ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences
Volume 4, Issue 4, 2025 ISSN-L (Online): 3006-6638

Tradition vs. Texting: Shazaf Fatima Haider's How It Happened Satirizing the Modern Pakistani Heart

Rafea Bukhari
syyeda.rafea.bukhari@gmail.com
Lecturer, English Literature Department, University of Balochistan, Quetta, Pakistan

Corresponding Author: * Rafea Bukhari syyeda.rafea.bukhari@gmail.com

Received: 09-11-2025 **Revised:** 24-11-2025 **Accepted:** 14-12-2025 **Published:** 26-12-2025

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the satirical deconstruction of the traditional "arranged marriage" system in Shazaf Fatima Haider's debut novel, *How It Happened*. Set against the vibrant and often suffocating backdrop of contemporary Karachi, the narrative follows the Bandian family, a rigid Shia-Syed household led by the indomitable matriarch, Dadi. For Dadi, marriage is not a union of hearts but a strategic maneuver to preserve lineal heritage, religious purity, and "pure" bloodlines. Through the precocious and witty lens of the adolescent narrator, Saleha, Haider highlights the friction that occurs when this "Sacred Tradition" of blind matchmaking steeped in superstition and familial mythology collides with the individualistic desires and digital-age sensibilities of the younger generation, represented by the rebellious siblings Haroon and Zeba. The analysis focuses on what the novel characterizes as the "Marriage Circus" means a series of ritualistic, highly choreographed drawing-room encounters where potential brides are scrutinized as commodities under the guise of hospitality. This study employs a multi-disciplinary qualitative methodology, integrating literary satire analysis, feminist matriarchal criticism, and socio-cultural semiotics to decode the performative rituals and digital subversions within the Bandian household. Central to this critique is the "Trolley of Chai," a powerful symbol of performative domesticity that Haider uses to satirize the objectification of women. Furthermore, the paper explores the "Matriarchal Paradox": how Dadi, despite being a woman in a patriarchal society, exerts absolute control through emotional blackmail and "Dua-based" manipulation. A key focus of this study is the role of technology, specifically "texting," as a subversive tool that creates a private, unmonitored sphere of agency for the youth, bypassing the traditional "Purdah" of the family elders. By examining these generational conflicts and the novel's sharp social commentary, this paper argues that Haider portrays a society in a state of "modern" transition. Caught between a primordial past of absolute obedience and a globalized future of personal choice, the novel suggests that while the rituals of the "trolley" remain, the hearts of the modern Pakistani youth are being redefined through the blue light of their mobile screens.

Keywords: Arranged Marriage, Matriarchy, Matchmaking Rituals, Pakistani Literature, Satire, Tradition vs. Modernity

INTRODUCTION

The Genesis of the Bandian Mythos: A Historical Contextualization

The narrative of *How It Happened* does not begin with a modern girl in Karachi, but with a mythic, dust-swept history that stretches back to the village of Bhakuraj. Haider establishes the "Sacred Tradition" of the Bandian family not as a romantic choice, but as a historical necessity born of gratitude. The family lineage began with a white-robed Sufi and a cobra a story where a life saved by a "Sufi Jee" resulted in the medicine man, Pir Jan, offering his daughter as a "show of gratitude" (p. 1). By framing the family's origin as a transaction of "convenience" rather than love, Haider satirizes the way traditional families mythologize the

erasure of individual consent. In this section, the paper establishes the socio-religious framework of the Bandians. As Shia-Syeds, their identity is constructed around "purity of blood" and strict sectarian boundaries. The "Traditional" side of the novel's title is rooted here: a world where marriage is a transaction of honor managed by elders who believe that "all good girls marry boys of their mothers' choice." To the Bandians, the individualism of the West is not an aspiration but a threat to the lineal heritage that has survived since the Sufi first settled in Bhakuraj. Haider uses the term "Sacred Tradition" ironically, showing how it functions as a tool of "guile, blackmail, and several subtle and not-so-subtle pressure tactics" (p. 2) to avoid the "stigma of love marriage."

