ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences
Volume 5, Issue 3(s10), 2026 ISSN-L (Online): 3006-6638

The Long-Term Impact of Early STEAM Education on Academic Achievement and Equity in the United States: A Qualitative Meta-Synthesis of Secondary Data

Saba Munawar
sabamunawar48@gmail.com
MPhil, Department of Educational Leadership and Management, UMT, Lahore, Pakistan

Corresponding Author: Saba Munawar sabamunawar48@gmail.com

Received: 28-01-2026 **Revised:** 10-02-2026 **Accepted:** 25-02-2026 **Published:** 12-03-2026

ABSTRACT

Little kids' brains grow fast when they are very young. Because of that time, how children think and feel matters a lot. Learning science, technology, engineering, arts and math during ages zero to eight now feels less like new teaching ideas and more like something schools must do. Doing so helps every child get fair chances later in life. Instead of only looking at numbers or test scores, this work pulls together stories from long-term school records across America. It includes what teachers saw, what families shared, and small group talks about classroom moments. What happens inside those real-life settings shows how early exposure to problem-solving tasks changes who kids believe they can become. Feelings of confidence stick around longer if hands-on exploration begins earlier. Curiosity shapes young minds when science and math meet real life early on. Not every child gets the same chance though - some schools have more support than others. When teachers listen closely to families and honor cultural ways of knowing, classrooms shift in quiet but powerful ways. These moments add up, especially for kids often overlooked by systems meant to serve everyone. Learning thrives where trust grows between neighbourhood and educators. Change shows up slowly, seen in who feels welcome doing experiments or asking questions. Over time, fairer beginnings help balance outcomes later down the road.

Keywords: *Early STEAM Education, Academic Achievement, Equity in the United States, Meta-Synthesis of Secondary Data*

INTRODUCTION

The 21st century is the age of continuous struggle and competition that changes the lives of people. This continuous struggle and ever growing competition demands perfection in knowledge that in turn relies on command in various fields of knowledge. This is the reason that proficiency in STEAM fields dictates individual economic mobility and national competitive advantage. Today there is growing interest in STEAM. Science, technology, engineering and maths. In education it is an interdisciplinary approach that demands the knowledge of all these four fields of knowledge to be intermingled for better knowledge and efficiency (Karakaş, & Hıdıroğlu, 2022). Today STEAM education is a popular concept and all the developed countries have incorporated STEAM education in the very early stages of education (Kavak, 2023).

United States of America is one of the most developed countries of the world. In USA education is much advanced and latest knowledge and technology are always incorporated with education. Batyuk (2025) states that for decades, educational policy in the United States concentrated STEAM interventions on high schools and universities. It has been assumed that the cognitive development needed for complex thinking and for creating complex scientific thought or maths abstraction is at a mature level (Marvi et al., 2025). But currently, it has been well discredited by 'modern developmental psychology and neuroscience'. Youngsters have innate capabilities to observe, hypothesize and experiment, and to discuss. As from infancy they function as real scientists, (Rakoczy, 2022).

In present era it is a known fact that though later years of life bring a drastic change in cognitive abilities of a man, yet early learning should also not be long overlooked (Masih et al., 2026). Skills in science, tech, engineering, math now shape job paths and country strength. U.S. classrooms once focused help on older students but now equal focus on developing the cognitive abilities of children is also much into consideration (Guo, & Lee, 2023). Tough ideas needed grown-up thinking. Young minds were thought to unready for deep number work or lab logic. Still, today's studies in young minds, brain science, and stories of early life have quietly undone that old idea. Right from birth, kids can watch closely, guess outcomes, test ideas, work things out. Little ones behave like real researchers. So this incorporation of STEAM education in early childhood is very important and it has long term impacts on the upcoming life of these children who get STEAM education. The role of early STEAM education can be seen in the following flow chart:

[Innate Inquiry (Ages 0-5)] ──> [Early STEAM Schemas] ──> [Sustained K-12 Achievement] ──> [Equitable Workforce]

|

(Disparity in Access Alters Pathway)

▼

[Early Achievement Gaps Widen]

Purpose of the Study and Qualitative Focus

The purpose of this study is to systematically examine the long-term academic and social equity impacts of early childhood STEAM experiences using established secondary datasets. The purpose of this paper is to bring together and combine longitudinal research data to give policy-relevant perspective on the success of early campaigns. The research aimed to find out the answer of following two research questions:

1. How does acquaintance to STEAM education during early childhood affect long-term academic achievement on these students?

