ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences
Volume 5, Issue 3(a), 2026 ISSN-L (Online): 3006-6638

Economic Shockwaves of a Strait of Hormuz Blockade: Global Financial Crisis and Energy Market Disruptions

Asia Rahman Khan Lodhi
asia.khan.lodhi@gmail.com
Deputy Director, Ministry of Information & Broadcasting, Islamabad, Pakistan

Syed Ahsan Iqbal
syedahsan2412@gmail.com
Master's Student in Population and Development, National Research University,
Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia

Zonaira Akbar
zonairaakbar2014@gmail.com
Lecturer, Department of Management Sciences, Abbottabad University of Science and Technology, Pakistan

Corresponding Author: Asia Rahman Khan Lodhi asia.khan.lodhi@gmail.com

Received: 27-01-2026 **Revised:** 11-02-2026 **Accepted:** 26-02-2026 **Published:** 13-03-2026

ABSTRACT

The Strait of Hormuz is among the most important maritime chokepoints in the global economy due to the volume of oil, petroleum products and liquefied natural gas that is internationally traded through it being extremely large. According to recent estimates, in 2024 and the first half of 2025, over a quarter of all seaborne oil was transited the strait and approximately one-fifth of all oil and petroleum liquids world consumption was also transitted the strait with about one-fifth of all world liquefied natural gas also traversed the strait. Hormuz has a strategic value which goes beyond mere geometry of transportation. It is the dominant route to export of a number of the largest producers in the Gulf and a lengthy closure would also render out of reach the vast majority of the efficient spare oil manufacturing capability in the world. That is why a blockade of the strait would not be a regional maritime issue anymore. It would most likely spread quickly across the global economy through energy prices, the cost of shipping, inflation, balance of trade, fiscal stress and financial-market volatility. The current paper employs qualitative research design relying on the studies of the institutional reports and the policy analysis in order to investigate the possibility of a massive macroeconomic and financial turmoil caused by a blockade of the Strait of Hormuz. Basing on the materials by the U.S. Energy Information Administration, International Energy Agency, International Monetary Fund, Bank for international Settlement, European central bank, OECD, world bank, and unctad, the paper will contend that there are probably five key channels through which the economic shock would propagate: hydrocarbon supply interruption, shipping and insurance dislocation, inflation pass-through, external-sector deterioration among energy importers and financial contagion. According to the existing literature, there is a consistent suggestion of stagflationary results of major energy shocks, reducing output and increasing inflation, and these results are more dangerous when they happen in the conditions of tight monetary policy, high levels of debt and weak sovereign or external balances. The paper concludes that long-term Hormuz blockade might even become a global financial crisis in case it occurred under a combination of already high levels of inflation, fiscal burdens and market panic. Although the immediate loss of oil and LNG flows is also the biggest threat, the interplay of scarcity of commodities, inflation expectations, monetary-policy constraint, sovereign vulnerability, and investor confidence is also a threat. The Strait of Hormuz is to be perceived in that sense as a strategic base of waterways but also a macro-financial center of susceptibility of the world political enforcement of the macro-financial system.

Keywords: *Strait of Hormuz, oil shock, LNG disruption, stagflation, financial contagion, global recession, maritime chokepoints, energy security*

INTRODUCTION

The world economy relies on few, perilous, sea routes, through which key commodities are transported to the producers and consumers. The Strait of Hormuz has a very special position among these corridors. It is located between Iran, Oman and the Persian Gulf connecting the Gulf of Oman and the Arabian with the Gulf as well as it is the main exit point of the oil and gas produced by much of the Gulf. It has long been categorized by the U.S. Energy Information Administration as the most significant oil transit chokepoint in the world and recent evaluations prove that the statement is still accurate regarding modern volumes of trade, reliance on producers and the scarcity of other export outlets (EIA, 2024; EIA, 2025a; EIA, 2025b).

