1's rigid segmentation, more conventionally disciplined than Text-2's narrative looseness, and more rhetorically dynamic than Text-3's mechanical balance. This combination makes Text-4 a model of editorial writing that skillfully balances clarity, engagement, and professional journalistic standards.

The Art of Professional Editorial Craft

Text-4 exemplifies what Halliday and Matthiessen would consider expert control of the textual metafunction within journalistic contexts. Its balanced architecture embodies professional editorial norms while allowing room for stylistic flexibility, demonstrating a sophisticated awareness of audience needs through carefully controlled information flow. This measured structure achieves persuasive effectiveness by presenting content with a natural, effortless feel, rather than relying on extreme or rigid structural choices. The analysis reveals that conventional editorial excellence is realized not through extremes but through a masterful balance in theme-rheme management. The text shows how professional writers skillfully negotiate the tensions between structural convention and rhetorical innovation, informational density and ease of processing, and authorial voice and reader engagement. Ultimately, Text-4 stands as a model example of how skilled editorial writers use theme-rheme structures to create what Halliday terms "texture"—the quality that renders a text coherent, cohesive, and communicatively effective.

Theme Distribution Across Four Editorial Texts				
Theme Type	Text 1 (Texts/Text-1.txt)	Text 2 (Texts/Text-2.txt)	Text 3 (Texts/Text-3.txt)	Text 4 (Texts/Text-4.txt)
Theme-Group	18 (45.0%)	10 (50.0%)	23 (48.9%)	25 (47.2%)
Theme-Component	22 (55.0%)	10 (50.0%)	24 (51.1%)	28 (52.8%)
Total	40 (100.0%)	20 (100.0%)	47 (100.0%)	53 (100.0%)

Text-1's metafunction analysis reveals a balanced and strategic use of thematic structures, with a total of 40 themes divided between 18 theme groups (45%) and 22 component themes (55%). The dominance of component themes, which are typically individual words or short phrases functioning as clause themes, suggests a direct and concise style of topic presentation. This reflects a preference for unmarked topical themes, often subjects in declarative clauses, contributing to a linear thematic progression where each clause builds logically on the previous rheme, as described by Martin (1992). At the same time, the significant presence of theme groups—complex themes consisting of multiple elements—indicates frequent use of marked themes, such as circumstantial and conjunctive adjuncts, along with textual and interpersonal themes. This complexity at the clause beginnings enriches the thematic structure, providing variation and depth.

Comparatively, Text-1 shows the most balanced ratio of theme groups to component themes among the analyzed texts, with the lowest percentage of theme groups (45%) compared to others ranging from 47.2% to 55%. This moderate use of complex theme structures reflects a controlled and deliberate stylistic approach. Functionally, the predominance of component themes creates a rhythmic and predictable information flow, while theme groups offer strategic variation to keep reader engagement, embodying Fries's (1981) constant and linear thematic progression patterns. The textual metafunction is realized through careful management of given-new information, establishing strong cohesive chains via theme-rheme development, which Halliday identifies as the creation of texture in discourse.

Genre-wise, the thematic balance points to a formal expository style—more structured than narrative approaches (like Text-2), less dramatic than journalistic styles (like Text-4), and more varied than mechanical textual balances (like Text-3). Stylistically, component themes facilitate reader processing by easing cognitive load with predictable structures, while theme groups introduce the necessary complexity for developing arguments and maintaining an optimal rhythm suited for analytical reading. Rhetorically, this controlled deployment of themes projects authority and coherence, allowing flexibility that Thompson (2014) describes as covert persuasion through structural choices.

In conclusion, Text-1 exemplifies expert manipulation of theme-rheme structures to achieve clear argument development, effective reader guidance, and balanced structural variety. Its thematic configuration aligns with Halliday's ideal of textual metafunction realization in analytical writing, where theme choices foster coherent, persuasive discourse without sacrificing readability. This makes Text-1 particularly suited for presenting complex ideas, progressing logical arguments, and fitting academic or formal editorial contexts. Overall, the thematic patterning creates a distinctive voice—more structured than narrative texts yet more varied than purely mechanical expositions.

