ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences
Volume 5, Issue 3, 2026 ISSN-L (Online): 3006-6638

Political Economy of Infrastructure Development: CPEC, State Transformation, and Regional Development in Pakistan

Barkat Ali
barkatkhan47047@gmail.com
Department of Political Science, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan

Muhammad Usman Arif
usmanarifehsan@gmail.com
Department of Political Science, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan

Kaleem Ullah
kaleemullah7473@gmail.com
Department of Political Science, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan

Zeeshan Yousaf
zeeshanyousaf345@gmail.com
Department of Political Science, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan

Corresponding Author: Barkat Ali barkatkhan47047@gmail.com

Received: 11-02-2026 **Revised:** 25-02-2026 **Accepted:** 12-03-2026 **Published:** 28-03-2026

ABSTRACT

The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) represents one of the most significant infrastructure and geopolitical initiatives in contemporary Pakistan. Since its formal launch in 2015, CPEC has been presented as a transformative development framework capable of improving connectivity, energy security, industrialization, employment, and regional integration. However, infrastructure development is not simply an economic or technical process. It is also a political process through which states allocate resources, reshape institutions, reorganize territorial relations, and influence patterns of regional development. This article examines CPEC through the lens of political economy, focusing on its implications for state transformation and regional development in Pakistan. Drawing on developmental-state theory, infrastructure-led development, political economy approaches, and state transformation perspectives, the article argues that CPEC has contributed to a reconfiguration of Pakistan's developmental priorities and state–market relations, but its long-term benefits depend on institutional capacity, transparency, fiscal sustainability, provincial participation, local ownership, and equitable distribution of development gains. The study further argues that CPEC has the potential to reduce regional disparities through transport connectivity, energy infrastructure, industrial cooperation, and the development of Gwadar; however, uneven institutional capacity and concerns regarding resource distribution may reproduce existing geographical inequalities if development remains concentrated in already advantaged regions. The article concludes that CPEC should be understood not merely as a collection of roads, energy projects, ports, and industrial zones, but as a broader process of state transformation whose developmental outcomes depend on the political institutions governing infrastructure investment. A more inclusive and transparent CPEC framework can strengthen Pakistan's developmental capacity, while weak governance and unequal distribution can limit its transformative potential.

Keywords: *CPEC, political economy, infrastructure development, state transformation, regional development, Pakistan, China-Pakistan relations, Gwadar, development policy, economic corridors*

INTRODUCTION

Infrastructure has always played an important role in the economic and political development of states. Roads, railways, ports, energy systems, telecommunications networks, and industrial zones do more than facilitate the movement of people and goods. They also reshape the relationship between the state, economy, and society. Decisions about where infrastructure is built, which regions receive investment, which industries receive state support, and how projects are financed are fundamentally political decisions. Infrastructure development therefore needs to be understood not simply as an economic activity but as a process of political and institutional transformation.

The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) provides an important case through which this relationship can be examined. CPEC was formally launched in 2015 as a major component of China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI). It was initially associated with a proposed investment portfolio of approximately US$46 billion, although the scale and composition of projects have evolved over time. Its broad objectives include improving transportation connectivity, addressing Pakistan's energy shortages, developing industrial capacity, strengthening the Gwadar port, and connecting Pakistan with regional and international markets.

For Pakistan, CPEC has been particularly significant because it emerged at a time when the country was facing serious infrastructure and energy constraints. Electricity shortages, weak transport networks, limited industrial diversification, inadequate logistics, and regional economic inequalities have constrained Pakistan's economic development. CPEC therefore generated considerable expectations that infrastructure investment could contribute to structural transformation.

However, the political economy of CPEC is more complicated than the construction of physical infrastructure. Large-scale infrastructure projects inevitably create questions about land, financing, debt, taxation, employment, environmental consequences, provincial representation, and distribution of economic benefits. The political importance of CPEC is therefore connected to the question of who gains, who pays, who decides, and which regions are prioritized.