The Strait of Hormuz is not a geographical issue. It is also heavily entrenched in the operation of the world energy system and, indirectly, the world economy in general. Oil and gas are still considered to be key inputs into the transport industry, petrochemicals, fertilizers, electricity, industry, shipping and domestic energy consumption. The threats to a chokepoint with so much hydrocarbon trade affect much more than tanker routes and maritime security. Prices of fuel may shoot up, transportation charges may go up, power production may be more expensive, and production expenses may go up in various industries. Such price increases will undermine the growth, increase inflation, burden governments and change the behavior of financial markets.

According to the International Energy Agency, the Strait of Hormuz is the most common route of exporting oil that is produced by Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, Kuwait, Qatar, Iraq, Bahrain, and Iran (IEA, n.d.-b). This follows that any blockade would not have any impact on peripheral trade flows and marginal producers. It would impact the system of export of a key oil region. The IEA also emphasizes that a significant portion of the effective capacity of the hard production in the world oil is behind the same chokepoint. Thus, a severe disruption would not only disjoint existing flows in the market, but would also limit one of the primary mechanisms of market stabilization following supply shocks (IEA, n.d.-b).

Recent EIA analysis is an example of how large this vulnerability is. By 2024 and the first quarter of 2025, over a quarter of the world seaborne oil trading and approximately a fifth of the world petroleum and oil product alene intake is carried out by flows through Hormuz (EIA, 2025a). Another EIA also noted that approximately one-fifth of global liquid natural gas markets passed through the strait in 2024, most of which belonged to Qatar (EIA, 2025c). This shows that Hormuz is not a mere important crude oil route. It also plays the center of the international gas trade particularly to the LNG market. A major blockade would then most probably lead to a compound oil-and-gas shock as opposed to a single-commodity shock.

The described central question of this paper is as follows: What impact would restricting the Strait of Hormuz by a blockade have on world energy markets and macro-financial system at large, and under what circumstances can it trigger a worldwide financial crisis? It is argued here that a blockade is likely to cause a chain of interconnected shocks. First, oil and LNG supply would be also disrupted or threatened, which would increase prices and volatility. Second, there would be an increased cost in shipping, insurance and freight. Third, the increased prices in energy would trickle down into inflation, price of inputs to industries and cost of living. Fourth, the economies that import energy would experience worsening balance of trade and current-account. Fifth, growth opportunities, inflation, sovereign risk and corporate earnings would reprice in financial markets. These channels might strengthen each other to the point of creating a systemic financial crisis under poor macroeconomic situations.

The article employs the qualitative method other than a limited quantitative projection. This is suitable as a blockade of the Strait of Hormuz is a high-impact, low-frequency geopolitical event whose impact and price whose duration is not determinable beforehand. Rather than assuming that there is one oil price or GDP that the study is predicting, the technology determines the primary mechanisms by which the damage would

diffuse. By so doing, it intends to demonstrate the reasons as to why Hormuz must not only be considered a regional flashpoint, but also one of the most significant structural vulnerabilities in the world economy.

Research Objectives

- Knowing how large the flows of oil and LNG are and the contingency of Gulf exporters, and constraints on other alternative routes by studying why the Strait of Hormuz continues to be structurally central to the global economy.
- In order to determine and analyze the most important transmission channels a blockade would affect the energy markets, trade systems, inflation, and global financial stability, it is important to make the difference between the first round and the second round effects.
- To measure the uneven distribution of the economic shock in the countries and sectors, paying special attention to vulnerability of Asian importers, lower-income economies, and the opposite influence on exporters.
- To determine whether this kind of disruption may turn into a general world financial crisis by studying how it interacts with inflation, monetary policy restrictions, sovereign debt vulnerability, external imbalance and investor behavior.