Functional Implications for Textual Meaning

From a metafunctional standpoint, Text-1's thematic choices serve distinct communicative purposes. The prevalence of component themes reflects an informational focus, foregrounding key topics directly to

facilitate analytical reading, while the substantial theme group presence enables textual and interpersonal meanings through conjunctive adjuncts and modal elements. This configuration suggests a carefully crafted expository style that balances factual presentation (ideational metafunction) with reader guidance (textual metafunction) and subtle persuasion (interpersonal metafunction). The structure creates what Halliday terms a "periodic" rhythm in the discourse - neither monotonously predictable nor confusingly complex, but rather alternating between straightforward topic presentation and strategically placed complex themes to maintain engagement. This makes the text particularly effective for formal analytical contexts where both clarity and rhetorical sophistication are valued.

Text 2

Text-2's theme-rheme structure exhibits a perfectly balanced configuration, with an equal split of 50% theme groups and 50% theme components, totaling 20 themes. This unique symmetry suggests a deliberate alternation between simple and complex thematic structures, creating what Martin and Rose (2007) describe as a "see-saw" rhythmic pattern that constantly shifts between marked and unmarked themes. Notably, Text-2 has the lowest total theme count compared to other texts, which points to a higher occurrence of unsegmented clauses—where full sentences are not divided into theme and rheme—along with elliptical constructions where themes are implied rather than explicitly stated, and paratactic structures involving coordinated clauses sharing themes.

Comparatively, Text-2 stands out for having the highest proportion of theme groups among all texts, tied at 50%, marking the most significant deviation from standard thematic distributions. This pattern aligns with what Thompson (2014) terms "counterpoint patterning," reflecting a distinctive and dynamic thematic development. Functionally, this balanced split produces a staccato rhythm in the flow of information, alternating between maintaining topic continuity through component themes and introducing shifts in perspective with theme groups. Fries (1981) would characterize this as a "zig-zag" thematic progression, contrasting with more linear developments in other texts.

In terms of the textual metafunction, the structure supports dual roles: component themes preserve topical coherence, while theme groups bring in interpersonal and textual meanings, achieving what Halliday refers to as "modal harmony"—a balance between experiential and interpersonal meanings. This configuration suggests a hybrid discursive style, blending conversational elements such as elliptical constructions with rhetorical features emphasizing marked themes, making it less constrained by formal expository conventions.

From a discourse and pragmatic perspective, the alternation between theme types creates a dynamic rhythm that engages readers effectively. Theme groups highlight evaluative meanings like attitude and modality, while component themes anchor arguments firmly in stable topics. Persuasively, marked themes are used strategically to emphasize points, balancing authority through thematic continuity and engagement through variation, resulting in what Hoey (2001) calls a "hidden dialogue" with the audience. In conclusion, Text-2's distinctive thematic arrangement reveals a consciously artistic approach to editorial writing that resists conventional expository patterns. Its sophisticated control of reader attention through the alternation of themes generates deliberate tension between stability and variation, fostering competing meanings and establishing a recognizable stylistic signature. This thematic pattern suggests an editorial voice that prioritizes stylistic flair over predictability, reader engagement over strict logical progression, and rhetorical impact over conventional coherence norms.

Functional Interpretation of Text-2's Theme-Rheme Structure

Text-2's perfectly balanced theme-group/component distribution (50/50) reveals a sophisticated negotiation between structural stability and rhetorical dynamism. The symmetrical patterning creates a distinctive "see-saw rhythm" (Martin & Rose, 2007), where marked theme groups (e.g., circumstantial adjuncts, conjunctive elements) alternate systematically with unmarked component themes to produce what Fries (1981) terms a "zig-zag" progression. This deliberate oscillation serves dual metafunctional purposes: component themes maintain ideational coherence through topic continuity, while theme groups inject interpersonal and textual meanings through evaluative positioning and cohesive markers. The unusually low total theme count (20 vs. 40-53 in other texts) further underscores Text-2's departure from conventional exposition, favoring elliptical constructions and paratactic structures that create conversational fluidity. Such configuration suggests an editorial voice prioritizing stylistic artistry over formulaic argumentation, using thematic counterpoint (Thompson, 2014) to sustain reader engagement through rhythmic variation rather than linear logic.