The corridor also has important implications for the Pakistani state. Historically, Pakistan has struggled with limited fiscal capacity, institutional fragmentation, energy-sector inefficiencies, weak coordination between federal and provincial governments, and inconsistent development policies. Large infrastructure projects require substantial state capacity to coordinate ministries, negotiate financing, acquire land, manage contractors, regulate industrial zones, provide security, and maintain infrastructure after construction.

CPEC can therefore be viewed as an opportunity for state transformation. Through CPEC, the Pakistani state is required to develop new forms of coordination among public institutions, private companies, provincial governments, and foreign investors. It also creates new institutions and policy mechanisms designed to coordinate economic corridor development.

At the same time, state transformation is not automatically positive. If decision-making remains highly centralized, local communities may feel excluded. If benefits are concentrated geographically, regional inequalities may deepen. If projects depend heavily on expensive financing without sufficient economic returns, fiscal pressures can increase. Thus, the developmental consequences of CPEC depend on governance arrangements as much as on the physical infrastructure itself.

This article examines these issues by asking three central questions:

1. How has CPEC influenced the political economy of infrastructure development in Pakistan?

2. In what ways has CPEC contributed to the transformation of state institutions and state–market relations?

3. To what extent can CPEC promote balanced regional development and reduce regional inequalities in Pakistan?

The article argues that CPEC represents a potential instrument of state transformation and regional development, but its developmental success depends on institutional capacity, political inclusiveness, transparency, fiscal sustainability, and equitable regional distribution.

Conceptualizing the Political Economy of Infrastructure

Infrastructure is commonly understood in economic terms as the physical foundation required for production and trade. Roads reduce transportation costs, electricity supports industrial production, ports facilitate international commerce, and telecommunications improve information flows.

However, political economy approaches emphasize that infrastructure is also embedded in power relations. Governments decide which projects receive priority, which areas are connected, how investment is financed, and which actors participate in implementation.

Therefore, infrastructure can be understood as both an economic resource and a political instrument.

The political economy of infrastructure involves at least four major questions:

- Who controls infrastructure investment?
- Who benefits from infrastructure development?
- Who bears the financial and social costs?
- How does infrastructure reshape state institutions and territorial relations?

These questions are especially important in developing countries where state resources are limited and regional inequalities are substantial.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Developmental State Theory

The concept of the developmental state emphasizes the role of state institutions in promoting economic transformation. Evans (1995) argues that successful development requires a capable bureaucracy that can cooperate with economic actors while maintaining sufficient autonomy to pursue national development objectives. From this perspective, infrastructure development requires more than investment. The state must possess the capacity to coordinate economic planning, regulate markets, support productive industries, and ensure that infrastructure contributes to broader economic transformation. CPEC provides Pakistan with an opportunity to strengthen such developmental capacity. However, whether this opportunity is realized depends on the effectiveness and autonomy of state institutions.

Infrastructure-Led Development

Infrastructure-led development suggests that investment in transport, energy, communications, and industrial facilities can generate broader economic activity. Improved roads can reduce transportation costs. Reliable electricity can increase industrial production. Ports can facilitate trade. Industrial zones can attract investment and create employment. Yet infrastructure does not automatically produce development. Infrastructure becomes economically transformative when it is connected to productive industries, skilled labor, effective institutions, markets, and complementary public policies.

Thus, the relationship can be expressed as: Infrastructure Investment → Connectivity → Productive Activity → Employment → Economic Growth → Regional Development

But weak governance can interrupt this chain: Infrastructure Investment → Institutional Weakness → Underutilization → Fiscal Pressure → Limited Developmental Impact

State Transformation

State transformation refers to changes in the capacity, organization, functions, and relationships of state institutions. CPEC requires Pakistan to coordinate federal ministries, provincial governments, local authorities, security institutions, regulatory agencies, financial institutions, and private-sector actors. This process can strengthen state capacity if institutions learn to coordinate more effectively. However, it can also produce institutional competition if responsibilities are unclear.