METHODOLOGY

The research design applied in this article is qualitative and it will include the analysis of documents. Those of the institutional publications and policy appraisals include the EIA, IEA, IMF, BIS, ECB, OECD, World Bank, and UNCTAD. These sources were chosen as it is a sufficient source of authority and information that is useful in terms of the policy since it is well adapted to the question under study. The objective energy-market base is the result of the EIA and IEA: the volumes of transit, exposure to idle capacity, LNG dependence, and emergency response capacities. External balances and asymmetric effects of the oil shocks on the importer and exporters will be contributed by the IMF. Tool of analysis provided by BIS, ECB, and OECD allow one to comprehend the effect of energy shocks on inflation, output and monetary policy. The World Bank and the UNCTAD help putting disaster in the maritime into perspective under international trade and transportation.

The qualitative method is also appropriate in this topic considering that the phenomenon of interest is low-frequency, high-impact and cannot be carefully modeled. The Strait of Hormuz blockade does not represent a supply or demand fluctuation of the routine. It would lead to its length, application of military force, terms of insurance, releasing of reserves and repositioning of its logistics and also its policy coordination. In such settings, when provided numeric projects are misleading. What is even more significant would be that effective means of the dissemination of the shock would be discovered.

These are the three steps to the analysis. First of all, the paper identifies the strategic significance of Hormuz with reference to the available information, as well as institutional appraisal. The second one is that it unites macroeconomic and financial research on energy-supply shocks, their effects on inflation, external balances and policy tradeoffs. Third, it modulates these strands into a scenario format as regards how a shock in the energy market may get into macro-financial stress.

The article is not aimed at predicting an exact high point of oil price, an exact reduction in the GDP or the level of the possible losses in the world markets. These results would be conditional to the variables of operation and politics. Instead it is explanatory, to demonstrate a solid map of how a major disruption would

likely spread to other parts of the global economy. This organization of qualitative knowledge is significant to the policy makers and researchers because they are able to determine the areas most susceptible and how different stressors can reinforce one another.

Strategic Importance of the Strait of Hormuz

The strategic significance of the Strait of Hormuz can be explained in three concepts of the scale, concentration and a lack of substitutability. Scale can be defined as the amount of energy through the waterway. Concentration can be viewed as the reliance of numerous leading exporters on such a route. Limited substitutability refers to the circumstance that the existing substitutes can not substitute the normal flow volumes under a severe disruption case.

On the initial fact, the scale is out of this world. According to EIA data, during the years 2024 and the first six months of 2025, over a quarter of the worldwide seaborne oil imports is set to traverse the strait (EIA, 2025a; EIA, 2025b). This in itself renders Hormuz one of the most systemically significant physical infrastructures in global economy. A chokepoint of a limited proportion of the world trade can be inconvenient to interrupt. A gateway of a quarter of the seaborne oil trade can cause eventuality of crisis.

The second aspect is concentration. According to IEA, the primary oil exporters who use the route are Saudi Arabia, Iraq, Kuwait, Qatar, the UAE, Bahrain, and Iran (IEA, n.d.-b). These are not fringe suppliers. Some of them are some of the cheapest and most strategic hydrocarbon exporters in the world. Whether they like it or not, they are reliant on Hormuz and any disruption would not destabilize peripheral or substitutable volumes, but core volumes of the Gulf hydrocarbon exports.

The third factor is low-substitutability. As reported, it is evident that both Saudi Arabia and UAE have active pipelines that can bypass Hormuz, and even such options will not be able to accommodate normal total exports during a significant crisis (EIA, 2024; EIA, 2025a). This implies that blockade will be a real supply impairment and not a small rerouting exercise. Markets would thus be subjected to the shortage of physical as well as extreme uncertainty.

Another dimension of significance is the LNG dimension. In 2024, around 20 percent of all LNG global was passed through Hormuz, majorly Qatar (EIA, 2025c). This implies that the strait is vital to the crude market as well as the world gas equilibrium. Given that the gas markets may be less elastic than the oil markets in the short-run, the impact of LNG disruption can be especially severe among power systems and other industrial users.