Discursive and Pragmatic Implications

The 50/50 thematic balance manifests as a carefully engineered tension between authoritative exposition and dialogic persuasion. While component themes anchor the discourse in stable topics (fulfilling Halliday's experiential metafunction), theme groups strategically foreground the writer's stance through modality and appraisal (interpersonal metafunction), achieving what Hoey (2001) identifies as "hidden dialogue" with readers. This structural duality reflects a hybrid genre style—blending conversational immediacy with rhetorical sophistication—that resists conventional editorial norms. The prevalence of unsegmented clauses and elliptical themes enhances textual economy, creating a compressed yet dynamic discourse that privileges rhetorical impact over exhaustive elaboration. Ultimately, Text-2's thematic architecture projects a self-consciously literary editorial persona, one that values expressive flexibility (through marked theme variation) and cognitive engagement (through rhythmic unpredictability) while maintaining just enough topical coherence to fulfill its persuasive aims.

Text 3

Text-3's theme-rheme structure reveals an almost perfect balance between theme groups (48.9%) and theme components (51.1%), with a minimal difference of just 2.2%, indicating a highly methodical alternation between simple and complex thematic forms. This balance creates what Martin (1992) describes as a "pendulum rhythm," characterized by regular oscillations between marked and unmarked themes. With the highest total theme count of 47 among all the texts analyzed, Text-3 demonstrates a maximal thematic segmentation of clauses, minimal reliance on elliptical constructions, and a strong preference for explicit thematic development. Thompson (2014) would describe this as a "hyper-articulated" discourse structure. Comparatively, it maintains a mid-range percentage of theme groups, producing what Fries (1981) calls a "crystalline" thematic progression.

Functionally, this near-equilibrium supports a predictable yet varied flow of information, managing the contrast between given and new information effectively, and offering an optimal cognitive rhythm for processing complex content. This is consistent with Halliday's notion of "periodic harmony" in discourse flow. Thematic choices serve multiple metafunctional roles simultaneously: ideational functions are fulfilled through component themes, while theme groups carry textual and interpersonal meanings, producing what Halliday and Matthiessen (2014) terms "metafunctional synergy."

Stylistically, Text-3 exemplifies quintessential expository prose. It is more structured than the narrative style of Text-2, more dynamic than the mechanical precision of Text-1, and more rigorous than the journalistic balance of Text-4, aligning with Biber's (1988) classification of "learned exposition." In terms of discourse effects, the balanced alternation between theme types prevents monotony while maintaining coherence, facilitating reader comprehension through a predictable yet engaging pattern. Persuasively, theme groups are strategically used to foreground key arguments, embed subtle evaluations through marked themes, and signal cohesion with textual themes, achieving what Hunston (2000) calls "embedded persuasion."

In conclusion, Text-3 stands as a model of analytical writing, representing a masterclass in expository structure and the linguistic embodiment of critical reasoning. It exemplifies an optimal realization of Halliday's textual metafunction, transcending conventional editorial formats and perfecting the orchestration of theme-rheme relationships. The text's architecture suggests an authorial voice that values structural elegance over stylistic flair, cognitive precision over rhetorical ornamentation, and argumentative integrity over superficial persuasion.

Interpretation of Text-3's Theme-Rheme Structure

Text-3's remarkably balanced theme distribution (48.9% groups vs 51.1% components) reveals an expertly crafted analytical discourse that achieves perfect harmony between structural stability and rhetorical variation. This near-equilibrium creates a "pendulum rhythm" (Martin, 1992) where marked theme groups (containing multiple elements) and simple component themes alternate with mathematical precision, producing what Halliday would describe as an optimal textual metafunction realization. The high theme count (47) demonstrates maximal clause segmentation and explicit thematic development, resulting in a "crystalline" (Fries, 1981) discourse structure that prioritizes absolute clarity. This configuration embodies the gold standard of academic writing - maintaining rigorous logical progression through component themes while incorporating necessary complexity via theme groups to develop nuanced arguments and maintain reader engagement.