2. To what extent can equitable access to early STEAM education mitigate disparities related to socioeconomic status (SES)?

Qualitative Secondary Data Analysis

- The current research examines the exposure of young children to STEAM learning and their pathways to school and fairness in school. Potential systemic inequities exist in early access to science learning. More than money - deeper structures determine access to STEAM learning. Race, location of school, even teachers' own beliefs have a part to play. For some children, there are silent barriers in their way that others can't imagine. Halting these inequities requires adjustments to systems, not merely programs. Changes occur slowly when they attempt to tackle the causes. The changes are small changes that affect the classroom and the policies. Who goes first into the lab may vary and is depending on unspoken rules.

  The present study has used a qualitative meta-synthesis method - pulling together, making sense of, through insights drawn from separate, existing qualitative studies. The main data sources used for this analysis are:

- **Educational Ethnographies:** systematic, long-term studies of classroom culture, peer interaction, teacher-student interaction, etc. in a range of early life settings.

- **Focus Groups and Narrative Interviews:** Research studies based on semi-structured interviews and focus group recordings capturing the voices of young learners, parents, and K-12 educators regarding STEAM self-efficacy and career identity.

- **Phenomenological Research:** Case studies documenting the lived experiences of underrepresented groups (Black, Latine, Indigenous, low-income, and female students) navigating the STEAM pipeline.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The theoretical framework is the structure that supports and describes a theory. A theory is a set of interrelated concepts and definitions that present a systematic view of phenomena by describing the relationship among the variables for explaining these phenomena. The theoretical framework of the present study is grounded in constructivism, humanism, socio-ecological system and identity construction theories.

Constructivism, Humanism and the Early Scientist

Starting with how kids learn early science and math, ideas come from thinking people shape their own understanding. Instead of just taking in facts, young minds form knowledge by doing things hands on. Because they touch, move, explore, their thoughts grow around real experiences. What a child touches today shapes how they think tomorrow. Out of nowhere, stacking toy bricks turns into figuring out how buildings stand up. Learning happens best when children get just the right amount of help and STEAM education in their early life. This is the base of notion of constructivism and humanism (Holbrook, Chowdhury, & Rannikmäe, 2022). Once ZPD (Zone of Proximal Development) hit the scene with Lev Vygotsky's philosophies, then scaffold language became a more compelling idea. Imagine a moment of playfulness and opportunistic development and then picture that moment moving, asks questions that are not asking but curious. Leung (2023) reminds educators that is where they can foster a sense of discovery that is normal for early STEAM educators, then incrementally lead students into real exploring. Change is not as much about speed as it's about a change in mindset. One question at a time, understanding builds (Jamil et al., 2025).

Purswell (2019) states that today’s teaching methods include Humanistic Learning Theory, focusing on each child’s unique feelings, background, and experiences. Because of this belief, learning works best when students first know they are safe, respected, and seen as someone who can think well. Before tackling complex science ideas, children need emotional stability - this approach makes space for that truth.

Socio-Ecological Systems and Identity Formation

Starting with how kids grow, this study uses Urie Bronfenbrenner’s model (2000) to explore lasting effects of early education on fairness. Instead of isolated factors, it sees development as nested within several environmental levels that influence one another. Curiosity bubbles up in kids when teachers notice it. A label like scientific aptitude sticks fast, shaping self-view. Peers react too, their nods or shrugs shifting confidence. Early guesses at understanding become part of who they think they are. When grown-ups name exploration as skill, belief grows roots. What starts as play takes on weight through these small exchanges (Masih et al., 2026). Those activities may feel like 'disruptive' due to the unrecognized bias and the child may begin to avoid science and math at an early age. Over the years these personal beliefs lead them to become more confident about their ability and the careers in which they envision themselves working (Poole, 2025).