Probably the most significant strategic fact is that most of the world spare oil production capacity lies behind the strait as it has been observed by IEA (IEA, n.d.-b). The primary emergency buffer in the market is the spare capacity. When the cushion itself falls behind the disruption, not only the current supply is lost, but the future stabilization is doubted as well. That is why Hormuz is burdened with such an exorbitant crisis capabilities.

Theoretical Framework: From Energy Shock to Macro-Financial Crisis

The initial stage of attack is a Strait of Hormuz blockage that will start as a geopolitical and a logistical incident, yet the economic consequences will be the most significant. The energy shocks are important since oil and gas are intermediate inputs that are universal. Disruption in supply leading to the upsurge of the prices of hydrocarbons has an impact on transport, industrial production, electricity, freight, agricultural production, and household budgets. Macroeconomically it is similar to an increase in costs in the economy consumption wide.

To support this, the BIS states that large commodity and energy shocks are typically stagflationary: they slow down the growth and increase the inflation at the same time (BIS, 2022a). This is particularly a dangerous combination as it leaves less room to adjust the policies. During a normal sluggishness of demand, the inflation is usually softened enabling the central banks to slack monetary policies. In a shock that is energy-driven, there is an increase in inflation although the growth is worsening. Such policymakers must then make unwelcome tradeoffs between price and output stabilization.

The more recent publication of the ECB supports this statement. One of the working papers concludes that in high-inflation regimes, energy supply shocks have more price impacts, and more harmful medium-term impacts on output, particularly in manufacturing (ECB, 2024a). Another concludes that producer prices and wages may be strongly transferred to consumer-price inflation, and even core inflation, especially when producer prices are the magnets of increase (ECB, 2024b). One of them indicates that the response pattern of monetary policy to energy-price shocks can be significant not only in aggregate outcomes but also in the distributional impact of households (ECB, 2024c). A combination of these studies suggests that energy shocks may turn more systemic economic and social shocks in the interaction of inflation persistence and policy tightening.

The IMF incorporates an external-sector aspect. Its External Sector Report released in 2024 and a associated chapter on commodity prices demonstrates that oil importing countries are receiving the adversarial oil supply shock (IMF, 2024a; IMF, 2024b). Their current account becomes weak, their import bills increase, exchange rate pressure builds up and the policy space becomes narrow. In the event that a similar situation is accompanied by a high debt or a low level of reserves within these countries, the shock will degenerate into a balance-of-payments problem.

A dilemma connected with this is brought out by the work of the OECD on oil shocks and monetary policy. Monetary authorities have a stiffer tradeoff when the inflation expectations or core inflation is fed by oil-price increases. When the policymakers are responding too mildly, then inflation is possible to become sustainable. The output loss may be too merciless provided that they react in such a way (OECD, n.d.). This rationale perfectly applies to a Hormuz blockade in which the initial impact would be both massive and politically conspicuous.

Financial crisis is created when pressure on real economy arises in accordance with leverage, weakness of balance sheets, force of panic on the part of investors, and limited policy instruments. Assets get repriced downwards, the spread in sovereigns increases, currencies of vulnerable importers lose value and the conditions of funding become constrained. A Hormuz blockade would be a good fit as it would merge the shortage of hydrocarbons, increased shipping expenses, foreign pressure, inflation strain, and uncertainty in the geopolitical situation in a single happening.

Transmission Channels of a Hormuz Blockade

The first disruptive medium would be a direct interference of the crude oil and petroleum-products flows. Since a huge percentage of mass of oil that is transported by sea phenomenally pass through Hormuz even a partial closure would present a significant restriction to supply. The merchants would respond to the current deficits as well as the danger that future flows would be impaired that would produce acute additions to the spot prices and volatility (EIA, 2025a; EIA, 2025b).