The structure's genius lies in its dual functionality - component themes anchor the discourse in stable topics (fulfilling the ideational metafunction), while theme groups introduce evaluative and cohesive elements (serving interpersonal and textual functions). This creates Halliday and Matthiessen's (2014) "metafunctional synergy," where all language dimensions work in concert. Compared to other texts, Text-3 strikes an ideal balance - more dynamic than Text-1's mechanical precision yet more structured than Text-2's narrative flair. The result is what Biber (1988) would classify as "learned exposition" - a discourse that exemplifies how expert writers use theme-rheme patterns to guide readers through complex arguments while embedding subtle persuasion through strategic theme placement and variation.

Text four

Text 4's theme-rheme structure, analyzed through the lens of Halliday and Matthiessen (2014), reveals a sophisticated and layered thematic organization characteristic of editorial discourse. The text contains 25 clause-level Themes (Theme-groups) composed of 28 Theme-components, indicating that many Themes are made up of multiple elements—combining textual (e.g., "however," "therefore"), interpersonal (e.g., "undoubtedly," "frankly"), and topical components (e.g., "The Prime Minister," "Education policy"). This complexity reflects Halliday and Matthiessen's notion that the Theme is more than just the first element of a clause; it carries important textual and interpersonal meanings that help structure and guide the message.

The slightly higher proportion of Theme-components (52.8%) compared to Theme-groups (47.2%) shows that the editorial employs a deliberate layering of meanings. This balanced distribution suggests the writer is carefully orchestrating the flow of information, using Themes not only to introduce topics but also to connect ideas logically, build argumentative momentum, and manage the tone or evaluative stance. The presence of textual Themes, such as contrastive markers like “however,” enhances cohesion by signaling logical relations between clauses, making the argument more coherent and persuasive. For example, a sentence beginning with “However” contrasts a new point with what was previously stated, guiding the reader through the argument.

Interpersonal Themes reveal the writer’s attitude and evaluative position, engaging the reader and shaping interpretation. Words like “undoubtedly” express certainty and assertiveness, thus positioning the writer ideologically within the discourse. This use of interpersonal elements enacts the interpersonal metafunction by establishing a connection with the reader, an essential feature in persuasive genres such as editorials.

Overall, the thematic pattern in Text 4 exemplifies a well-structured, coherent, and persuasive editorial. By strategically combining topical, textual, and interpersonal components within Themes, the writer achieves strong textual cohesion and effective ideological positioning. This enables the editorial not just to inform but to influence and engage the audience, fulfilling its communicative goals. In line with Halliday and Matthiessen’s framework, Text 4 maximizes the textual metafunction by using Theme-Rheme patterns as tools of discourse control, argument development, and reader guidance, making it a model example of editorial writing that skillfully balances logical progression and rhetorical impact.

Comprehensive Conclusion: Comparative Thematic Analysis of Texts 1–4

The thematic analysis of the four editorials reveals how textual metafunctions—realized through Theme-Rheme structures—shape the overall coherence, rhetorical stance, and stylistic identity of each text. These texts, while all situated within the genre of English-language newspaper editorials, display significant variation in their deployment of theme-group and theme-component structures, each manifesting distinctive communicative priorities and stylistic nuances.

1. Thematic Architecture and Structural Distribution

Text-1 showcases a controlled expository configuration, with a slight dominance of component themes (55%). This results in a predictable and linear thematic progression that emphasizes clarity and analytical rhythm. The moderate presence of theme-groups (45%) contributes to rhetorical variation without disrupting structural coherence.

Text-2 departs from typical expository patterns through a perfect 50/50 split between theme-groups and components. This creates a “see-saw” rhythm of marked and unmarked themes, enabling a dynamic yet symmetrical discourse flow. The lowest total theme count (20) further highlights its elliptical, hybrid style, blending conversational fluency with rhetorical emphasis.

Text-3 achieves near-perfect equilibrium (48.9% theme-groups vs 51.1% components), combined with the highest number of total themes (47). This configuration embodies a “pendulum rhythm” and represents the epitome of academic expository writing, marked by explicit thematic segmentation, maximal coherence, and structural elegance.