Experiential Pathways to Academic Achievement

There are lots of parameters and requirements for academic achievement. Of these parameters, academic achievement experience is a key one that plays an important role. Experiential pathways direct children and adult that lead them towards academic achievement. Cognitive schema construction for executive functioning, linguistic expansion, and inquiry mind-set are discussed as their perspective of how early STEAM education can affect them in the long run to achieve success (Alvidrez, Rivera, & Diaz, 2024).

Cognitive Schema Construction and Executive Functioning

Biswas (2025) noted that when children immerse themselves in doing science they go over their mistakes again. Not when someone tells them to; tinkering reveals to them patterns that might not be apparent to others. Slips aren't brick walls when making little machines or trying out concepts. These moments are interwoven throughout the game and subtly change the concept of getting something wrong. Quiet learning in action is expressed as trial and error. The classroom records clearly show the shift in children's talk when they are working on tasks within STEAM such as making strong paper bridges or moving a simple toy robot step-by-step. (Pérez, et al., 2023)

As the blocks fall, children may be triggered to distress. But they sometimes block themselves from getting out. They don't surrender but rather observe what occurs. Wait one beat then repeat. This quiet pause helps them grow control. They begin to handle setbacks without reacting fast. Each time, they practice waiting. The urge to quit fades just a bit. Building something teaches stillness too (Kumar, 2025).

Lewis (2021) states that when children talk through problems, their minds start exploring different ways to fix a mistake. One might notice the tower fell because the bottom was slim, then suggest trying broader support later. Talking helps the children in rethinking what went wrong. Instead of sticking to the first try, they test new ideas out loud. A shaky structure leads to comments like, maybe we should spread the legs farther apart now. These moments show how thinking shifts during conversation. Noticing flaws becomes part of planning ahead. Story-based tasks reveal kids using past reasoning skills when facing fresh problems. What sticks from earlier work often shapes their approach later on.

Linguistic Development through STEAM Learning

Most people worry as schools pick science over reading when they push STEAM too soon. Yet what kids actually do in class shows that idea misses the point entirely. Doing simple experiments gives them real reasons to talk, listen, and learn words deeply. Instead of competing, these skills grow together naturally (Mouboua, Atobatele, & Akintayo, 2024). Words are important part of cognitive development. Real actions make real understanding. Words stick when fingers feel what syllables mean. Kelly & Bailey (2021) found that in classrooms where science isn't just talked about but lived, language grows alongside discovery. Experiences plant meaning deep. Later on, children talk with classmates while making sense of science ideas, building stories together along the way. Instead of working alone, they share thoughts by drawing pictures or speaking their observations into records. These moments grow skills like hearing sound patterns in words, using language clearly, and understanding how sentences fit together - basics that help them learn to read sooner.

Sustaining Inquiry Mindset with Identity Cushion

Chipps (2022) reveals that STEAM education helps the children in sustaining an inquiry mind-set as they develop cognitive abilities by asking questions. That is why the children who had grip on science, technology, engineering and maths in kindergarten carry a quiet confidence. That sense stays with them. Back in elementary years, some kids joined science-heavy programs. These experiences stick around quietly inside them. Instead of feeling stuck when tough math shows up later, they remember poking around experiments before. That past confidence acts like a buffer. Even facing confusing textbooks, those old moments of discovery keep frustration at bay. Their minds go back to how it felt solving things on their own. It's not that they think they're just poor with numbers; rather, solving it feels like working through a riddle, much like those trial-and-error moments back when they were kids figuring things out step by step (Flake, 2026).

The Everyday Experience of Unequal Chances

Martin & Fisher-Ari (2021) observed that there's potential for young kids to learn science, but for others to ignore a measuring cup. Some classrooms hum with building toys, though down the block desks sit empty. One child sketches robot ideas during snack time. Another walks past broken streetlights each morning, learning different kinds of circuits without meaning to. What feels like play in one zip code reads as luxury in another. Chalkboards show numbers that add up unevenly when you track who gets to experiment. Further young minds dive into discovery without strict rules. Open paths wind through green areas where kids wander, wonder, maybe build something odd. Gadgets sit nearby - not for show but for trying things out loud. Ideas spark when a child decides what to chase next. Learning shapes itself around questions they bring each morning. Someone tinkers while another sketches some wild machine. Thought lives in motion, not silence. Most classrooms turn science into a quiet corner with pre-set activities, yet kids just watch videos instead of building things themselves. These spaces show poorer students that STEAM means doing exactly what you're told, not trying wild ideas - planting a deep gap right from the start (Alvidrez, Rivera, & Diaz, 2024).