The second would be disruption in LNG. A ground third of the global LNG purchases flows through Hormuz and hence, the gas markets would become strained as well by means of a blockade (EIA, 2025c). The impacts can be quite dismal to the industrial heat or feedstock to the economies that utilize the imported

LNG to generate power. It would transform the incident into a two-oil and gas shocks that would be more macroeconomic.

Shipping and insurance dislocation would make up the third channel. As evidenced by UNCTAD and the World Bank, intrusion of geopolitical activities through major maritime chokepoints can improve freight prices and disrupt schedules in addition to adding to the expenses of overall commerce spending (UNCTAD, 2024; World Bank, 2024; World Bank, 2025). Such impact would be very pronounced especially in the Hormuz case since energy cargoes would be affected. There is a high likelihood of the war-risk premiums soaring, the ships might be held-up or diverted and the delivered energy expenses would, as a result, be increased not just because of the commodity-price effect.

The fourth one would be inflation pass-through. This would raise the price of fuels, transport, logistics, electricity and the industrial expenses due to the rise in the price of oil and gas. These additions would be passed on to the consumer prices in the broader context. Pass-through of this type can be long-term and common in instances where current inflation is already elevated or when the issue was occasioned by the disruption of the supply (BIS, 2022a; ECB, 2024a; ECB, 2024b).

The fifth would be constraint of monetary-policy. Central bank reaction to an inflationary supply shock is far more difficult than the case of the deceleration in a case of pure demand. They will run high risks of recession in case they become too tight. The expectations may be in disfocus in case they underestimate the inflation or resign long enough. It is also among the typical macroeconomic traits of great energy shocks (BIS, n.d.; OECD, n.d.).

The sixth-channel would be external-balance deterioration. This would hurt the importing economies because they would incur more import bills as well as worsened the trade balances. The IMF clearly concludes that these countries are based on the effects of negative shocks in the provision of oil and the adaptability of exchange rates and anchored hopes will help, yet not remove the devastation (IMF, 2024b). This would soon become acute in states where there were weak reserves, or where the degree of foreign-currency liability was great.

This seventh channel would be fiscal strain and sovereign vulnerability. Government demands would consist of subsidies, emergency relief or compensating transfers. In the meantime, slower growth and upswing in the cost of debt may amplify the dynamics of debt. The sovereign spreads will therefore have a greater increase in countries that are already struggling with fiscal fragility.

The final and eighth channel would be the financial-market contagion. Slower growth, tightening of the policy, decline of balances of payments, and amplified the sovereign risk would affect equities, credit markets and currencies. The highly energy consuming companies would have why reduced margins, financial investors would reset prices on risk and weak currencies would be devalued. This would not be an energy event at that point, but a macro-financial event.

Regional and Sectoral Effects

Asia is likely to receive the largest initial impact as a result of the fact that the largest number of the oil flows that cross Hormuz are directed to the Asian customer base (IEA, n.d.-b). China, India, Japan and South Korea would be subjected to higher cost of fuel and LNG, energy supply constraint and high prices of industrial input. These strains can spill over to the world supply chains, and trade prices because Asia is also the center of the manufacturing operations throughout the world.

Indirectly would be impacted directly would Europe. Even in the areas where the dependence on the Gulf oil would not be as clear, oil and LNG markets are predetermined in international markets. Europe would still absorb the shock in the form of higher benchmark prices and competition of the available LNG cargoes. The research of ECB indicates that such energy shocks might impose significant output- and inflation-related effects especially in sectors that are vulnerable to energy (ECB, 2024a; ECB, 2024b).

The emerging and developing net importers would be the most financially vulnerable group. High importation bills, underperforming currency, fiscal strains and limited external finance could turn into high macroeconomic pressure. According to the IMF analysis, it is the most impaired economies in case of negative oil supplying shocks when the reserves are weak and the debt amount is high (IMF, 2024a; IMF, 2024b).