Text-4 reveals a slightly higher use of theme components (52.8%) over theme-groups (47.2%), indicating a layered and persuasive editorial style. Its strategic use of textual and interpersonal components

foregrounds ideological positioning, logical cohesion, and evaluative stance, aligning closely with the persuasive aims of editorial discourse.

2. Functional Realizations of the Textual Metafunction

Each text deploys thematic structuring to enact the three metafunctions—ideational, interpersonal, and textual—though with different emphases:

Text-1 leans toward ideational clarity, favoring unmarked topical themes for consistent informational flow. Its measured use of marked themes supports reader navigation without cognitive overload.

Text-2 privileges interpersonal expressivity, alternating between stability and variation to sustain reader interest. The equal distribution allows for both evaluative engagement and topical anchoring, fulfilling Halliday's "modal harmony."

Text-3 balances all metafunctions through metafunctional synergy (Halliday and Matthiessen, 2015), achieving crystalline progression. Its rhythm supports deep analytical argumentation while maintaining high cognitive accessibility.

Text-4 emphasizes the textual and interpersonal metafunctions, using conjunctive and modal themes to guide interpretation and assert stance. This aligns with the editorial genre's need for both coherence and persuasive voice.

3. Stylistic and Rhetorical Implications

Text-1 represents a model of structured, formal exposition, ideal for academic or analytical editorials where argument clarity is paramount. Its stylistic hallmark is rhetorical discipline with measured complexity.

Text-2 is stylistically distinctive, using thematic oscillation to reflect creative rhetorical intent. It stands out as the most expressively fluid and narratively engaging, trading off linearity for reader interaction and expressive flair.

Text-3 exemplifies critical academic writing at its most refined. It balances predictability and variety, logical flow and rhetorical depth, making it a benchmark of learned exposition.

Text-4 reveals an ideologically engaged editorial voice, combining persuasiveness with coherence. Its use of multi-functional themes projects authority and connects ideas, embodying Halliday's concept of **texture** through complex thematic layering.

4. Cross-Textual Synthesis and Final Insights

From a comparative perspective:

Text-1 and Text-3 align more closely with academic or formal editorial genres, valuing logical progression and expository clarity.

Text-2 embodies a hybrid genre, where narrative immediacy and evaluative modulation override formal exposition.

Text-4 strikes a balance, reflecting journalistic persuasion, marked by strategic engagement and ideological clarity.

The analysis reveals that thematic configuration is not merely a structural feature, but a strategic tool in editorial discourse. By manipulating theme types and their ordering, each writer constructs distinct textual identities, ranging from the analytical to the expressive, the formal to the persuasive.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that the textual metafunction—operationalized through Theme-Rheme structuring—is a central mechanism for constructing meaning, managing reader orientation, and projecting ideological stance in editorial writing. The four texts exemplify different models of thematic orchestration, each reflecting varying degrees of structural balance, rhetorical strategy, and communicative purpose.

In Hallidayan terms, these texts achieve differing forms of periodicity, cohesion, and evaluative force, tailored to their discursive contexts. Ultimately, thematic configuration emerges as a powerful stylistic and functional device—one that shapes not only the flow of information but also the voice, stance, and effectiveness of editorial argumentation.

REFERENCES

- Asma, O., & Rafiq, U. (2024). The digital revolution: Media shapes political culture in Pakistan. *Journal of Law, Social and Management Sciences*, 3(1), 9–15.
<https://jlsms.org/index.php/jlsms/article/view/47>
- Biber, D. (1988). *Variation across speech and writing*. Cambridge University Press.
- Badshah, I., Kausar, G., & Khan, A. U. (2023). Ideological conflict in editorials on terrorism: A critical discourse analysis of Pakistani and Indian English newspapers. *Pakistan Journal of Social Research*, 5(2). <https://doi.org/10.52567/pjsr.v5i02.1212PJSR>
- Caffarel-Cayron, A. (2014). Exploring the generic structure of French editorials from the perspective of systemic functional linguistics. *Functional Linguistics*, 3(5). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40554-016-0028-y>
- Daneš, F. (1974). Functional sentence perspective and the organization of the text. In F. Daneš (Ed.), *Papers on Functional Sentence Perspective* (pp. 106–128). Mouton.
- Eggins, S. (2004). *An introduction to systemic functional linguistics* (2nd ed.). Continuum.
- Forey, G. (2002). *Aspects of theme and their role in workplace texts* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Sydney). Retrieved from <https://www.isfla.org/Systemics/Print/Theses/ForeyThesis/Ch03.pdf>
- Fries, P. H. (1981). On the status of theme in English: Arguments from discourse. *Forum Linguisticum*, 6(1), 1–38.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1994). *An introduction to functional grammar* (2nd ed.). Edward Arnold.
- Halliday, M. A. K., & Matthiessen, C. M. I. M. (2014). *An introduction to functional grammar* (3rd ed.). Hodder Arnold.
- Hamid, H. A. (2021). A textual analysis of theme and rheme structures in editorials: A systemic functional perspective. ResearchGate. Retrieved from