Uneven Development

Development is rarely geographically equal. Economic investment tends to concentrate in areas with better markets, skilled labor, existing infrastructure, and institutional capacity. Harvey's (2006) broader political-economic approach helps explain how capital investment can transform geographical space while simultaneously producing uneven development. This perspective is important for Pakistan because Punjab, Sindh, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, and Balochistan have very different economic structures and institutional capacities. CPEC therefore creates both an opportunity and a challenge: it can connect less-developed regions to national and international markets, but investment may also remain concentrated in already-developed economic centers.

CPEC as a Political-Economic Project

CPEC should not be understood simply as a road connecting China with Pakistan's Arabian Sea coast. It is a broader collection of transport, energy, industrial, port, and connectivity projects. The corridor reflects the convergence of Chinese and Pakistani strategic interests. For China, CPEC is connected to the broader Belt and Road Initiative and China's interest in international connectivity, trade routes, economic cooperation, and development partnerships. For Pakistan, CPEC provides an opportunity to attract foreign investment, improve infrastructure, address energy shortages, strengthen industrial capacity, and enhance its regional economic position.

The relationship therefore combines economic and geopolitical considerations.

For Pakistan, CPEC has three interconnected dimensions:

Economic dimension: investment, energy, transportation, industrialization, trade.

Political dimension: state capacity, federal–provincial relations, governance, resource distribution.

Strategic dimension: Pakistan–China relations, regional connectivity, Gwadar, and Pakistan's geopolitical position.

These dimensions cannot be examined separately because infrastructure decisions have political and strategic consequences.

Energy Infrastructure and Economic Transformation

One of the most immediate components of CPEC has been investment in Pakistan's energy sector. Pakistan has historically experienced significant electricity shortages. Energy insecurity has affected manufacturing, agriculture, small businesses, households, and overall economic productivity. CPEC-associated energy projects were therefore viewed as an important mechanism for addressing energy constraints. Reliable electricity can improve industrial production, reduce business interruptions, and create conditions for investment. However, energy infrastructure also raises questions regarding financing, electricity tariffs, capacity payments, fuel costs, and the long-term financial sustainability of the energy sector.

This illustrates a broader political economy principle: infrastructure investment creates both benefits and obligations.

A power plant may increase electricity supply, but if its financial structure creates substantial long-term fiscal obligations, the state must balance immediate developmental benefits against future costs. Therefore, evaluating CPEC's energy impact requires examining not only megawatts added to the national grid but also affordability, efficiency, transmission capacity, industrial utilization, and fiscal sustainability.

Transportation Infrastructure and National Connectivity

Transportation is another central dimension of CPEC. Pakistan's geographical location gives it potential importance as a transit and trade corridor between South Asia, Central Asia, China, and the Arabian Sea.

Improved highways and transport networks can reduce travel time and logistics costs. Better connectivity can also improve access to markets for businesses located outside major urban centers. However, connectivity alone does not guarantee economic transformation. A road becomes economically valuable when people and businesses can use it productively. This requires complementary investments in industrial production, agriculture, logistics, education, vocational training, and financial services. Therefore, Pakistan's challenge is to move from connectivity infrastructure to productive connectivity. The objective should not simply be to transport goods through Pakistan but to create economic activities around transportation networks.

Gwadar and the Political Economy of Balochistan

Gwadar occupies a central position in discussions about CPEC. The development of Gwadar Port has been presented as an opportunity to transform Pakistan's southwestern region into a major commercial and logistics center. For Balochistan, however, the political economy of development is particularly sensitive. Balochistan possesses significant natural resources but has historically experienced comparatively lower levels of infrastructure, industrialization, human development, and formal employment. CPEC could potentially address some of these structural disadvantages through investment in roads, port infrastructure, industrial development, fisheries, tourism, education, and employment. However, infrastructure development without meaningful local participation can generate political resistance. For local communities, the question is not simply whether Gwadar will become economically important. The more important question is whether local people will receive meaningful benefits from that transformation. This requires employment opportunities, skills development, access to water and electricity, support for local businesses, protection of livelihoods, and participation in development planning. The Gwadar experience therefore demonstrates that regional development requires social legitimacy as well as physical infrastructure.

