ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences
Volume 4, Issue 6, 2025 ISSN-L (Online): 3006-6638

Nature, Industrialization and Social Inequality in Hard Times: an Ecocritical Reading

Rida Mushtaq
ridamushtaq982@gmail.com
M.Phil. Scholar, University of Central Punjab, Pakistan

Corresponding Author: Rida Mushtaq ridamushtaq982@gmail.com

Received: 13-10-2025 **Revised:** 10-11-2025 **Accepted**: 18-11-2025 **Published:** 29-11-2025

ABSTRACT

The paper is an ecocritical analysis of Hard Times by Charles Dickens (1854) discussing how nature, industrialization, and social inequality Intersect in the fictional town of Coketown where manufacturing takes place. Basing its argument on the ecocritical theory and qualitative textual analysis, the paper poses that Dickens creates a complex literary ecology where the degradation of the natural environment cannot be discussed outside of the context of exploitation of the working classes and the philosophical impoverishment of Benthamite utilitarianism. Coketown is examined as a dystopian industrial environment of unceasing smoke, polluted rivers and the cold calculation to obliterate all organic life, serving both as a location and as an allegory of the civilization in which fact and efficiency are the order of the day at the cost of human and environmental health. The paper discusses the ways in which utilitarian pedagogy on the part of Thomas Gradgrind is an anti-nature philosophy that reinforces the human being and nonhuman world into calculable abstractions and that natural vitality, imagination and affective engagement are embodied through Sissy Jupe and the circus of Sleary. The situation with Stephen Blackpool is another example of how environmental degradation and the oppression of classes are mutually reinforcing aspects of industrial capitalism. Placing the social critique of Dickens in the context of the modern ecocriticism, this paper shows that Hard Times reflects modern environmental justice discourse and exposes the complex destinies of oppressed human societies and impoverished natural environments under the rule of industrial modernity.

Keywords: *Ecocriticism, Charles Dickens, Hard Times, Coketown, industrialization, utilitarianism, environmental damage, social inequality, Victorian literature, Benthamite political economy.*

INTRODUCTION

Hard Times by Charles Dickens (1854) is one of the most focused and argumentative works on industrial capitalism in the Victorian literature. The novel is set in the fictional northern manufacturing town of Coketown, and deals with the disastrous effects of the economic system and a philosophical view of the world, which places human feeling, imaginative life and the natural world in the service of production, profit, and utilitarian calculation. Although Hard Times has always been interpreted in the perspectives of Marxist criticism, social realism, and moral philosophy, an accumulating literature indicate that the novel would also be analyzed with the help of the ecocriticism concept, the interdisciplinary study of the association between literature and the material world (McCarthy, 2012), (Ballarin, n.d.). An ecocritical reading of Hard Times makes it clear that not only the human social order but the destruction of nature is to be condemned by Dickens, and that the novel develops a remarkable ecological vision where the destinies of workers oppressed and nature despoiled are inseparable.

The importance of the way can be explained by the fact that it can unveil all the layers of the text that Dickens which are omitted in the conventional social criticism. It has been observed that condition-of-England novels of the mid-nineteenth century often captured ecological anxieties along with their more overt themes of poverty, labor and class struggle (Banerjee, 2025), (Victorian ecocriticism, 2025). The image of Coketown described by Dickens, its smoggy skies, and polluted rivers, or even its monotonous brick work is more than a setting of social action, but it is engaged in the moral debate of the novel. Coketown is a setting that is simultaneously a result of industrial capitalism and a phenomenon that

influences the bodies, minds and spirits of its inhabitants. Reading Hard Times ecocritically is thus to understand that the novel predicts what modern environmental justice research refers to as the disproportional distribution of environmental injustice across the lines of social power, race and class (McCarthy, 2012).

In this paper I shall argue that Hard Times is offering a literary ecology in which the nature, both the external natural world and the inner human capacities of wonder, compassion and imaginative play are the oppressed to form the basis of industrial oppression. The thesis is developed in four threads which are interrelated analyzes: the creation of Coketown as the scene of a dystopian landscape where organic nature is expelled, systematically expelled; the role of the utilitarian philosophy of Thomas Gradgrind as an intellectual machine that justifies the desolation of the environment and the exploitation of classes; the functions of Sissy Jupe and the horse-riding circus of Sleary as representations of an alternative vitality that is resistant to the mechanistic order; and finally, the character Stephen Blackpool as a point at which environmental degradation and class exploitation entwine most directly. By interweaving these strands, the paper shows that on its most fundamental level, the critique of the Benthamite political economy, of a civilization that accumulates the living world (both human and nonhuman) into raw material of the machinery of gain) is an ecological protest.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The scholarly discourse on Hard Times is enormous and manifold, a measure of the timeless appeal of the novel to the discussion of industrialization, education, class, and moral obligations of the contemporary society. This review, by taking into consideration with three thematic areas, includes the scholarly work on the topic of representation of industrial environment and its impact on human well being in Victorian literature; the rise of ecocriticism as a tool to evaluate and discuss Victorian writing; and the special tradition of Victorian critical involvement in utilitarianism and nature.

Industrial Environment and Human Well-Being in Victorian Literature

The extant literature confirms that the Victorian industrial novels serve as the place of contact between the environmental representation and social criticism. Images of physical lands of manufacturing towns as subjects of symptoms and causes of the suffering of the working classes appear in the condition-of-England genre, into which Hard Times fits. As Hard Times, Rizwan and Khatoon examined it as a powerful critique of industrialization and its dehumanizing nature on the Victorian society, revealing how, in terms of the geographical distribution of Coketown, the ever-growing distance between the industrialist sphere and the working poor is already written on the landscape (Rizwan et al., 2025). On the same note, Chakraborty argued that the novel is a manifestation of industrial growth as an absence of progress and a cause of moral, emotional, and social depravity whereby she opposes the world of factories to the broken family and moral systems to expose the irony of the progress that is actually abandoning those it professes to enlighten.