The Matriarchal Command: Dadi as the Guardian of the Gate

To understand the satire of the novel, one must first understand Dadi. She is the "General in a Gharara," the keeper of the family's moral compass and its most formidable obstacle to modernity. Haider uses Dadi to satirize the "Relatable Villain" found in many South Asian households—the grandmother whose power is rooted in a paradoxical mix of deep love and absolute control. Dadi's power is exerted through three primary channels:

Superstition as Law: The use of *istikharas* (divine signs) and the burning of red chilies to ward off the *nazar* (evil eye) serves as a form of social surveillance. Dadi believes that the Bandians are "infinitely superior to everyone else," making them targets of envy. When she burns menacing red chilies on the stove, she seeks a physical sign: if there is no acrid smell to cause phlegm to rise, it is an "ominous but certain sign" that a spell of jealousy has been cast (p. 5). Haider mocks the cognitive dissonance of the traditional mind, where the lack of a physical reaction is interpreted as proof of a supernatural threat.

Theatrical Emotionality: Dadi employs "uncalled-for theatricality" and "palpitations" to manipulate her grandchildren. Haider describes the family as being in a state of "feminine helplessness," much like Dadi's own account of her marriage. Dadi retells the story of her own "arranged-but-romantic" encounter with Dada where she allegedly fell into a "dead faint" in a garden of *motia* flowers and was scooped up by him on a black horse to sanctify the idea of being a passive recipient of one's destiny. Saleha, the witty narrator, deconstructs this myth, noting that Dada was actually a "frail, asthmatic man" and that Dadi, with her "steely resolve," was more likely to have given a "tight slap" than to have fainted.

The Physicality of Perfection: Dadi's requirements for a bride focus on a "milk-like" complexion and domestic docility. This highlights the internalized colorism inherent in the *rishta* (proposal) system. Ironically, Dadi herself recalls being told she "wasn't fair like milk" and that her complexion was like "old register paper: sometimes yellow, other times filled with spots" (p. 2). Despite this, she enforces the same cruel standards on Zeba and others, viewing women not as humans but as "vessels" for the next generation of Bandians.

The "Marriage Circus": A Satirical Anatomy of the Rishta Parade

The "Trolley of Chai" is the central motif of the novel's satire. Haider deconstructs the proposal process as a theatrical performance or a "Marriage Circus." Saleha provides the "outsider-within" perspective, observing the absurdity of the "patheticologists" the parade of suitors and their mothers who inspect girls like livestock. The ritualization of the drawing-room meeting is where the satire bites hardest. Zeba must perform "the walk," "the tea-pouring," and "the modest smile" repeatedly. Haider highlights the cruelty of this system: a girl can be rejected for her nose, her height, or her father's bank account, all while she is expected to remain silent and grateful for the "honor" of being viewed. This performance is contrasted with

the historical "Ar-see-masaf" ceremony, where a bride saw her husband for the first time through a silver mirror on her lap a "discreet glimpse of the face that was to dominate the rest of her life."

Tradition vs. Texting: The Digital Intrusion

The "Texting" half of the novel's conflict introduces the modern Pakistani heart. Haroon and Zeba represent a generation educated in liberal institutions yet tethered to a traditional home. This section explores the friction caused by the secretive smartphone. Technology allows for a private emotional life that exists entirely outside the purview of the matriarch. While Dadi controls the drawing room, the "blue light" of the mobile screen represents a quiet rebellion against her surveillance creating what scholars might call a "Digital Third Space." This is best exemplified in Haroon's relationship with Saima. Knowing Dadi will never accept a "love marriage," Haroon must perform a "Laundered Romance," making Saima appear as a "traditional" find to satisfy Dadi's ego. The smartphone becomes the modern tool for "guile," allowing the younger generation to navigate the "Sacred Tradition" without being destroyed by it.

The Sectarian Elephant: Love in a Divided House

While much of the novel is comedic, it addresses the underlying gravity of sectarianism. The Bandian obsession with marrying only within the Shia-Syed fold is the ultimate test of the "Modern Heart." Haider uses satire to expose the irrationality of these divides, such as the "horror stories" Dadi tells of those who "love-married," like the cousin Iraj who eloped with a gardener, bringing "shame" that made her father unable to "show his face in public" (p. 24). The tension peaks when inter-sectarian possibilities arise, forcing characters to decide if love can survive the weight of ancestral expectations.