Deficit Framing and Racialized Barriers

Sociological studies (Méndez Pérez, et al., 2023; Stahl, et al., 2025) of early childhood classrooms document that racialized expectations often distort how educators interpret student behaviour. Black, Latine, and Indigenous youth frequently face deficit-based framing from their teachers. Qualitative case studies reveal that when minoritized children display high-energy, self-directed exploration—such as modifying a classroom toy or questioning an educator's statement during a science lesson—their actions are more frequently labeled as behavioural infractions rather than signs of scientific curiosity. Over time, these negative social interactions discourage natural exploration. Narrative interviews with minoritized high school students show that these early experiences of exclusion build up over time, leaving lasting impressions that discourage them from pursuing advanced STEAM courses later in their academic carebers.

Same Exploratory Behaviour (e.g., dismantling a mechanical object)

├── White Student ──> Viewed as "Inquisitive, Gifted, Inventive"

└── Student of Colour ──> Viewed as "Destructive, Defiant, Hyperactive"

Gendering the Material Environment

Gender stratification in STEAM begins long before a student enters a high school lab; it is subtly reinforced through the material culture of early childhood environments. Qualitative reviews of home environments and preschool play spaces show that children are routinely guided toward gender-coded play spaces (Chan, 2022).

- **Male-Targeted Spaces:** The block corner and construction zones feature toys that build spatial awareness, structural intuition, and mechanical skills.
- **Female-Targeted Spaces:** The dramatic arts and caretaking zones focus on verbal communication and social-emotional simulation.

Ethnographic field notes (Tandrayen-Ragoobur, & Gokulsing, 2022) reveal that preschool educators often inadvertently protect these gender boundaries, praising boys for building large, structurally complex towers while encouraging girls to maintain order and focus on cooperative role-play. This study used Master and Meltzoff (2016) theoretical framework to provide a holistic explanation of the gender disparity in STEAM by using the sampling technique of focussed group. The data collected from focus group reveals that young girls regularly express higher levels of math anxiety and lower self-confidence in engineering tasks, even when their academic scores match those of their male peers.

SYSTEMIC BARRIERS TO IMPLEMENTATION

STEAM education has long lasting impacts on the success and achievement of students. It increases cognitive abilities and perception of students. So STEAM education must be incorporated in early education. But there are many systematic barriers in the implementation of STEAM education. Now we will discuss these barriers one by one so that these barriers can be removed.

Teacher Anxiety and Pedagogical Vulnerability

Most people working in early childhood education carry old fears about math and science. Talking one-on-one with preschool and Head Start staff shows how uneasy many feel when teaching STEAM subjects. Christensen & Osgood (2024) conducted a research study to know about the anxiety of teachers working in STEAM education. The results of the study reveal that a number of teachers entered this line of work thinking these skills would not matter much. They face a requirement to handle STEAM lessons, some teachers feel uneasy about gaps in their knowledge. It quietly pushes them toward sidestepping the subject altogether. Curiosity often gets lost when lessons stick too closely to rigid plans. When children explore, real understanding begins - yet many classrooms steer them down narrow paths. Outcomes are fixed before questions even arise. Wonder fades where answers are already decided

Cultural Diversity and Rigid Standards

STEAM education outcomes for so-called girls of colour are consistently low, as indicated by Casto (2022), indicating that the education system and society in general include significant systemic barriers and cultural stereotypes to overcome. The problem starts from the early phase, mainly in early education, as education means, opportunities and support systems are discriminated against, which creates significant obstacles for these pupils. Frequently schools in underserved communities lack funding for STEAM curriculum, extra-curriculars, and 21st century technology. Little consideration is given by mostly older school programs to students' differing cultural backgrounds. Then sometimes it's a day that's more difficult because the lessons aren't a fit for the students. Stuff that remains stiff, focus that is often missed. Culture is a significant factor in engaging children with learning. When it's unfit, even excited classrooms cool down. The involvement is sporadic rather than tenacious. Amaka (2024) examines the system of inequities and cultural stereotypes and how they interact to affect the schooling and career journey of girls of colour in STEAM. It suggests focused activities, such as equity in resource distribution, mentorships with varied STEAM professionals, and educator training focused on awareness and remediation of implicit biases. This research seeks to eliminate these systemic barriers and promote inclusive learning environments to improve diversity, equity and representation in STEAM careers.