The connection between the degradation of human beings and the industrial environment is a subject which has been looked into through several theoretical lenses. Hussein used Marxist, Feminist, and Foucauldian prisms to demonstrate how the industrial setting and utilitarianism of Coketown oppress workers and mislead human intricacy (Hussein, 2023). Stiltner conceptualized Coketown as a Foucauldian term of city-discipline, and he explored how utilitarianism influences not only economic relations but also preconditions of existence of the working-class, the Hands (Stiltner, n.d.). The analysis of the figure of speech of the novel by Kneževic suggested that through the metaphorical use of wilderness, Dickens refers to the industrial society in Coketown in which he lived and in which he experienced his initiation with methods of the defamiliarization revealing the ideological foundations of a middle-class, of which Thomas Gradgrind and Josiah Bounderby were representatives (Knezhevic, 2025). These works would collectively show that the physical landscape of Coketown cannot be said to be independent of social and ideological frameworks which generate it.

Special attention has been paid to the effects of industrialization on the bodies and the spirit of the workers. Borunda examined the mechanical metaphors which overshadow Hard Times as artifacts of the dehumanizing influences of machination in the Industrial Revolution, discussing that Dickens judges the characters of Coketown to be the embodiment of a human heart buried within Victorian Coketown and also plotting the localization of the burying of the human heart in Victorian Coketown in methods of metonymic reduction (Borunda, 2015). In her seminal work on metonymy in Hard Times, Spector discussed the effects of the process of industrializing the working classes using the characterization of such individuals in the novel by Dickens, which turns industrial workers into one-dimensional automatons, criticizing the strategies of representation that makes the novel and society of the nineteenth century transform human beings into economic roles (Spector, 1984). Johnson also maintained that the factory is the esthetic unit of the novel that organizes not only the physical space of the setting but the very form of the novel is organized according to the ruthless uniformity of industrial production, where even the architecture of the novel reflects this inexorable uniformity (Johnson, 1989). Historical foundations of these literary images have been validated in studies of the realities of Victorian towns under industrialization, which recorded the appalling living conditions, environmental contamination and outbreak of illnesses encompassing these occurrences due to rapid urban industrialization (Stevenson, 1993), (Özutku et al., 2018).

Ecocriticism and the Victorian Industrial Novel

As a literary and cultural practice of inquiry, ecocriticism is concerned with how humans engage with and depict nature and the environment in literary and cultural texts, the aesthetic, ethical, and political connections between works of literature and the physical world. The project of ecocriticism as defined by McCarthy refers to widening, or broadening, of more traditional readings, by considering environmental facets that are otherwise eclipsed by strictly social or even economic terms. In particular, he maintained that an ecocritical interpretation of Hard Times both continues the discussion on economic oppression to the environmental injustice of foul canals and dirty smoke within Coketown (McCarthy, 2012). It is this intensification of critical attention which is crucial to grasping the full extent of the industrial criticism by Dickens.

It has been found that over the last few years the use of ecocritical approaches to Victorian literature has been accelerated. In a work named Hard Times: An Ecocritical Reading, Ballinar discussed Coketown in the ecocritical frame of utilitarianism, referring to it as the destruction of natural environment, identifies the town as a location of economic activity that had been drawn in sharp conflict between the economic needs of industry and the ecological networks they annihilated (Ballinar, n.d.). Lewis discussed what she describes as the Hard Times dystopian landscape and mindscape of Hard Times, presenting a statement that Dickens constructs Coketown into an early industrial and environmental dystopia where literal manifestations of industrialism functionality align with psychic practices of utilitarianism on the subject matter, ecological and preservationist sensibilities are revealed in the text (Lewis, 2014). The ecocriticism of the Victorian age more generally has shown that the writers of the nineteenth century did not only recognize the effects of industrialization on the environment, but that their literary reactions to these changes form a significant pre-history of today environmental values (Victorian ecocriticism, 2025), (Banerjee, 2025).

In her analysis of Dickens and ecocriticism, Boone proposed that ecocritical reading of the fictions by Dickens presents not only a non-anthropocentric view of the position of human beings within the world, but also a criticism of the human centrality of social theories which continue to haunt us (Boone, 2016). This result is important as it contravenes the habitual tendency to view Dickens as a solely social novelist, whose ethical issues focus on human to human relations. MacDuffie's research on Victorian literature and energy also placed Dickens in a wider literary ecology of resource exploitation, industrial energy, and environmental impact (MacDuffie, n.d.). Goodwin-Hawkins examined the love of the imagery of smoke that fills the industrial novels of northern England, asserting that characterization of atmospheric pollution serves as realistic reportage as well as symbolic comment on the ethical state of

industrial civilization (Goodwin-Hawkins, 2019). All these papers establish the idea that ecocriticism has valuable instruments of reading the industrial novel.

Utilitarianism, Nature, and the Dickensian Critique

One of the major points that critics have been preoccupied with since the release of Hard Times is the criticism that Dickens is giving of utilitarianism. In her original work, Arneson discussed the essay, Benthamite Utilitarianism and Hard Times, which followed the story of how Dickens criticizes the philosophical ideas of Jeremy Bentham and the use of these ideas in education, politics and economics (Arneson, 1978). Sigot and Akdere have made another subtle attempt to examine how political economy with utilitarianism interrelates in the novel and how Dickens intertwines the two discourses to develop a coherent critique of intellectual underpinnings of industrial capitalism (Sigot and Akdere, 2017). Melkonians examined the binary oppositions, which form the structure of the novel, in fact versus fancy, mechanism versus organism, utility versus compassion; arguing that binary oppositions are used to dramatize the plight of the proletariat, and as a critique of industrialization, the Laissez-faire policy, and the Liberalism of the nineteenth century (Melkonians, 2024).

The relationship between utilitarianism philosophy with oppression of nature has been addressed in a number of perspectives. When analyzing the imagery of Hard Times, Bornstein analyzed imagery as a means to convey messages about the pastoralism aspects of English Hard Times, where Dickens adopts an inversed pastoral image to represent the loss of natural fertility caused by industrial and utilitarian processes (Bornstein, 1971). One line of inquiry Sonstroem pursued about the novel, though, is the so-called fetched fancy whereby the energies of imagination were repressed in the utilitarian government and this repression was a fundamental infringement of the human nature (Sonstroem, 1969). In Hard Times, the recovery of humanity as studied by Kim examined the effects of industrial environment and the utilitarian philosophy on the well being and humanity of the labouring people and how this novel explored the prospect of redemption through reconnecting with the natural emotional (Kim, n.d.). In Coketown, Andrain noted that economic efficiency was prioritized over popular health whereby smoke, dusts, and black mist surrounded the town and the millworkers worked until premature death just because it was done in the name of self-interest and rational thought (Andrain, 1998).