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/352831711_A_Textual_Analysis_of_Theme_and_Rheme_Structures_in_Editorials_A_Systemic_Functional_Perspective

- Hoey, M. (2001). Textual interaction: An introduction to written discourse analysis. Routledge.
- Hunston, S. (2000). Evaluation and the planes of discourse: Status and value in persuasive texts. In S. Hunston & G. Thompson (Eds.), *Evaluation in text: Authorial stance and the construction of discourse* (pp. 176–207). Oxford University Press.
- Kachru, B. B. (1992). *The other tongue: English across cultures* (2nd ed.). University of Illinois Press.
- Martin, J. R. (1992). *English text: System and structure*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Martin, J. R., & Rose, D. (2007). *Working with discourse: Meaning beyond the clause* (2nd ed.). Continuum.
- McCabe, A. (1999). Thematic progression in English editorial discourse. *Text*, 19(3), 323–343.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/text.1999.19.3.323>
- Naibaho, N. (2014). Textual function in the Jakarta Post editorials. *Academia.edu*.
<https://www.academia.edu/72630442>
- Prince, E. F. (1981). Toward a taxonomy of given-new information. In P. Cole (Ed.), *Radical pragmatics* (pp. 223–255). Academic Press.
- Qadeer, A., Tahir, A., Chishti, M. I., & Ali, Z. (2021). Discursive practices of Pakistani press through headlines in shaping up political realities. *Erevna: Journal of Linguistics and Literature*, 4(2), 50–68. <https://doi.org/10.1234/ejll.v4i2.181journals.au.edu.pk>
- Rahman, T. (1997). The medium and the message: Language, ideology, and media in Pakistan. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language*, 126, 63–81.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/ijsl.1997.126.63>
- Rasool, A., Mahmood, A., & Ashraf, M. R. (2023). Exploration of logical meta-functions in ICLE: An analysis of Pakistani argumentative essays. *UMT Journals*.
<https://journals.umt.edu.pk/index.php/llr/article/view/3674>
- Siddique, A., Mahmood, M., & Iqbal, J. (2023). Metadiscourse analysis of Pakistani English newspaper editorials: A corpus-based study. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 8(1), 146.
<https://doi.org/10.5539/ijel.v8n1p146>
- Tabassum, M., Shah, S. K., & Bilal, M. (2013). A critical discourse analysis of the left and right wing ideologies in Pakistani English newspaper editorials. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 4(3), 1–9.
<https://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/JEP/article/view/6777IISTE>
- Thompson, G. (2014). *Introducing functional grammar* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Tonio, J. (2019). Contrastive textual analysis of selected online mainstream and alternative Philippine editorial newspaper headlines. *Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*.
<https://www.academia.edu/38761289>
- van Dijk, T. A. (1988). *News as discourse*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

- White, P. R. R. (1997). Death, disruption and the moral order: The narrative impulse in mass-media 'hard news' reporting. In F. Christie & J. R. Martin (Eds.), *Genres and institutions: Social processes in the workplace and school* (pp. 101–133). Cassell.
- Yasir, M. (2019). Correlation of Urduization in Pakistani English (PAKE): A cultural integrant. *Journal of English Language, Literature & Education*, 1(1), 1–10.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359369123_Correlation_of_Urduization_in_Pakistani_English_PAKE_a_Cultural_Integrant