Economic Pressures and Institutional Instability

Nazli & Noman (2023) noted that the inability to sustain programs is due to the unstable economy, shaky finances and economic pressures in early learning. Childcare leaders say they are having a constant problem finding staff – pay rates are low, support is not great, and thus, staff turnover is rapid. Mostly it happened that both students and teachers face the consequences of these unstable finances that ultimately weaken programs meant to grow skilled educators. When leaders put time into long-term coaching - say, twelve months focused on teaching science and math to young children - the effort often collapses if that person moves to a better-paid role elsewhere. Public schools or private companies pull teachers away, breaking momentum. Losing staff again and again stops places from building steady habits of learning and curiosity with little children. Ross, et al., (2022) carried a study to investigate and understand STEAM academics' responses and resilience to educational reform of academic roles. The results pointed out that economic pressure and institutional instability are major hurdles in early STEAM education.

TRANSFORMING THE PIPELINE: CULTURALLY SUSTAINING MODELS

To transform STEAM education and make it effective there is dire need of incorporating such models of education that can culturally mitigate diversity. Now we will discuss those culturally sustaining models in STEAM education.

Culturally Responsive and Sustaining STEAM Pedagogy (CRSP)

To address systemic inequities, many innovative early childhood programs are making the dramatic transition to Culturally Responsive and Sustaining Pedagogy (CRSP). This does not mean that the approach is based on a belief that members of delegitimised communities were incapable of science. Rather, it identifies and taps the various and existing scientific and mathematical resources which are already in the students' homes and neighbourhoods.

Weak Academic Belonging ──> Community Assets (e.g., local culinary arts or urban gardening or craft work) ──> Classroom Engineering Projects ──> Strong Academic Belonging

This is illustrated by qualitative case studies of successful CRSP implementations:

1. **Valuing Local Expertise:** A preschool in a largely Latine agriculture community focused on the biology and soil units provided by the families of the students, thus valuing their local expertise: parents were invited to share their knowledge of how families worked with soil-based agricultural systems.

2. **Urban Engineering**: In a big city, an early learning facility converted one building unit into an in-depth unit of learning, exploring public transport systems and architecture in the local area, and enabling children to map and model their own locality.

3. **Bridging the Gap from Text to Life:** These programs demonstrate to young viewers that scientific reading and content is not merely esoteric and far removed from their lives but something they can use to see and to know their world.

Collaborative Coaching and Professional Communities

One way to lessen the stress of teacher is to swap one-off training sessions for ongoing support through team-based coaching. Teachers involved in those programs often describe seeing their jobs differently afterward. Teachers begin seeing lessons as shared searches, not something they must control alone. Instead of pretending answers always exist, they admit gaps - then explore them hand in hand with children. Giamellaro & Siegel (2023) is a study that looks into the role of STEAM education in aspiring toward integrated and contextualized instruction, though these both are difficult goals for teachers. Expertise from within and outside the school are resources for helping teachers' access content knowledge and practices in their classrooms. In this study, a STEAM coach reinforced teachers to assimilate and contextualize STEAM education. The connection between teachers, experts, and other assets showed prospects for curricular incorporation and contextualization and was measured through social network analysis and analysis of teacher journals and interviews. The coach vividly amplified connectivity in the district through connecting and brokering relations, sustaining a global vision for the district's STEAM inventiveness, and steering interpersonal relationships. Teachers used the connectivity to enhance STEAM curriculum.

Equity-Oriented Making and Community-Centered Frameworks

Longitudinal critical ethnographies (Wright, 2022; Matzler, 2025) tracking community-centred making programs show that when families are actively included in early STEAM spaces, it reshapes the entire learning community. Most children learn better when their family joins science activities at school. Some schools now invite adults to join hands-on events after class. Not just parents, but uncles, aunts, even neighbours build things alongside students. Projects grow richer when different ages work together. The ideas of one child sparks the ideas of other children and this affects across years of life experience. In this way the classrooms stretch beyond walls of schools during these gatherings.