This source material, in turn, provides a fertile basis upon which an ecocritical reading of Hard Times could incorporate environmental, social, and philosophical aspects of the ecological critique of Dickens. What has not, however, been pursued is a systematic examination that ties these aspects together in a single ecocritical system, that is to say, as to how the betrayal of nature and the exploitation of the labor forces, the crippling of the imaginative life, are not independent instances of the utilitarian worldview but mutually prepetence. This paper is an attempt to do just that.

METHOD

This work applies qualitative textual analysis with an ecocritical theory to explore the nature-industrialization-social inequality intersections in Hard times by Charles Dickens. As a theoretical approach, ecocriticism focuses its interpretive resources toward the presentation of the natural world in literary work, the nature of interrelations between human societies and the natural world, and the ideological strategies that mediate these interactions (McCarthy, 2012), (Tajane, 2024). The approach has been based on the general tenets of ecocritical inquiry as developed by the following scholars--Cheryl Glotfelty, Lawrence Buell, Greg Garrard--along with the inclusion of environmental justice criticism, which is sensitive to the inequitable distribution of environmental harms across a set of social power and class divisions.

The main passage to be analyzed is Hard Times: For These Times (1854), which is going to be read in its entirety (Dickens, 2015), (Dickens, n.d.). Close reading is the main tool of the analysis, and the focus of interest is an implementation of imagery, symbolism, characterization and plot as well as the use of figurative language as applied by Dickens to the description of industrial spaces, nature, and physical

and psychological well-being of characters located in these spaces. Analysis follows a thematic structure based on a preliminary survey of the text and the critical literature focusing on seven thematic categories: (a) Coketown as a dystopian industrial landscape; (b) smoke and pollution imagery; (c) Utilitarianism of Gradgrind as anti-nature philosophy; (d) Sissy Jupe and the circus as symbols of natural vitality; (e) Stephen Blackpool and exploitation of labor; (f) the relationship between environmental degradation and the oppression of classes; (g) utilitarianism of Gradgrind employed by the critic in his critique of Benthamite political economy).

The search of academic databases such as Scity, Google Scholar and full-text repositories was conducted systematically to form a corpus of more than 200 scholarly materials that identify ecocriticism, Hard Times, Victorian industrial fiction and similar issues. Relevance scoring and thematic alignment of the research questions were used to identify the most relevant studies in this corpus. They are utilized to contextualize the textual analysis in the wider critical discourse, and to substantiate interpretations references to perceived scholarship. The research is not quantitative; everything has been the result of interpretative research based on close reading and theoretical deliberation. The weaknesses of this method, such as the subjectivity of the literary reading and the limitation to one main text, are taken into consideration, but these are outweighed by the system of multiplication of secondary material and the clarity of the analytical picture.

FINDINGS

Coketown as Dystopian Industrial Landscape

The name of the fictional town of Coketown is the geographical and symbolic centre of Hard Times, and its description is one of the most prolonged Dickensian efforts at describing the environment. Coketown is a town where nature has been driven out virtually. It is as uniform in its architecture as factory production is in its machinery: a grid pattern of streets with interchangeable brick structures stained with soot, an architecture of buildings seemingly planned by the same kind of utilitarian calculus as the economic life of the town, in whose turnover its own structures are intended, the jail, infirary, town hall, all of which are architecturally indistinguishable. Dickens highlights the rural staleness of the town, and its unfriendliness to natural variation: "It was a town of red brick, or of brick which, had the smoke and ashes been more favorable, would have been red; but things being as they were, it was a town of inorganic red and black. The expression unnatural red and black is ecocritically important, as it implies that Coketown is not only an ugly town but also anti-natural, a topography where industrialization has distorted the colors of the material world (Dickens, 2015).

Coketown has always been described as a dystopian location by scholars. According to Raj, Hard Times was a Dickensian dystopia, placing Coketown in a body of literature of anti-utopian noises about industrial civilization (Raj, 2012). Lewis followed this up by proposing that Coketown is a kind of early industrial and environmental dystopia where the corporeal change of the landscape by industry works parallely with the psychic disfiguration of individuals by utilitarianism. In his explanation, the landscape and the mindscape are co-constructive: outside desolation of Coketown shows and supports the internal pauperation of the residents (Lewis, 2014). This is also the case with Rizwan and Khatoon who referred to Coketown as a desolate terrain developed through the combined efforts of unremitting industrialization and a utilitarian worldview, where stifling imagination and emotion are equally matched by the increased divide between classes (Rizwan et al., 2025).

In an ecocritical sense, what is most notable about Coketown is its wipeout of the nonhuman world. No gardens, no parks, no trees, no animals (except the serpentine coils of the factory smoke and the elephantine pistons of the steam engines--were the figures, which, however, on a still greater scale, steal the marks of nature to automate them). The river in the town is an oily canal, stained purple with bad smelling dye and the air is always filled with factory fumes. According to Ballinar, the ecocritical reading, this erasure was the main axis of the criticism in the novel, Coketown was presented as a productive centre featuring a sharp division of economically productive and ecologically intact areas,

in which utilitarianism is directly connected with the physical destruction of the natural environment (Ballinar, n.d.). Nature is not accidentally absent in Coketown; it is the pre-requisite of a mode of production which can put up with no feeling of value that cannot be measured, purchased and sold.

The dystopian nature of Coketown is further supported by its time. The town lives in an endless present tense of manufacturing and consumption, with no recollection of a pre-industrial past, or any stance towards a post-industrial future. The factory bells are setting the rhythms of everyday life substituting the natural order of sunrise-sunset, season-harvest, which previously ordered human life. Zaid linked this temporal flattening of the broader alienation created by industrialization by claiming that the tension between, on the one hand, inflexible utilitarianism and, on the other hand, an oppressive industrial setting, creates a general social alienation that separates individuals, both culturally and geographically, and divides them not just from the community but also from nature (Zaid, n.d.). Coketown is not therefore, only, the location of an environmental degradation but also of the place where the notion of nature as the source of meaning, the source of beauty and the place of time has become a relic of the demands of industrial modernity.