A Society in "Mordren" Transition

This paper argues that Shazaf Fatima Haider's *How It Happened* utilizes satire not merely to mock Pakistani marriage customs, but to perform a cultural autopsy on a society in transition. Using Post-Colonial Theory and Feminist Criticism, we see how the "Trolley of Chai" serves as a tool of patriarchy (enforced by women like Dadi) and how "Texting" serves as the primary tool of individual liberation. By juxtaposing these two worlds, Haider illustrates that while the methods of finding love have changed, the burden of "Family Honor" remains a heavy, often hilarious, weight on the modern Pakistani heart. Ultimately, the novel suggests that while the rituals of the "trolley" remain, the hearts of the modern Pakistani youth are being redefined through the blue light of their mobile screens.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The Matriarchal Enforcement of Tradition and "Postmodern Irony"

A primary theme in the novel is the role of the matriarch as the gatekeeper of family honor. Dadi, the family's eighty-year-old matriarch, views marriage not as a romantic union but as a "Sacred Tradition" essential for preserving the Bandian bloodline. According to a postmodern analysis in the *Journal of Humanities*, Haider utilizes "post-irony" to depict Dadi's character; her nostalgic longing for the past is used as an "ironic statement" to justify contemporary control (Humanity Publications, 2013). Her authority is built upon a belief in the "natural superiority" of her lineage, a sentiment echoed in *The Express Tribune*, which notes that the novel illustrates how "old order" values of "spices, prayers, and arranged marriages" struggle to stay relevant in a globalized Karachi (Pervez, 2013). Dadi's power is often exercised through "spiritual surveillance." Her ritual of burning red chili peppers to ward off the "evil eye" (*nazar*) is a satirical take on the cognitive dissonance inherent in traditional households. As noted in *ResearchGate*, Dadi's

character functions as a "controlling authority" who uses these meta-narratives of religion and superstition to reject "openness and diverse views" (Javed & Mughal, 2021). This reflects a broader sociological phenomenon in Pakistan known as "patriarchy derived from matriarchy," where older women unintentionally perpetuate the very gender norms that once suppressed them (Janjua & Kamal, 2024).

The "Marriage Circus": Gender Objectification and the Tea Trolley

The novel satirizes the "rishta" (matchmaking) process as a "Marriage Circus", a grueling parade where potential brides are scrutinized like livestock. A study in the *Global Social Sciences Review* (GSSR) applies Simone de Beauvoir's cultural feminist ideologies to the novel, arguing that Zeba is "continuously displayed as an object" for marriage (GSSR, 2012). This process of "misrecognition" reduces the female protagonist to a set of physical parameters. The "Trolley of Chai" serves as the focal point for this commodification. Critics in *Dawn* emphasize that the novel is a "scathing indictment" of how even educated families "parade their girls like cattle" (Mohydin, 2013). The absurdity of the trolley where a tilt to the left or right can determine a girl's moral character is a satirical critique of the "parameters of perfection" required for social acceptability. Haider's depiction of the "rishta parade" resonates with sociological findings that in Pakistan, marriage is often a "transaction of honor" where women's spiritual and liberal essence is "moulded or slaughtered" to fit societal parameters of modesty (Janjua & Kamal, 2024).

The Conflict of Modernity: "Texting" as a Subversive Third Space

The central conflict arises when the younger generation, Haroon and Zeba, attempts to navigate this rigid system with "mordren" (modern) ideas. The "Texting" half of the novel represents what Lyotard (1979) describes as the "postmodern condition," where technological advancement creates doubt in traditional meta-narratives. In the novel, the smartphone functions as a "clandestine tool," allowing for private romantic agency that bypasses the "Purdah" of the family drawing room. Haroon's pragmatic approach "laundering" his relationship with Saima highlights the "hybrid identity" of the modern Pakistani youth. As noted in *The Express Tribune*, the novel shows how "tradition struggles to reconcile with changing times," where the youth must perform obedience while practicing independence (Pervez, 2013). This digital intrusion into the domestic sphere represents a shift from "ancestral pasts" to a "future of personal choice," even as the characters remain tethered to familial expectations.

The "Relatable Villain" and the Weight of "Log Kya Kahenge"

Haider explores "familial wars" that occur through emotional blackmail. Dadi is frequently compared to Jane Austen's Mrs. Bennett, but with "far more control, intelligence, and ability to strike fear" (Lucid Gypsy, 2013). Her "palpitations" and theatrical fainting are not just comedic tropes; they are tools of domestic politics used to secure vows of sectarian and cultural purity. The external pressure of *Log Kya Kahenge* (What will people say?) serves as the primary driver for Dadi's urgency. This competition for "Matrimonially Fortunate" status is a common theme in South Asian literature, where families are often "collectivist in nature" and social honor is a currency (Samuel, 2010). *The Diary of a PMP Mom* blog notes that the "drawing room meetings" and "customary stupid questions" portrayed in the book are so relatable to the Pakistani experience that they move from fiction to a mirror of "real-life sequences" (2017).