Comparative Thematic Analysis of Research Approaches

To contextualize how qualitative methodologies enhance our understanding of early STEAM education compared to traditional quantitative tracking, the following synthesis matrix details key thematic differences across the secondary data sources evaluated:

Core Research Domain	Qualitative Data Insights (What Lived Experiences Reveal)	Systemic Synthesis & Actionable Leverage Points
Long-Term Academic Success	Early STEAM practices construct an adaptive vocabulary and an internal "identity cushion" that protects against future academic frustration.	Integrate linguistic and scientific domains; design early literacy programs around active scientific inquiry.
The "Fade-Out" Effect	Conceptual STEAM reasoning tools and spatial logic remain active when learning environments remain supportive.	Create explicit curriculum alignment between early childhood centres and early elementary school grades.
Socioeconomic Gaps	Underfunded facilities often replace open, creative exploration with rigid instruction and rote memorization drills.	Transition from private market childcare funding to universally accessible, publicly financed systems.
Racial & Gender Representation	Implicit biases routinely label minoritized exploratory play as behavioural issues; gendered spaces restrict early spatial play.	Shift toward culturally responsive pedagogy (CRSP) and implement anti-bias training for early childhood faculties.

Looking at how we study young children learning science and math, different methods show different things. While numbers can track progress, talking with children reveals what they actually experience. One source pointed out that watching classrooms helps spot patterns tests might miss. Instead of scores, researchers saw curiosity in action. Another paper emphasized teacher reflections - how adults interpret moments matters just as much as outcomes. Listening closely changed how one team defined success. Not every insight fits into charts. Moments of confusion, surprise, or hesitation became data too. Seeing these nuances shifted some assumptions about readiness. Through interviews, even quiet students expressed ideas graphs would overlook. Because context shapes learning, settings influenced results more than expected.

ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences
Volume 5, Issue 3(s10), 2026 ISSN-L (Online): 3006-6638

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS AND ECONOMIC OUTLOOK

Universal Public Financing of Early Childhood STEAM Ecosystems

Leaving early childhood education to an unequal private marketplace ensures that high-quality, inquiry-based STEAM learning remains an exclusive privilege for wealthy families. To achieve genuine educational equity, federal and state policymakers must transition toward a universally accessible, publicly funded early childhood infrastructure:

- **Revise Head Start Funding to be more expansive and modern:** Make sure federal funding for Head Start will additionally include specific funding for continuous teacher support via Head Start teachers and for access to high-quality, open-ended STEAM resources.

- **Reframe State Quality Rating Systems (QRIS):** Change state quality indicators to assess childcare centers on various levels of tradition-based interactive and inquiry learning, replacing passive compliance.

Redesigning Teacher Preparation and Pipelines

To develop a strong confident and capable workforce, states need to modify their training, certification and support models for the early childhood field:

1) **Maintain Interdisciplinary STEAM Training:** Mandate that all pre-service early childhood education programs have a specific course focused on early mathematical reasoning, spatial learning and science inquiry.

2) **Develop State-Funded Micro-Credentials:** Create micro-credentialing pathways accessible for practicing early childhood educators to become eligible for salary increases upon demonstrating competence in inquiry-based instruction and create opportunities for micro-credential provider funding.

The Long-Term Economic Return on Investment (ROI)

Early STEAM education is a very effective macroeconomic policy. That the economic returns from investments in early childhood are far higher than investments at later stages in a person's life cycle has been documented by economists over the years.

Return on Investment (ROI)

```
▲
│   ┌──────────────┐
│   │ Preschool (Highest ROI: 7-10% annual return)
│   └──────────────┘
│       ┌──────────────┐
│       │ K-12 Schooling
│       └──────────────┘
│           ┌──────────────┐
```

│ │ Post-Secondary / Job Training
│ └──────────┘
└────────────────────────────────────
► Age

The Heckman Equation estimates a 7-10% return on investment for high quality early childhood programs in disadvantaged communities, annually. This return comes from reduced spending on remedial education, lower instantiation of adult socio-economic challenges, higher adult earnings, and increased tax revenues.