Smoke, Pollution, and the Imagery of Environmental Ruin

Atmospheric pollution, as depicted by Dickens in Hard Times is one of the most vivid and rhetorically dominated in the works of the Victorian fiction. The smoke that always surrounds Coketown is not just a realistic touch of industrial geography, but an overall symbol which binds together, environmental destruction, moral corruption, and social injustice. The analysis of the northern English industrial fiction on smoke produced by Goodwin-Hawkins revealed that atmospheric pollution descriptions in the novels like Hard Times are mimetic reportage and symbolic commentary, signaling moral evaluations concerning the state of industrial civilization through supposedly descriptions of it (Goodwin-Hawkins, 2019). Indeed, of all the imagery that lingers throughout the pages of the novel, its most recurrent element is the so-called serpents of smoke which hang down the factory smokestacks, signifying at all times the omnivorousness of industrial pollution and its intrusion into all the life of Coketown.

The environmental connotations of such an image are huge. In his observations of Coketown, Andrain noticed that in Coketown, there was smoke, dust and a black cloud that covered the town and most people lived in deplorable homes, had to work in deplorable conditions and hardly any one of them breathed clean air (Andrain, 1998). The connection between the pollution of the atmosphere and the health of people is clearly articulated: it is the smoke that fills the rooms that penetrates the lungs of the people employed there, makes their lives much shorter and deteriorates their physical conditions. In his interpretation of the figurative language of the novel, Kneževic revealed that Dickens uses the metaphor of the so-called wilderness to refer to the industrial landscape of Coketown, ironically describing the most intensely humanized of locations imaginable a town handed over to manufacture entirely, as a desert, a wasteland, a sort of anti-nature wilderness (Kneževic, 2025). Ecocritically this twist on the pastoral tradition is important in that it implies that destruction of nature will not give way to the flourishing of the civilization but instead produce a new kind of barbarianism.

Ecological awareness of the novel is also reflected in the pollution of the waterways of Coketown. The canal passing through the town is termed as running with the purpleness of unpleasant dye, which is an indication of the occurrence of the toxicity of the industry in the water body. In the ecocritical reading of the novel, McCarthy specifically cited the foul canals and dirty smoke of Coketown as a sign of environmental injustice and claimed that an ecocritical approach brings widespread criticism of the novel to areas beyond economic oppression to the systematic pollution of the common environment on which everyone relies and where all life is wholly dependent (McCarthy, 2012). The polluted canal is not simply an aesthetic fault but a measure of a deeper malady the dominance over ecological well-being by economic productiveness. It is another article by MacDuffie on pollution in Victorian literature that further put all images used by Dickens into perspective against the larger pollution issues of the harm caused by the system, and how in the system, relating the issues of class, power and social responsibility are intricately engaged.

The imagery of pollution used by Dickens is a figurative one as well as symbolic on the moral level. The fog covering the sky of Coketown can be likened to the obscurantism of the philosophy of utilitarianism, which obscures moral perception by turning value into an expression of quantitative value. The black mist which covers the town makes people uncertain and vague about the world, reflecting the epistemological perplexity of a society which has substituted the qualitative judgement and the quantitative one of computation. At once utilitarian machines of industry, and symbols of a civilization that burns the natural world, even the natural abilities of the human beings within it, as a fuel to wealth-generation, the chimneys of factories are, at the same time, spouting their incessant jets of smoke. The imagery of the environment of Hard Times can thus be seen as a complete system of symbols wherein, the destruction of air, water, and scenery is the destruction of the human body, mind, and social relations in an industrialized world.

Gradgrind's Utilitarianism as Anti-Nature Philosophy

The most noticeable incarnation of the latter philosophy, Thomas Gradgrind, the main character in the novel, is presented in the most iconic way possible: "Now, what I want is, Facts. Educate these boys and girls in Facts. Facts only are wanted in life. This declaration of program finds the philosophical basis on which the whole social order of Coketown is, and is, in an ecocritical point of view, a declaration of war against the natural world. To the utilitarian state to which Gradgrind is the symbol requires the absorption and annihilation of all that cannot be broken down into fact, all that is qualitative, imaginative, emotional, aesthetic, and consequently, organic and ecological.

The intensely anti-nature philosophy of Gradgrind has many levels of action. On the pedagogical level, the school which Gradgrind is charge of manages to create minds functioning as machines, taking in the facts and giving out results of certain calculability, deprived of fancy, wonder, and the ability to sympathetically experience the life world. In her Hard Times, Sonstroem had revealed the value of fettered fancy to portray that the subjugation of the imagination under the utilitarian regime is the main transgression of the human fabric, an artificial limitation placed on the inherent faculties that demand to be manifested in the form of creativity, play, and aesthetic experience (Sonstroem, 1969). Vikash studied the way this pedagogical philosophy lowers the human being to a economic productive force, with workers as Hands and women as domestic functionaries, avoiding the calculus of social value of human feelings, love, and caring (Vikash).S., 2021). The ecocritical sense of the utilitarian classroom is one of denaturation, a place where the spontaneous organicity of childhood is disciplined in the mechanical routine of industrial production.

Gradgrind is a form of utilitarianism, at the philosophical level, which enforces what Bornstein has described as the corruption of pastoral imagery, in Hard Times. Miscultivated field and the corrupted garden motifs that run through the novel are indications of the corruption of natural growth processes by utilitarianism cultivation: children raised by Gradgrind are nurtured as products, shaped by a plan that is just as inflexible and mechanical as the factory process itself (Bornstein, 1971). Having been refused access to fancy and feeling in her childhood, Louisa Gradgrind is turned into the state of emotional infantilism- a ruined garden where the seeds of natural feeling have not been allowed to germinate. Tom Gradgrind, to the same system, is morally deformed, he resorts to gambling and stealing as only a means of letting out the natural instincts which his schooling has inhibited, but never killed. The failures of the educational experiment of Gradgrind are ecological failures, therefore: they show that there cannot be an indefinite exploitation and restriction of the human nature as it is of the natural world, unless disastrous results follow.