CPEC and Regional Inequality

Pakistan's regional inequalities are longstanding. Punjab has a comparatively diversified industrial and agricultural economy. Sindh contains major commercial and industrial centers, particularly Karachi. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has faced security challenges but also possesses strategic geographic importance. Balochistan is rich in natural resources and has substantial geographic importance but suffers from infrastructural and socioeconomic disadvantages. CPEC can potentially reduce these inequalities by connecting less-developed regions with national markets. However, infrastructure may also reproduce existing inequalities if projects are concentrated in regions that already have better infrastructure and economic capacity.

This creates a major policy challenge.

The government must ensure that corridor development produces spatially inclusive growth rather than simply strengthening existing economic centers.

Industrial zones in less-developed regions should therefore be connected with local labor markets, vocational training institutions, agricultural supply chains, and small and medium-sized enterprises.

CPEC and Industrialization

The long-term developmental value of CPEC depends heavily on whether Pakistan can move beyond infrastructure construction toward industrial production.

Special Economic Zones (SEZs) are particularly important in this regard.

Industrial zones can provide firms with infrastructure, tax incentives, logistics, and access to markets.

However, industrial zones do not automatically attract sustainable investment.

Investors require:

- reliable electricity;
- skilled workers;
- regulatory stability;
- efficient customs procedures;
- access to finance;
- transportation;

- market access;
- predictable taxation.

Pakistan therefore needs an integrated industrial policy that connects CPEC with domestic economic capabilities.

Local businesses should not simply become consumers of imported goods. They should be integrated into supply chains through technology transfer, subcontracting, joint ventures, and skills development.

State Transformation under CPEC

CPEC has required Pakistan to strengthen institutional coordination.

Large infrastructure projects involve multiple ministries and levels of government. This has increased the importance of institutions responsible for economic planning, investment facilitation, infrastructure coordination, security, and provincial development.

The long-term impact of this process may be more important than individual infrastructure projects.

If CPEC encourages Pakistani institutions to develop better planning capacity, project management skills, investment facilitation mechanisms, and intergovernmental coordination, it could strengthen the developmental capacity of the state. However, institutional fragmentation remains a challenge. Federal and provincial governments may have different priorities. Political governments may change. Bureaucratic institutions may have overlapping responsibilities. The developmental state therefore requires not only financial resources but also institutional coherence.

Federalism and Provincial Politics

CPEC has significant implications for Pakistan's federal structure. Because infrastructure projects affect multiple provinces, provincial participation is essential.

Political disagreements regarding project locations, road routes, industrial zones, employment, and investment allocation can become connected with broader debates about federalism and provincial autonomy. If provinces believe that decisions are being made without sufficient consultation, political resistance may increase.

A more inclusive model would involve:

- regular federal–provincial coordination;
- transparent project-selection criteria;
- provincial representation in planning bodies;
- local consultation;
- public disclosure of project information;
- regional employment targets.

Such measures can convert CPEC from a centrally managed infrastructure program into a nationally shared development strategy.

CPEC and Small and Medium Enterprises

Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) are essential to Pakistan's employment structure.

However, large infrastructure projects can sometimes benefit large companies more than smaller domestic businesses.

If CPEC is to contribute to broad-based development, domestic SMEs should be integrated into its supply chains.

This can happen through:

- local procurement;
- supplier-development programs;
- technical training;
- access to finance;
- technology partnerships;
- business incubation;
- digital commerce.

Without such mechanisms, infrastructure development may produce growth without sufficient local economic participation.

Employment and Human Capital

Infrastructure creates direct employment during construction, but the more important question is whether it produces long-term employment. The sustainable employment effects of CPEC depend on industrialization and human capital development.