Others like the exporters who are not in the gulf region would gain initially as high prices would not be necessarily stable. Such exporters may also face the shortage of demand, unsteadiness and policy strains in case global economy was slow and tightness of finances. The exporters in the gulf on their part would at the other end of the two worlds lose as the prices would have soared and their real export volumes are stifled.

The pressure would be especially high in the industry of the industries that consume a lot of energy. This would result in immediate cost increase in aviation, shipping, fertilizers, chemicals, heavy manufacturing, and high power consumption manufacturing. Household spending would also be affected as the cost of transport and electricity would rise increasing their expenditure on non-essential items as well as slowing down the general demand.

Conditions for Escalation into a Global Financial Crisis

A Hormuz blockade is quite likely to escalate into a financial crisis of the world in many different situations. The first is duration. This is compensated out of the margin disruption of stocks, issue of reserves and assurance of policies. A long-term collapse is special, as it alters the manner in which investments and financing, as well as pricing, are done in the economy.

The second is a growing current inflation. According to BIS and ECB analysis, energy shocks are more damaging in cases when the existing inflation rate is already high because central banks lack space to respond to the shock and have fewer incentives to remain restrictive (BIS, 2022a; ECB, 2024a).

The third precondition is feeble sovereign-external situation of a substantial offering of energy-importing economies. The negative oil supply shocks have most weight on these countries in accordance with the IMF work. When several of these economies experienced reserve pressures, currency strain, and funding pressures simultaneously, there is a risk of the shock spreading to the sovereign debt markets and the banking exposures (IMF, 2024b).

The fourth is high leverage and financial interrelatedness. Nowadays, the traders of the commodities, insurance companies, banks, asset buyers, shipping agents are intertwined with the collateral, likeness, and derivatives frameworks. Such large movements of prices can therefore bring about fire-sale effects and strain on capital.

The fifth one is the loss of trust. Hormuz blockade would not merely deprive them of some oil and LNG. It would signify that one of the greatest defining trade pathways in the world has been unsafe. In case that is a cypher construed as a larger and deeper-seated geopolitical failure by companies and investors, the risk-taking and investment will break.

Having the two of these conditions coinciding the most likely course of action would have been self-evident, derailed energy transit, soaring prices, rising shipping costs, rising inflation rates, strained current balances, tightened monetary policy, improved financial situation, and pressure among vulnerable sovereigns. It would not only be a much bigger succession than an energy shock. It would be equivalent to international macro-financial crisis.

Policy Implications

The first policy implication is the strategic reserves and the coordinated emergency response. The created oil-security framework by the IEA will precisely reduce the negative economical impacts of the sudden supply disruption (IEA, n.d.-a). The recent emergencies taken by the IEA concerning the disruptions in the Middle East show the gravity of the consumption states when the risks are in question (IEA, 2026a; IEA, 2026b). The reserve releases can not be wholly available to compensate the long term blockade but they can delay the panic and purchase time.

The second implication is that there is a need of increased diversification in terms of routes, supply. According to the EIA measurements, it is also evident that bypass routes do still exist, but the amount of them is never that enormous as the one they go, which implies that the structural resilience will not be possible to be supported solely on the basis of the existing substitutes (EIA, 2024; EIA, 2025b). It is also possible that storage, supplier diversities and less flexible LNG can assist importers to be more resistant.

The third implication is the macroeconomic coordination. The governments and central banks would then be forced to manage an inflationary supply shock without causing the macroeconomic damage that would have been an unnecessary harm to the economy. The article by BIS and OECD notes that the task of balancing between inflation control and stabilizing the output is especially difficult during the post-infliction of the enormous oil shocks time (BIS, 2022a; OECD, n.d.). Probably more precise relief will be superior to blanket welfarism.

The fourth implication is the support of the weak importers. According to the implications of IMF analysis, liquidity assistance of the lower-income energy importers might take place without external crisis, the flexibility of exchange-rate controls, and the credibility of policies (IMF, 2024b). Without it, soon a commodity shock will be metamorphosed into a sovereign and currency crisis.