In their discussion of political economy and utilitarianism in Hard Times, Sigot and Akdere explained that Dickens obscured the relations between the discourses of both Benthamite philosophy and classical political economy to create a wholesome critique of the intellectual underpinnings of industrial capitalism (Sigot and Akdere, 2017). The philosophy of Gradgrind is not an educational theory, but an ontology a system of viewing the world that simplifies everything to measurable amounts. In this ontology, nature does not possess a value per se but only to the extent that it is measurable, used, and

turned into economic production. This philosophical precondition of environmental destruction Coketown is set up: in case nature should have no value besides usefulness, that there is no occasion that nature should be preserved. Utilitarianism as practised by Gradgrind is thus not merely anti-imaginative or anti-emotional, but (in its uttermost form) anti-ecological, a philosophy which justifies the unchecked exploitation of nature in the name of human (or, to be more exact, capitalist) service.

Sissy Jupe and the Circus: Symbols of Natural Vitality

In contrast to the mechanistic order of Coketown, Dickens opposes the characters of Sissy Jupe and the horse-riding circus run by Sleary as the embodiments of another way of life based on natural life-vitality, human authenticity and community. The daughter of a circus performer, Sissy, comes into the world of Gradgrind as a reclamation project- an unfinished mind that has to be sculpted through utilitarian pedagogy. However, Sissy is stubborn against the factual regime not in her stupidity but in the fact that her soul is geared towards compassion, wonder, and relational knowledge as opposed to abstract calculation. One of the sharpest ironies of the novel is her failure to define a horse in the language required by the schoolmaster of the utilitarian Academy, M'Choakumchild, even though she has spent her life around horses; an attempt at understanding the world to which the utilitarian system refuses to acknowledge as knowledge whatsoever.

Sissy is an ecological mode of being in the world in an ecocritical perspective. Her understanding is contextual and relationship, not abstract and general; she can learn about living things not as bodies of knowledge but as living subjects in networks of relationship and care. The binary oppositions in Hard Times analyzed by Melkonians revealed that the former always presents characters raised in utilitarian settings in contrast to those raised in non-utilitarian settings with a more Romantic element involved, and the latter were the victors, which eventually served as a role model to the serial utilitarian characters (Melkonians, 2024). The victory that Sissy attains is therefore not just an individual victory but a philosophical one: it is the validation of a whole way of knowing and being, an organic complexity of sentiment and life against the reductionist explanations of utilitarianism.

The circus itself in which Sleepy performs serves as a mini-community of nature. Its members unite under relationships of mutual dependence, love and creative work and these relationships are very different to the atomized, contractual, and exploitative relationships that typify the industrial economy in Coketown. The circus artists do not operate with machines, but with animals; their art relies on the dexterious development of physical grace, improvisation, and responsible reactivity to other living creatures. The circus is therefore an ecocritical mode of production and social organization which is organic rather than mechanical. The analysis of the uses of cultural carnivalism politics in Hard Times by Eleftheriadis signified the work of the circus as a Bakhtinian carnivalesque space that destabilizes the disciplinary order of Coketown, providing the vision of communal life that cannot be reduced to the category of utilitarian calculation (Ελευθεριάδης, 2023). The circus, with its grins, color, physical excitement, and an abandoned attitude to animals, is, in every way, the antithesis of the prosthetic elements of the Coketown culture, the body, the imagination, the nonhuman, and spontaneous pleasure of life.

The novel proves this linkage of natural vitality, exemplified through circus and circus people, as Dickens, through Sissy, is later proved to be instrumental in transforming the Gradgrind home into what it was in the first place. It is Sissy who gives the emotionally sterile Louisa some form of sustenance, who adds back some degree of warmth, tenderness to the Gradgrind family, who ends up proving that the wisdom of the Heart is better than the wisdom of the Head. The publication of Hard Times in the analysis of the recovery of humanity by Kim stressed the novel vision of redemption in the form of recovery of the natural feeling, with Sissy playing the major role of this recovery (Kim, n.d.). The circus and its spirit is ecologically a kind of reservoir of biodiversity in a monoculture a relic of the organic, varied and spontaneous life that industrial civilization has destroyed elsewhere.

Stephen Blackpool and the Exploitation of Labor

The main working-class hero of the novel, Stephen Blackpool, serves as the anthropomorphic realisation of the destruction caused by industrial capitalism to the environment and society. Stephen is a Hand--a metonymic title that diminishes all of his personality to the milliner of Coketown to a lone tool of production, one that is toiled under in the mills of Coketown. The combination of environmental pollution and the exploitation of classes is demonstrated through his story: he attributes the air as polluted, works on the factory floor and takes up the noise and are directly exposed to danger, lives in squalid housing and is deprived of legal redress, political representation and social mobility. The well-known phrase, "a muddle," with which Stephen defines his disoriented perceptions, is a summary of his confused intuition that the world in which he is an unfortunate victim is a place of perplexing and insoluble extensiveness of means and ends, of mechanism that creates wealth to the few and misery to the many.

In his discussion of metonymy in Hard Times, Spector illustrated how Dickens characterized the working-class figures to be the lifeless automatons, who have been reduced to a system of representation resembling the decline of the human being to the economic functions in the industrial order (Spector, 1984). The violence of the industrial production is a text upon which it is written, on the body of Stephen, which is worn out by years of factory work. His final destiny, of falling into a mine shaft that has been abandoned, an actual hole made by mining, becomes a devastating metaphor of how industrial capitalism disposes of used-up workers. Kneževic worked on the problem of Stephen Blackpool in the context of economic, political, and legal inequality, showing how a novel explores the role with the story of Stephen in terms of its depiction of how the legal and political institutions that proclaim the common good systematically keep the working classes outside of it (Kneževic, 2025).

The mistreatment of Stephen Blackpool is an environmental and social one. The factory he works in pollutes air that he breathes as well as the water that he consumes. His habitation is a product in turn of the identical utilitarian calculus which is the architect of the factories--designed not to be healthy or livable, but to be useful and economical. His body is worsened by the environment whose degradation is a direct result of environmental degradation: the industrial contamination of Coketown is not only an aesthetic atrocity but also a national and social health disaster that, again, disproportionately impacts the labor population. In Hard Times, Chakraborty analyzed the Hard Times in terms of factories and fractures, where he highlighted that the novel criticized the dehumansizing nature of utilitarianism, the separation between classes, and economic exploitation; in this section, he discussed Stephen in the novel, and how he reveals the paradox that economic progress goes with it- and needs it- to continue.