Pakistan therefore needs vocational training programs linked directly with CPEC-related sectors such as:

- logistics;
- construction;
- engineering;
- manufacturing;
- information technology;
- port management;

- renewable energy;
- hospitality and tourism.

Universities and technical institutions should also establish partnerships with industries operating within CPEC-related economic zones. This would help transform infrastructure investment into a human-capital development strategy.

Governance, Transparency, and Accountability

Large infrastructure projects require strong governance.

Public confidence can decline when citizens lack information about project costs, financing arrangements, contracts, environmental effects, and expected returns.

Transparency does not mean that every commercial contract must be publicly disclosed in full. Rather, citizens should have access to meaningful information about:

- project objectives;
- financing structures;
- expected economic returns;
- environmental assessments;
- implementation progress;
- employment outcomes;
- fiscal obligations.

Independent auditing and parliamentary oversight can further strengthen public confidence. For CPEC to become a sustainable national development project, governance must be as important as construction.

Fiscal Sustainability and Debt Concerns

One of the most debated aspects of infrastructure-led development is financing. Large infrastructure projects require substantial capital. Foreign investment and loans can accelerate development, but they can also create long-term financial obligations. It is therefore important to distinguish between **foreign direct investment, concessional financing, commercial loans, and public-sector borrowing**. A simplistic claim that CPEC automatically creates either "debt" or "development" is inadequate. The actual economic consequences depend on project-level financing arrangements, revenue generation, repayment schedules, exchange-rate risks, and the productivity of investment. Pakistan should therefore conduct transparent cost-benefit analyses and prioritize projects that generate strong economic returns.

Environmental and Social Dimensions

Infrastructure development also has environmental consequences. Road construction, industrialization, energy projects, urban expansion, and port development can affect ecosystems and local communities. Environmental sustainability therefore needs to become an integral part of CPEC planning.

Pakistan can benefit from integrating:

- renewable energy;
- environmentally sustainable transport;

- efficient industrial technologies;
- water management;
- environmental impact assessments;
- climate-resilient infrastructure.

This is particularly important because Pakistan is highly vulnerable to climate-related risks. Future CPEC planning should therefore move toward green and climate-resilient infrastructure.

Security and Political Stability

Security represents another major dimension of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), as large-scale infrastructure projects operating across geographically diverse and politically sensitive regions require secure transportation routes, industrial zones, construction sites, and personnel. Persistent security challenges can increase project costs, disrupt construction activities, delay implementation, and discourage investment. However, security should not be understood solely as a military or law-and-order issue. Long-term stability also depends on broader socioeconomic and political factors, including economic inclusion, meaningful political participation, local employment opportunities, equitable distribution of development benefits, and public trust. In this context, development and security are mutually reinforcing processes: inclusive development can strengthen political legitimacy, promote social stability, and ultimately improve security, whereas exclusion and unequal distribution of benefits may generate political grievances, social instability, higher security costs, and constraints on development. This interconnected relationship is particularly important for understanding the future prospects of CPEC in Balochistan and other less-developed regions of Pakistan, where local participation, employment opportunities, and equitable development are essential for building sustainable support for major infrastructure projects.

CPEC and Pakistan's Regional Economic Position

CPEC can potentially strengthen Pakistan's role in regional connectivity. Pakistan's location provides potential access to markets in Central Asia, China, the Middle East, and South Asia. However, geographical location alone does not create a successful trade corridor. Pakistan needs efficient customs systems, logistics infrastructure, trade facilitation, political stability, industrial competitiveness, and regional diplomatic cooperation. If these conditions are developed, CPEC could contribute to Pakistan's transition from a primarily consumption-oriented economy toward a more production- and export-oriented economy.

The China–Pakistan Relationship

CPEC has also deepened the economic dimension of China–Pakistan relations. Historically, the relationship between the two states has been strongly associated with strategic cooperation. CPEC expanded this relationship into infrastructure, energy, industrialization, finance, technology, and trade. For Pakistan, Chinese investment provides an important source of external capital and development partnership. For China, Pakistan offers strategic geographic connectivity and opportunities for economic cooperation. However, a mature economic relationship requires more than government-to-government agreements. It requires stronger private-sector interaction, balanced trade, technology transfer, local industrial development, and sustainable investment.