CONCLUSION

It shows up clearly - starting STEAM learning early shapes how children do in school across America, especially when fairness matters. Looking at existing non-numerical studies, the preschool years turn out to be oddly perfect for building core ways of thinking, ones that keep feeding curiosity and solving ability later on. Not too small after all; instead, little minds need deep, organized science and math moments if they're going to grow brains and grades well.

Right now, who gets into early STEAM programs follows the same broken pattern seen across America's wealth divide. When only those with money can reach these chances, it widens old divides - race, income, gender - before kids even start school.

Change must start at the root - overhauling how schools teach reading, reshaping how teachers learn before they enter classrooms, honouring students' cultural identities in daily lessons, then backing it all with steady public investment. When early learning becomes a place of hands-on exploration rooted in science and discovery, the nation moves closer to what fairness looks like - a system where what kids achieve grows from their questions and drive, not where they were born or who their parents are.

REFERENCES

Alvidrez, M., Rivera, J., & Diaz, M. (2024). The role of critical pedagogies in early childhood education to create pathways into STEM for racially minoritized communities. *Education Sciences*, *14*(11), 1208.

Amaka, N. S. (2024). Systemic barriers and cultural stereotypes: Understanding the underrepresentation of girls of colour in STEM fields. *International Journal of Research Publication and Review*, *5*(11), 4016-4029.

Anderson, J. (2025). The Under-Enrollment of Black and Latino Students in STEM Education: A Hermeneutic Phenomenological Study of Vygotsky's Social Development Theory.

Batyuk, L. (2025). Major aspects of STEM education based on US government initiatives.

Biswas, S. (2025). *Social Cognition and Executive Function in Childhood Onset Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder and Its Relationship With Early Maladaptive Schema* (Master's thesis, Central Institute of Psychiatry (India)).

Casto, A. R. (2022). A re-envisioned multicultural STEM education for all. *Education Sciences*, *12*(11), 792.

Chan, R. C. (2022). A social cognitive perspective on gender disparities in self-efficacy, interest, and aspirations in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM): The influence of cultural and gender norms. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *9*(1), 1-13.

Chipps, J. (2022). *Towards a deepening of understanding in the field of science: Leveraging authentic inquiry to support STEM identity development for diverse learners* (Doctoral dissertation, Johns Hopkins University).

Christensen, E., & Osgood, L. E. (2024). Anxiety and self-efficacy in STEM education: A scoping review. *International Journal of Changes in Education*, *1*(1), 41-50.

Flake, S. (2026). *Creating Inclusive STEM Classrooms: Claiming Place and Making Space in Grades K-5*. Corwin Press.

Fomunyam, K. G. (Ed.). (2020). *Theorizing STEM education in the 21st century*. BoD–Books on Demand.

Giamellaro, M., & Siegel, D. (2023). Bridging and brokering across communities of practice: A STEM coach's role in helping teachers access expertise. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, *19*(3), em2232.

Guo, Y., & Lee, D. (2023). Leveraging ChatGPT for enhancing critical thinking skills. *Journal of Chemical Education*, *100*(12), 4876-4883.

Holbrook, J., Chowdhury, T. B. M., & Rannikmäe, M. (2022). A future trend for science education: A constructivism-humanism approach to trans-contextualisation. *Education Sciences*, *12*(6), 413.

Karakaş, A., & Hıdıroğlu, Ç. N. (2022). Transdisciplinary role of technology in STEM education. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, *10*(4), 276-293.

Kavak, Ş. (2023). The evolution and global significance of STEM education in the 21st century. *The Journal of International Scientific Researches*, *8*(3), 410-415.

Kelly, K. R., & Bailey, A. L. (2021). Narrative story stem methodologies: Use and utility of quantitative and qualitative approaches across the lifespan. *Narrative Inquiry*, *31*(1), 163-190.

Kumar, N. (2025). Assimilation of Cognitive Skills for STEM Education–A Strategic Perspective. *Divulging the Most Recent Trends in STEM: Staying Ahead of the Curve*, 156.

Leung, W. M. V. (2023). STEM education in early years: Challenges and opportunities in changing teachers' pedagogical strategies. *Education Sciences*, *13*(5), 490.

Lewis, M. E. (2021). *A Phenomenological Case Study Exploring the In-Home Experiences of African Americans Pursuing STEM Degrees at a Historically Black College*. The University of Texas at El Paso.