The ecocritical image of most power in the novel is the death of Stephen in the deserted mine pit, Old Hell Shaft. It is a gouge in the ground, a blemish inflicted by the extractive industry and it literally devours Stephen as the industrial apparatus has devoured him conceptually in his lifetime. Work on extraction ecologies in Victorian literature put forward that the portrayal of mining and extraction in Victorian fiction is often a metaphor of the overarching exploitive logic of industrial capitalism, where human people and natural resources are extracted, consumed and wasted (Miller, n.d.). The death of Stephen in Old Hell Shaft therefore gathers together the youthful ecocritical lesson of this novel, that the exploitation of labor and the exploitation of nature are not two different phenomena, but manifestations of a common systemic logic.

Environmental Degradation and Class Oppression: An Entangled Critique

Among the greatest contributions of an ecocritical reading of Hard Times, the need to prove that environmental degradation and class oppression are neither parallel but intertwined issues of the moral universe that Hard Times presents to us. Not simply Dickens describes a dirty landscape and a vulnerable working population; he creates a story, in which the two are causally and symbolically connected and mutually strengthen and further each other. Coketown does not get polluted accidentally

as by-product of industrial production but it is part of a system that maximizes profit on the cost of all other values, including health of the environment and welfare of the people who live there.

This tangle is not only apparent but also reflected in the spatial structure of the novel. These factories, the origin of both economic prosperity and pollution of the environment, are found in the center of Coketown, the housing of the workers encircles the factories. The smoke and effluents generated by the factories thus are concentrated in the areas of the poor who have no alternative other than residing near the sources of contamination. The Bounderbys and Gradgeens, its affluent, have, in contrast, their residences close to the center of the industrial world, but they are cushioned against the worst of the environment that the system on which they make their gain provides. This spatial inequality was explicitly named by ecocritical analysis as type of environmental injustice because Hard Times is a precursor of modern environmental justice discourse, as McCarthy sees, which correlates the spread of pollution with the spread of social power (McCarthy, 2012).

The entanglement in this novel is further demonstrated through how it handles the Hands. The Coketown workers are identified by synecdoche that makes them mere means of production, and their conditions of living and working are predetermined by this logic of efficiency and profit that works conditions the design of the factories. Vikash observed that the workers are regarded as mechanical elements of the industrial machine, of no human status and are subjected to a situation that undermines their physical health, as well as their emotional and spiritual states (Vikash).S., 2021). The ecological damage to which they are exposed, as in polluted air, infected water, insecure working conditions, is not incidental but a part, a by-product, of a form of production that transfers costs out of its system onto the bodies of workers and that of the of nature itself. According to Azzal in the Hard Times, the element of industrialization and the struggle of classes supported this discussion, presenting how Dickens uses literature as a variant of a social criticism that exposes the mutual dependence of economic oppression and environmental degradation.

The fact that environmental and social harm became entangled in Hard Times has far-reaching repercussions on perceiving industrial modernity. The environmental pollution and calamity literature in the discussion of moral dilemma and environment by Aberbach contended that Romantics and subsequent writers have assigned an environmental destructions a moral expression, and as a by-product of human action; ecological destruction is not a natural eventuality but an outcome of particular social, economic, and ideological decisions (Aberbach, 2020). This tradition is exemplified by Hard Times: the pollution of the surroundings of Coketown is not introduced as the inevitable price of advancement, but a moral debacle--the implication of a philosophical system, in which efficiency is more important than life, profit is more important than health and abstract calculation is more important than embodied experience. This criticism foreshadows what scholars have more recently described as the environmental justice paradigm, which asserts that the allocation of environmental injustices cannot be disarticulated, without the allocation of social, economic and political injustices.

Dickens's Critique of Benthamite Political Economy

The philosophical heart of Hard Times is the lifelong and frequently vituperative attack that Dickens directs at Benthamite utilitarianism (and the political economy grassed upon it). The classic work of Arneson has shown that the object of satire proposed by Dickens in Hard Times is not simply the practical implications of the industrial capitalism but rather the thought system which authorizes those implications: the philosophy of the school of Jeremy Bentham and his successors, which makes all outcomes of value calculable and all relations among people purchasing and selling (Arneson, 1978). The representatives of this philosophy in the novel are Gradgrind, Bounderby and the political economist, Mr. M'Choakumchild, and their combined impact affects all aspects of Coketown life: its economy, its schools, its architecture, its social classes and its contact with nature.

The nature of the ecological critique of Benthamite political economy by Dickens is essentially ecological in nature. According to Hard Times, the utilitarian worldview operates under a set of

assumptions that the natural world, such as the human nature, is a resource that can be used in maximizing aggregate utility. This presupposition permits to transform landscapes into factories, rivers into sewers, air into smog, human persons into Hands. The analysis presented by Andrain identified the justifications of self-interest used by the elites at Coketown, which leads to the deterioration of their own environment, through rhetoric of self-interest and rational calculation, such that smoke, dust, and black mist is something people ought to accept as a cost of achieving economic efficiency (Andrain, 1998). The reason-calculating mentality that generates such justifications is itself a manner of thinking through the environment, a mode of cognizing the correlation between human beings and nature favoring extraction to stewardship, consumption to conservation, quantity to quality.

The institutional forms of the Benthamite philosophy are also the subject of attack by the novel. The school model, the political economy lectures, the statistical abstractions of the blue book, the legal system which refuses Stephen Blackpool a divorce, but safeguards the property rights of the industrial grade all are forms of a utilitarian logic that makes a methodical subordination of human and ecological well-being to economic rationality. Sigot and Akdere revealed the way in which Dickens confounds the political economy and utilitarianism in order to build a criticism that includes not only individual players but also the intellectual machine of industrial modernity as a whole (Sigot and Akdere, 2017). The satire of the novel is not aimed, therefore, at some special abuses but at a worldview, a system of thought and practice, which, inasmuch as it turns all value into economic value, legitimizes the unlimited exploitation of human and natural resources.