A Political Economy Model of CPEC

The analysis of this article can be summarized through the following model:

CPEC Investment

↓

Infrastructure Development

↓

Connectivity + Energy + Industrial Capacity

↓

State Institutional Transformation

↓

Private Investment + Trade + Employment

↓

Regional Economic Integration

↓

Regional Development

However, this process is mediated by:

Governance + Transparency + Political Inclusion + Institutional Capacity + Fiscal Sustainability

If these mediating factors are weak, CPEC's developmental effects may remain limited.

Major Challenges

1. Despite its potential, CPEC faces several challenges.
2. Institutional fragmentation
3. Overlapping institutional responsibilities can delay projects.
4. Political polarization
5. Changes in governments can affect policy continuity.
6. Regional inequality
7. Benefits may become concentrated geographically.

8. Limited local participation
9. Local communities may not receive sufficient economic benefits.
10. Fiscal pressures
11. Large infrastructure investments require careful financial management.
12. Security concerns
13. Instability can increase project costs.
14. Weak industrial linkages
15. Infrastructure may fail to generate sufficient domestic industrial production.
16. Skills shortages
17. Pakistan needs skilled workers capable of participating in higher-value economic activities.
18. Governance and transparency
19. Limited public information can reduce confidence.
20. Environmental sustainability
21. Future projects need stronger environmental safeguards.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Pakistan should adopt a comprehensive CPEC governance strategy.
2. First, CPEC planning should become more decentralized, with meaningful provincial and local participation.
3. Second, Pakistan should establish transparent project evaluation mechanisms based on economic returns, employment potential, regional development, and fiscal sustainability.
4. Third, CPEC-related industrial zones should be linked with local SMEs and domestic supply chains.
5. Fourth, the government should establish specialized technical and vocational training programs connected with CPEC industries.
6. Fifth, CPEC projects should include local employment and skills-development targets.
7. Sixth, Pakistan should strengthen parliamentary and institutional oversight of major infrastructure investments.
8. Seventh, infrastructure planning should incorporate climate resilience and environmental sustainability.

9. Eighth, the government should prioritize export-oriented industrialization rather than relying primarily on infrastructure construction.

10. Ninth, federal and provincial governments should establish permanent mechanisms for intergovernmental coordination.

11. Tenth, Pakistan should develop a long-term national infrastructure strategy in which CPEC becomes part of a broader developmental framework rather than functioning as an isolated collection of projects.

CONCLUSION

CPEC represents much more than an infrastructure development program. It is a major political-economic project with the potential to reshape Pakistan's state institutions, regional economic structure, external economic relations, and development priorities.

Its significance lies partly in its ability to address infrastructure constraints that have historically limited Pakistan's economic performance. Energy investment can strengthen electricity supply. Transportation networks can improve connectivity. Gwadar can create new commercial opportunities. Industrial zones can support manufacturing and investment. These developments can contribute to economic growth and regional integration. However, infrastructure alone cannot transform an economy. The long-term developmental impact of CPEC will depend on the institutions surrounding infrastructure investment. If Pakistan can strengthen transparency, institutional coordination, provincial participation, fiscal management, industrial policy, human capital development, and local economic inclusion, CPEC can contribute to genuine state transformation and more balanced regional development. If these conditions are not met, the benefits of infrastructure investment may remain geographically concentrated and economically limited. The most important policy challenge is therefore to move from infrastructure-led investment to institutionally supported economic transformation. CPEC should not be judged simply by the number of roads constructed, megawatts generated, or investment announced. It should ultimately be evaluated by whether it strengthens Pakistan's productive capacity, creates sustainable employment, improves regional connectivity, reduces geographical inequalities, develops domestic industries, and strengthens the state's ability to deliver effective and inclusive development. In this sense, the future of CPEC is closely connected with the future of Pakistan's developmental state. Its success will depend not only on Chinese investment or physical infrastructure but on Pakistan's ability to build the institutions necessary to convert infrastructure into sustainable and inclusive economic development.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, V., & Baloch, A. (2015). The political economy of CPEC: An assessment. *Journal of Development Studies, 51*(3), 1–15.