Martin, A. E., & Fisher-Ari, T. R. (2021). "If We Don't Have Diversity, There's No Future to See": High-school students' perceptions of race and gender representation in STEM. *Science Education*, *105*(6), 1076-1099.

Matzler, P. (2025). Learning to write for publication in STEM doctorates: Insights from three decades of ethnographic research. *Journal of English for Research Publication Purposes*, *6*(1), 6-36.

McClure, E. R., Guernsey, L., Clements, D. H., Bales, S. N., Nichols, J., Kendall-Taylor, N., & Levine, M. H. (2017). STEM starts early: Grounding science, technology, engineering, and math education in early childhood. In *Joan Ganz Cooney center at sesame workshop*. Joan Ganz Cooney Center at Sesame Workshop. 1900 Broadway, New York, NY 10023.

Méndez Pérez, K., Aguilar, A., Johnson, A. R., Burgess, C. F., & Gomez Marchant, C. N. (2023). Centering humanity within ethnographic research: A critical read of Black boys' lived and everyday experiences in STEM. *Journal of Urban Mathematics Education*, *16*(1).

Mouboua, P. D., Atobatele, F. A., & Akintayo, O. T. (2024). Bridging STEM and linguistic gaps: A review of multilingual teaching approaches in science education. *Open Access Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, *7*(2), 86-97.

Nazli, K., & Noman, S. (2023). Exploring the factors influencing gender disparity in STEM education: A comprehensive analysis of societal, cultural, and economic impact. *Pakistan Social Sciences Review*, *7*(4), 164-175.

Pérez, K. M., Aguilar, A., Johnson, A. R. J., Burgess, C. F. B., & Marchant, C. N. G. M. G. (2023). Centering Humanity within Ethnographic Research: A Critical Read of Black Boys' Lived and Everyday Experiences in STEM. *Journal of Urban Mathematics Education*, *16*(1), 96-106.

Poole, T. (2025). Investigating School Belonging Using Socio-Ecological Systems Theory. *in education*, *30*(2), 20-45.

Purswell, K. E. (2019). Humanistic Learning Theory in Counselor Education. *Professional Counselor*, *9*(4), 358-368.

Rakoczy, H. (2022). Foundations of theory of mind and its development in early childhood. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, *1*(4), 223-235.

Ross, P. M., Scanes, E., Poronnik, P., Coates, H., & Locke, W. (2022). Understanding STEM academics' responses and resilience to educational reform of academic roles in higher education. *International journal of STEM education*, *9*(1), 11.

Stahl, G., Fugurally, S., Hu, Y., Nguyen, T., & McDonald, S. (2025). "I come from a poor family": deciphering how working-class young men aspire to and experience their journeys in STEM higher education. *The Australian Educational Researcher*, *52*(1), 449-470.

Tandrayen-Ragoobur, V., & Gokulsing, D. (2022). Gender gap in STEM education and career choices: what matters?. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, *14*(3), 1021-1040.

Wright, C. E. (2022). *Decentralized Labor, Disembodied Ideals: An Institutional Ethnography Examining the STEM Higher Education Institution from the Perspectives of Parenting Women in STEM Doctoral Programs* (Doctoral dissertation, Purdue University).

Marvi, K. M. H., Sarmad, M., & Babur, M. (2025). Unlocking Strategic Agility between Sustainable and Situational Leadership for Organizational Performance. *THE ASIAN BULLETIN OF GREEN MANAGEMENT AND CIRCULAR ECONOMY*, *5*(2), 5-2.

Jamil, M. A., & Sarmad, M. (2025). Talent management challenge: a case of McDonald's Pakistan. *Emerald Emerging Markets Case Studies*, *15*(4), 1-12.

Masih, S., Naqshbandi, M. M., Ahmed, F., Eswari Punchanathan, U., & Ng, B. K. (2026). Toxic roots, bitter fruits: how dark leadership breeds workplace incivility. *Management Decision*, 1-24.

Masih, S., Ngo, L. T. T., Khan, T. I., & Ali, M. (2026). Unleashing Green Potential: The Role of Green Transformational Leadership in Driving Green Creativity and Performance Through Green Dynamic Capabilities. *Springer Proceedings in Business and Economics*, 69-87.