What Dickens offers in opposition to the political economy that Bentham proposed is not a full-fledged counter-philosophy but a series of values, represented in characters and scenes: the compassion that Sissy, in the middle of London, bestows, the wisdom of Sleary, which, as he says, mutht be amuthed, the fidelity of Rachel, which is quiet, and the redemption that imagination and fancy could bring. These are the values that the study of Kantian aesthetics proposed by Lupton in Hard Times indicated are attached to a mode of apprehension that acknowledges the intrinsic value of living beings and aesthetic experiences--mode, which is in part irreconcilable with the utilitarian calculus of profit and loss (Lupton, 2003). The trajectory that the novel takes to reach its climax (Gradgrind realizing that his philosophical framework has created not happiness but misery) can be viewed as an ecocritical one, a failure of an anti-ecological ideology and a tentative rediscovery of the values that lie in the organic, the relational, and the natural.

DISCUSSION

The ecocritical reading of HardTimes that this paper offers shows that Dickens is more ecologically aware of his criticism of industrial capitalism than this has been acknowledged in traditional terms. The novel is not only protesting against the social impact of industrialization; it is protesting about the whole metaphysics of production and profitability which is behind the industrial system- a metaphysics which views nature, both human and non human, as raw material to be used in creation of profit. The discovery is part of a larger trend in Victorian ecocriticism whereby it has been shown that writers of the nineteenth century were often sensitive to the environmental aspects of industrial modernity and their literary reactions represent a valuable intellectual asset to environmental thinking, today (Victorian ecocriticism, 2025), (Boone, 2016), (Banerjee, 2025).

This analysis gives a number of implications. To start with, the ecocritical paradigm sheds light on the coherence of the critique of Hard Times by Dickens. The various lamentations that the novel addresses in its numerous forms include: utilitarian education, industrial work practices, inequality of the classes, the political economy and destruction of the natural environment, are not separate lamentations but parts of one systemic denunciation. This air and water pollution of Coketown, the retardation of children of Gradgrind, the oppression of Stephen Blackpool, the degradation of workers to Hands are all the results of the same philosophy: a worldview that cannot appreciate anything without quantifying it. The conceptual means of expressing this unity are ecocriticism, which shows that Hard Times is fundamentally a protest against the degradation of the world--the methodical substitution of organic

change by mechanical change, of qualitative experience by quantitative measure, of ecological continuity by economic exchange.

Second, the analysis shows that Hard Times is the work that predicts major ideas in the modern environmental justice discourse. This unequal allocation of environmental harm in the novel, as the working class endured the effects of industrial pollution whereas the capitalist was a beneficiary of the pollution, prefigures the main contribution of environmental justice research: that environmental degradation is not a universal state of affairs, but is a differentiated form of it, structured by the very power relations and inequalities that generate the exploitative relations of class (McCarthy, 2012). This observation indicates that the intellectual antecedents of environmental justice can be followed, at any rate to some degree, to the literary tradition of the Victorian present, and that novels like Hard Times should be considered as having made an initial contribution to the thought of environmental justice.

Third, the ecological values that the study bring into the limelight are imagination and aesthetic experience. This correlating of natural energy that Dickens identifies with the circus with fancy with a character like Sissy Jupe who would resist the utilitarian state suggests that physical conservation (or environmental conservation) is inextricably linked to the conservation of the human ability to wonder, to be compassionate, to engage in creative play. The utilitarian system assaults nature on both immediate levels at once, not only because it physically destroys the exterior environment but also because it discviews the inner faculties that help human beings to appreciate, value, and tend to the nonhuman world. The reinstatement of these faculties, which the novel represents through the redemptive act of Sissy, and in Gradgrind, by his subsequent awakening, is thus an ecological, as well as a moral, episode.

Admittedly, it cannot be stated that there are no weaknesses to the evidence base of these claims. Ecocritical reading of Hard Times must inevitably consist of a certain interpretive extension beyond the explicitly environmental existential interests of the text, and some scholars have doubted whether the authors of the nineteenth century can in any meaningful sense have had environmental consciousness in the contemporary sense of the term. Moreover, the novel by Dickens has been criticized on the grounds of its schematic characterization, as well as its inability to provide an approach to politics that could represent a full-fledged alternative possibility to the system it criticizes. Such constraints, though, do not even weaken the strength of the ecocritical reading; on the contrary, they simply situate it in the greater interpretive environment of Dickensian criticism that consists of several interpretive frames that all intersect and shed light on different aspects of the text.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, it has been presented that ecocritical reading of Hard Times by Charles Dickens shows the novel is an ecological text, and indeed a text authoring protests against the social injustices of industrial capitalism, but also the very root causes killing of the natural world and the human capabilities that give us our relationship to that world. By discussing Coketown as a dystopian industrialized environment, the all-consuming image of smoke and pollution, the urgency of utilitarianism as an anti-nature ethic of Gradgrind, the natural vitality represented by Sissy Jupe and the circus, the use of Stephen Blackpool, and the interlocking of environmental decay with the social order, the paper has been able to show that Dickens develops a rich literary ecology where the destinies of workers, landscapes, and living creatures are inextricably linked.

An ecocritical interpretation of the critique of the Benthamite political economy as developed by Dickens, therefore, comes through as a protest against the civilization which regards the entire living world, as well as the interior life of the people who live in it, as something that can be mined and imbibed. The solution the novel offers, small and uncertain as it is, foreshadows the possibility of an alternative: a way of living that is based on imagination and compassion and acknowledgement of values that go beyond the profit and loss calculus of utilitarianism. This new urgency lends a new urgency to the ecocritical insights implicit in Hard Times, in an age marked by increased acceleration of environmental crisis. Faced with the global magnitude of the environmental problems which care

twenty-first century, Dickens could not be expected to predict such, but his dissection of the ideological and economic systems which generate environmental damage is a force to be reckoned with. Hard Times teaches us that the exploitation of nature and the exploitation of human beings are not distinct issues, but manifestations of the same pathology- and that any sufficient action should take care of both at the same time.