The Sectarian Divide: Breaking the Shia-Syed Meta-Narrative

The novel's most serious critique lies in its treatment of sectarianism. The Bandian family's obsession with marrying only within the Shia-Syed fold is a "grand narrative" that Zeba eventually breaks by falling for a Sunni man. Postmodern critics argue that Haider effectively "breaks down the metanarratives of religion

and sect" through Zeba's rebellion (ResearchGate, 2021). The "Shia-Sunni" tension is handled with "biting and hilarious" wit, yet it carries a heavy message about the irrationality of ancestral grudges in the modern world (*Times of India Crest*, 2013). In conclusion, *How It Happened* is more than a comedy of manners; it is a "cultural autopsy" (Mohydin, 2013). By juxtaposing the ancient legends of Bhakuraj with the "texting" era, Haider illustrates the enduring power of tradition. As validated by contemporary literary and sociological reviews, the novel proves that while the "trolley of chai" remains a central tool of social negotiation, the "mordren" heart of Pakistan is increasingly finding its voice through the subversion of technology and the rejection of archaic standards of perfection.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology for this study employs a qualitative, multi-disciplinary approach, integrating Literary Satire Analysis, Feminist Matriarchal Criticism, and Socio-Cultural Semiotics. This methodology is designed to move beneath the comedic surface of *How It Happened* to expose the rigid power structures of the Bandian household. The primary methodological tool is the analysis of Satirical Realism, specifically focusing on the narrative voice of fifteen-year-old Saleha. In literary theory, Mikhail Bakhtin's concept of the "Carnivalesque" is applicable here; Haider uses Saleha to create a space where social hierarchies are inverted through humor. Saleha occupies a "liminal space" she is young enough to be ignored by the adults but observant enough to record their "uncalled-for theatricality". Methodologically, this study analyzes how a child's honesty serves to "de-sacralize" the elders. When Saleha describes Dadi as looking like "Yoda from Star Wars" or a "wrinkled iguana," she is using hyperbole to strip the matriarch of the unassailable dignity required by tradition. The research catalogs instances of situational irony specifically how the Bandians, who claim "natural superiority" as Syeds, are often the most prone to irrational superstitions, such as the burning of red chilies to detect black magic.

The research treats the "Marriage Circus" as a text of its own, utilizing Socio-Cultural Semiotics to decode the symbolic meanings behind mundane domestic actions. In this framework, objects are not just objects; they are "signifiers" of social value. A central focus is the "Trolley of Chai." Methodologically, this study views the tray as a performative stage. Dadi's interpretation of the tray's tilt (right for "no spine," left for "too much") is analyzed as a pseudo-science used to justify the commodification of women. The research examines how Zeba's "tea-pouring" is a semiotic performance of docility. The study catalogs the "formidable list" of criteria for brides. By quantifying these requirements fair skin, Syed status, and "malleable" age (ideally sixteen) the research illustrates the dehumanizing nature of the *rishta* market. This paper utilizes Feminist Criticism to examine what is termed the "Matriarchal Paradox." While the Bandian family exists within a patriarchal society, the daily enforcement of these rules is conducted by the women. The methodology analyzes how Dadi, despite being a victim of the same system in her youth (where she was mocked for her "old register paper" complexion), becomes the primary enforcer of these standards upon her granddaughter. The study examines Dadi's use of "palpitations" and "fainting" as strategic tools of power. By analyzing these as "performative weaknesses," the research shows how Dadi maintains a "Matriarchal Command" that is as absolute as any patriarchal one. A key component of the research is a Comparative Analysis of Generational Resistance, framed as "Tradition vs. Texting." Drawing on postmodern media theory, the research analyzes the smartphone as a "clandestine tool." Texting creates a private emotional sphere that Dadi cannot monitor. The research compares Haroon's "Pragmatic Rebellion" (laundering his romance through traditional channels) with Zeba's "Subversive Rebellion" (openly clashing with Dadi). Linguistic Satire: The methodology notes the significance of Dadi's pronunciation of "mordren." This linguistic "mis-performance" signifies the friction between the older generation's attempt to adopt modern status symbols while rejecting modern values. The research includes a critical look at Sectarianism and Colorism within the Karachi elite. The methodology examines Dadi's views toward non-Shia and non-Syed individuals as a form of "internalized racism." The study analyzes the Bandian mythos

(the Sufi and the cobra) as a "grand narrative" used to maintain sectarian purity. The study analyzes the competitive relationship between Dadi and her rival, Qurrat-ul-Aine. Concrete examples are drawn from the text, such as Dadi's rush to get Zeba married to avoid being the "laughing stock of the family" when a rival's granddaughter gets engaged. By synthesizing these various analytical strands, the researcher provides a comprehensive "cultural autopsy" of the Bandian household. This multi-disciplinary approach ensures that the analysis balances the book's comedic surface with its profound social critiques, proving that in Haider's Karachi, the "trolley of chai" and the "texting" thumb are equally potent symbols of a society in transition.