The fifth conclusion is structural. The idea of disruption of maritime chokepoints elaborated by UNCTAD and World Bank proves that the resilience within the trade sector can be mentioned as a crucial aspect in modern crises as much as energy policy (UNCTAD, 2024; World Bank, 2025). Any economy globally, which is dependent on few concentration pathways of trade, is constantly vulnerable to systemocentric shocks of politics.

CONCLUSION

The paper has presented a qualitative analysis on the potential impact of a blockade of the Strait of Hormuz in creating economic shock effects on an economy that are large enough to unstable world energy markets and inject a more systemic financial crisis. The evidence considered herein indicates that Hormuz has continued to be highly consequential as one of the energy chokepoints in the world. It hosts a very high percentage of global seaborne oil traffic and LNG traffic, it is positioned in front of most of the available oil production capacity in the world, and infrastructural options cannot avoid passing through it (EIA, 2025a; EIA, 2025c; IEA, n.d.-b).

In the analysis, a number of significant transmission channels were developed, direct disruption of hydrocarbon supply, shipping and insurance dislocation, inflation pass-through, exacerbating external balances, fiscal strain and financial-market contagion. The findings of the institutional research of the BIS, IMF and ECB strongly support the conclusion that high energy shocks are stagflationary and particularly dangerous when they hit already-weakened economies due to high inflation, poor external positions, or lack of policy flexibility (BIS, 2022a; ECB, 2024a; IMF, 2024b).

The main conclusion is that such a long-term Hormuz blockade may likely turn into something greater than an energy crisis. In the unfavorable circumstances, it may become a systemic macro-financial phenomenon that is characterized by inflation pressure on the whole world and declining growth, sovereign stress and extensive risk repricing. That is why, Strait of Hormuz has to be discussed not only as the regional security issue but one of the most significant structural weaknesses of the modern world economy.

REFERENCES

Bank for International Settlements. (2022a). *Energy markets: Shock, economic fallout and policy response*.

Bank for International Settlements. (2022b). *Online annex for bulletin on "Energy markets: Shock, economic fallout and policy response"*.

European Central Bank. (2024a). *Energy supply shocks nonlinearities on output and prices*.

European Central Bank. (2024b). *Gas price shocks and euro area inflation*.

European Central Bank. (2024c). *Energy price shocks, monetary policy and inequality*.

International Energy Agency. (n.d.-a). *Oil security and emergency response*.

International Energy Agency. (n.d.-b). *Strait of Hormuz*.

International Energy Agency. (2026a). *IEA member countries to carry out largest ever oil stock release amid market disruptions from Middle East conflict*.

International Energy Agency. (2026b). *Update on IEA collective action decision of 11 March 2026*.

International Energy Agency. (2026c). *New IEA report highlights options to ease oil price pressures on consumers in response to Middle East supply disruptions*.

International Energy Agency. (2026d). *The Middle East and global energy markets*.

International Monetary Fund. (2024a). *External Sector Report 2024*.

International Monetary Fund. (2024b). *Navigating the tides of commodity prices*.

OECD. (n.d.). *Oil price shocks, rigidities and the conduct of monetary policy*.

U.S. Energy Information Administration. (2024). *The Strait of Hormuz is the world's most important oil transit chokepoint*.

U.S. Energy Information Administration. (2025a). *Amid regional conflict, the Strait of Hormuz remains critical oil chokepoint*.

U.S. Energy Information Administration. (2025b). *World oil transit chokepoints*.

U.S. Energy Information Administration. (2025c). *About one-fifth of global liquefied natural gas trade flows through the Strait of Hormuz*.

UN Trade and Development. (2024). *Review of maritime transport 2024*.

World Bank. (2024). *Dire Strait: Impact of the Red Sea shipping crisis*.

World Bank. (2025). *The deepening Red Sea shipping crisis: Impacts and outlook*.