There is further implication to this study besides the interpretation of one novel. This paper will make a contribution to the current work of Victorian ecocriticism and the general project of retrieving the ecological intuition of literary traditions that dates back to the Victorian period, by showing that Victorian industrial fiction has a rich and underexplored ecological dimension. Future studies could possibly expand this study into other condition-of-England novels such as North and South by Elizabeth Gaskell, Sybil by Benjamin Disraeli or Felix Holt Felix Holt by George Eliot, to chart the borders of the Victorian sense of nature in more detail. This kind of work would provide a better insight into the long history of environmental thought and enhance the discourse between environmental humanities and literary criticism.

REFERENCES

Aberbach, D. (2020). *Moral Dilemmas and the Environment: The Hebrew Bible and the Literature of Industry*. https://doi.org/10.26443/JCREOR.V2I1.37

Andrain, C. F. (1998). *Rational Choice and Market Efficiency*. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230376878_7

Arneson, R. J. (1978). Benthamite Utilitarianism and Hard Times. *Philosophy and Literature*, *2*(1), 60-75. https://doi.org/10.1353/PHL.1978.0004

Ballarin, I. (n.d.). *Hard Times: an ecocritical reading*. https://unitesi.unive.it/handle/20.500.14247/11621

Banerjee, A. (2025). *Modern Environmentalism, Nineteenth Century Ecocritical Consciousness and the Condition-of-England Novels*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15449516

Boone, T. (2016). *Early Dickens and ecocriticism: the social novelist and the nonhuman*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315548234-12

Bornstein, G. (1971). Miscultivated Field and Corrupted Garden: Imagery in Hard Times. *Nineteenth-Century Literature*, *26*(2), 158-170. https://doi.org/10.2307/2933420

Borunda, A. (2015). *Mechanical Metaphor and the Emotive in Charles Dickens' Hard Times*.

Dickens, C. (2015). *Hard Times For These Times*.

Dickens, C. (n.d.). *Hard Times*. https://doi.org/10.1093/owc/9780199536276.001.0001

Goodwin-Hawkins, B. (2019). *A Deep Lead-Coloured Cloud: Smoke and Northern English Space in the Industrial Novel*.

Hussein, M. A. (2023). *The Unspoken Fractures: Uncovering the Gaps in Dickens' "Hard Times" Through Critical Lenses: A BOOK Review*. https://doi.org/10.51699/cajlpc.v6i4.1294

Johnson, P. E. (1989). Hard Times and the Structure of Industrialism : The Novel as Factory. *Studies in The Novel*, *21*(2), 128-137.

Kim, H. (n.d.). *Recovery of Humanity in Charles Dickens's Hard Times*. https://www.dbpia.co.kr/pdf/pdfView.do?nodeId=NODE09275264

Knežević, B. (2025). "Wilderness of Smoke and Brick": Imagination of the Times in Charles Dickens's Hard Times. *Studia Romanica et Anglica Zagrabiensia : Revue publiée par les Sections romane, italienne et anglaise de la Faculté des Lettres de l'Université de Zagreb*, *70*, 3-29. https://doi.org/10.17234/sraz.70.1

Lewis, D. (2014). Melancholia and Machinery: The Dystopian Landscape and Mindscape in Hard Times. *Dickens Quarterly*, *31*(1), 17.

Lupton, C. (2003). Working on Flowers: The Kantian Aesthetics of Hard Times. *ELH*, *70*(1), 151-169. https://doi.org/10.1353/ELH.2003.0006

MacDuffie, A. (n.d.). *Victorian Literature, Energy, and the Ecological Imagination*. https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781107587533

McCarthy, J. M. (2012). Beyond romantic nature: ecocriticism's new shades of green. *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences*, *2*(3), 278-284. https://doi.org/10.1007/S13412-012-0076-X

Melkonians, H. B. (2024). A Utilitarian Study of Binary Oppositions in Charles Dickens's Hard Times. *The Creative launcher*, *9*(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.53032/tcl.2024.9.1.01

Miller, E. C. (n.d.). *Extraction Ecologies and the Literature of the Long Exhaustion*. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv1ks0c6z

Raj, E. (2012). Hard Times as Dickensian Dystopia. *Social Science Research Network*.

Rizwan, M., Khatoon, Y., Rizwan, M., & Khatoon, Y. (2025). *The Bleak Landscape of Progress: Social Commentary in Dickens' Hard Times*. https://doi.org/10.63163/srh130

Sigot, N., & Akdere, Ç. (2017). *Political economy and utilitarianism in Dickens' Hard Times*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315231617-6

Sonstroem, D. (1969). Fettered fancy in hard times. *Pmla-publications of The Modern Language Association of America*, *84*(3), 520. https://doi.org/10.2307/1261140

Spector, S. J. (1984). Monsters of Metonymy: Hard Times and Knowing the Working Class. *ELH*, *51*(2), 365. https://doi.org/10.2307/2872950

Stevenson, J. (1993). *The Industrial Revolution and British society: Social aspects of the Industrial Revolution*. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511622137.011

Stiltner, B. (n.d.). *Hard Times: The Disciplinary City*. https://www.jstor.org/stable/44372015

Tajane, S. S. T. S. S. (2024). *Ecocriticism In Literature: Examining Nature And The Environment In Literary Works*. https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i6.5675

Vikash.S. (2021). Hard Times as a Reflection of Victorian Society. *SMART MOVES JOURNAL IJELLH*. https://doi.org/10.24113/ijellh.v9i5.11024

Zaid, M. (n.d.). *From Coketown to Palestine: A Comparative Study of Resilience and Resistance in Dickens' Hard Times*. https://journal.pass.ps/index.php/aurj/article/download/649/303

(2025). *Victorian ecocriticism*. https://doi.org/10.25365/thesis.78329

Özutku, H., Tekinkaya, Y., & Vural, T. (2018). Reflections of Industrial Revolution on Work Life in England and Its Projections in Literature: An Analysis on Charles Dickens's Hard Times . Business and Economics Research Journal, 9*(4), 839-853. https://doi.org/10.20409/BERJ.2018.142

Ελευθεριάδης, A. (2023). *The Politics of Cultural Carnivalism in Charles Dickens's Hard Times*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7715904