Theoretical Framework

The analysis of *How It Happened* requires a multi-dimensional theoretical lens to capture the complexity of the Karachi domestic sphere. The novel is not merely a "comedy of manners" but a site of ideological struggle where globalized modernity meets ancestral rigidity. Northrop Frye defines satire as "militant irony," a genre that requires both a wit that is perceived as fantasy and an object of attack perceived as a tangible social reality. In Haider's narrative, the "militant" nature of the irony is directed at the stagnant rituals of the Bandian family. Mikhail Bakhtin's concept of the "Carnivalesque" involves a temporary suspension of social hierarchies through humor and chaos. Haider's "Marriage Circus" functions as a carnivalesque space. In the drawing room, the "Sacred Tradition" is stripped of its piety and becomes a grotesque performance. When Saleha describes potential suitors as "patheticologists" or examines Dadi's "uncalled-for theatricality", she is participating in a Bakhtinian "crowning and un-crowning," where the revered matriarch is reduced to a comedic figure likened to a "wrinkled iguana" or "Yoda." Utilizing Wayne C. Booth's "unreliable narrator" theory, we can view Saleha as the "Outsider-Within." Because she is an adolescent, she lacks the internalized "social filter" of the adults. This allows Haider to perform a "raw" satire. Saleha's observations expose the cognitive dissonance of the Bandians: they claim to value religious piety, yet their *rishta* (proposal) criteria are almost entirely focused on skin tone and financial status, revealing the "pious facade" of the traditional heart.

A central paradox of the novel is that the primary enforcer of patriarchal standards is a woman. To explain this, we utilize Deniz Kandiyoti's theory of "Patriarchal Bargaining." Kandiyoti argues that in classical patriarchal societies, older women (matriarchs) secure their own power by enforcing the rules of the system upon younger women. Dadi is the "General in a Gharara"; she has "bargained" her autonomy in exchange for absolute control over the domestic sphere. By ensuring Zeba and Haroon marry within the "pure" Bandian bloodline, she preserves her own status as the guardian of the lineage.

Using bell hooks' framework, we analyze how Dadi has internalized the very "complexion obsession" that once marginalized her. Dadi recalls being told she wasn't "fair like milk" and that her skin was like "old register paper". Instead of challenging this cruelty, she inflicts it upon Zeba, demanding a "milk-white" bride for Haroon. This demonstrates how tradition is often a cycle of trauma-reenactment, where the victim becomes the victimizer under the guise of "protection." In *Mythologies*, Roland Barthes argues that mundane objects are "signifiers" that carry immense cultural weight. In *How It Happened*, the domestic space is a landscape of signs. The tea trolley is not just furniture; it is a diagnostic stage. The "Tea Tray Omen" where the tilt of the tray defines a girl's character is a semiotic process where physical movements are "read" as moral indicators. A tilt to the right suggests "no spine," while a spill of milk is an omen of "bad luck". Haider satirizes the way Zeba is "read" by the suitor-hunters. Her silence is read as "modesty," her "milk-white" skin as "purity," and her domestic skills as "docility." This framework allows the researcher to argue that the *rishta* process is a form of Socio-Cultural Semiotics, where the woman is reduced to a "text" to be scrutinized and "purchased" through familial alliance. The conflict between "Tradition and Texting" is a classic example of Homi K. Bhabha's "Hybridity." The younger Bandians live

in what Bhabha calls the "Third Space" a liminal area where Western education and ancestral expectations collide. The Smartphone as Subversive Third Space: For Haroon and Zeba, the smartphone is the technological tool of the Third Space. While Dadi controls the "Physical Space" (the drawing room), the digital space remains invisible to her. Texting allows for a private emotional life that bypasses the "Purdah" of tradition. Haroon's MBA from New York is a sign of his "mordrenity" (as Dadi calls it), yet he must "launder" his romance with Saima. This is a form of mimicry (another Bhabha concept). Haroon mimics the traditional *rishta* process to introduce a modern choice, creating a hybrid marriage that satisfies the matriarch's "Honor" while fulfilling his own "Desire."