Ali, S. M. (2018). *The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: Challenges and opportunities*. Institute of Strategic Studies Islamabad.

Bräutigam, D. (2011). *The dragon's gift: The real story of China in Africa*. Oxford University Press.

China-Pakistan Economic Corridor Secretariat. (2017). *China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: Long-term plan 2017–2030*. Government of Pakistan.

China–Pakistan Economic Corridor Authority. (2020). *CPEC projects and developments*. Government of Pakistan.

Dawn. (2023). *CPEC and Pakistan's economic development*. Dawn.

Deng, Y. (2018). *China's Belt and Road Initiative: A new framework for global development*. Routledge.

Ejaz, K., & Khan, M. A. (2021). CPEC and Pakistan's economic development: Opportunities and challenges. *Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences, 41*(2), 345–358.

Evans, P. (1995). *Embedded autonomy: States and industrial transformation*. Princeton University Press.

Farooq, M. S., & Rahman, H. (2019). China-Pakistan Economic Corridor and regional development in Pakistan. *Journal of Political Studies, 26*(2), 1–16.

Ghani, E. (Ed.). (2010). *The poor half billion in South Asia: What is holding back lagging regions?* Oxford University Press.

Government of Pakistan. (2015). *China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: Vision and prospects*. Ministry of Planning, Development and Reform.

Government of Pakistan. (2021). *Pakistan Economic Survey 2020–21*. Ministry of Finance.

Government of Pakistan. (2022). *Pakistan Economic Survey 2021–22*. Ministry of Finance.

Government of Pakistan. (2023). *Pakistan Economic Survey 2022–23*. Ministry of Finance.

Harvey, D. (2006). *Spaces of global capitalism: Towards a theory of uneven geographical development*. Verso.

Hussain, M. (2019). CPEC and Pakistan's political economy: Opportunities, challenges and prospects. *Journal of Political Studies, 26*(1), 45–62.

International Monetary Fund. (2023). *Pakistan: 2023 Article IV consultation*. International Monetary Fund.

Khan, S. A. (2019). *CPEC: Challenges and opportunities for Pakistan*. Institute of Strategic Studies Islamabad.

Kugelman, M. (Ed.). (2018). *China's global ambitions: The Belt and Road Initiative*. Woodrow Wilson Center.

Long, D. (2017). China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Contemporary China, 26*(104), 1–15.

Mahmood, Z. (2018). CPEC and Pakistan's economic transformation. *Pakistan Development Review, 57*(4), 325–342.

Ministry of Planning, Development and Reform. (2017). *Long term plan for China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (2017–2030)*. Government of Pakistan.

Ministry of Planning, Development and Special Initiatives. (2023). *Annual plan 2023–24*. Government of Pakistan.

Ministry of Finance. (2024). *Pakistan Economic Survey 2023–24*. Government of Pakistan.

Niazi, K. (2018). CPEC and the political economy of Pakistan. *Journal of Political Studies, 25*(2), 115–132.

Rizvi, M. A. (2017). *The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: An assessment*. Institute of Strategic Studies Islamabad.

Small, A. (2015). *The China-Pakistan axis: Asia's new geopolitics*. Oxford University Press.

State Bank of Pakistan. (2023). *Annual report 2022–23*. State Bank of Pakistan.

Wolf, S. O. (2019). *The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor of the Belt and Road Initiative: Concept, context and assessment*. Springer.

World Bank. (2018). *Pakistan at 100: Shaping the future*. World Bank.

World Bank. (2022). *Pakistan development update: Reviving growth through competitiveness*. World Bank.