Finally, the novel operates within the framework of Michel Foucault's Panopticon a system of permanent surveillance. In the Bandian family, the "guards" are not just the elders, but the abstract concept of *Log Kya Kahenge* (What will people say?). Dadi is both the enforcer and the victim of this panopticon. Her rivalry with Qurrat-ul-Aine is a struggle for "visibility" in the social hierarchy. Her rush to get Zeba married is driven by the fear that her "blindness" to Zeba's "rebellion" will be exposed to the communal gaze. This theoretical lens shows that the "modern heart" in Pakistan is constantly being watched, not just by the family, but by an entire society that uses "Honor" as a mechanism of social control.

ANALYSIS

The Legend of Bhakuraj: Ancestral Determinism and the Erasure of Agency

The novel's prologue functions as a structural pillar that justifies the erasure of individual choice. Shazaf Fatima Haider utilizes the legend of the Bandian origins to establish that marriage is a communal debt rather than a personal romantic endeavor. By framing the family's genesis in the village of Bhakuraj as an act of *shukrana* (gratitude) where Pir Jan "offered his daughter to the Sufi" (p. 1) for saving him from a cobra Haider establishes that, within the Bandian household, a woman is historically a currency of exchange. Haider's depiction of the Sufi's arrival is laden with heavy irony, established in the opening lines: "The history of arranged marriages in our family starts with a single white-robed Sufi... Sufi Sahib saw a cobra undulating towards Pir Jan's conical head" (p.1). By framing a life-and-death debt as the foundation of the family, Haider suggests that Bandian women are born into a state of ancestral lien. This aligns with Bakhtin's view of the "official" narrative—a story the elders tell to sanctify the transactional nature of marriage. Saleha, however, punctures this "sacred" balloon by noting that the Bandians married "not for love but because it happened to be convenient", instantly de-sacralizing the legend and reducing a "miracle" to mere logistics.This "Foundational Myth" serves a dual purpose. First, it sanctifies the concept of the arranged marriage, stripping it of its transactional coldness and dressing it in the robes of religious piety. Second, it creates a narrative of Ancestral Determinism. Haider notes, "The Bandians of Bhakuraj, true to their ancestral heritage, married not for love but because it happened to be convenient" (p. 1). Dadi weaponizes this myth to silence her grandchildren's modern desires; to her, "Love" is a chaotic, Western import a "disease of the heart" that threatens a bloodline that has survived since the eighteenth century only through calculated pragmatism. The individual is merely a temporary vessel for the family's "Sacred Tradition," and any deviation is framed not just as rebellion, but as a betrayal of the very miracle that allowed the Bandians to exist.

The Anatomy of the "Marriage Circus"

The "Marriage Circus" serves as the primary satirical engine of the novel, transforming the private sanctity of the home into a public marketplace. Dadi's obsession with physical appearance is a scathing critique of internalized post-colonial beauty standards. Haider masterfully depicts the "Tragedy of the Oppressed turned Oppressor." Dadi recalls her own trauma of being a "veritable spinster" because a midwife noted she

"wasn't fair like milk" and her complexion was like "old register paper" (p. 2). However, instead of dismantling this prejudice, she becomes its fiercest enforcer.

She demands that Haroon's bride be "dazzlingly fair" (p. 16), viewing dark skin as a defect to be cured by yogurt and turmeric. The dehumanization is most evident in the "List of Requirements" Dadi maintains. The potential bride is treated as an architectural project rather than a human being. Dadi insists the girl must be "fair-fair, not just fair" (p. 16) and describes the ideal candidate with the clinical detachment of a livestock appraiser: "Tall, thin, from a good family, and a Syed... but most of all, she must be malleable" (p. 17). The use of the word "malleable" is a critical signifier; it suggests that the "Marriage Circus" is not looking for a partner for Haroon, but a soft piece of clay that Dadi can mold into the next enforcer of tradition. In the Karachi *rishta* market, a fair daughter-in-law is a trophy that elevates the family's Social Capital. The satire bites hardest when Dadi refers to the ideal bride as "Snow White" a Western fairy-tale derivative that highlights the post-colonial identity crisis. The Pakistani bride is expected to be a domestic, religious icon who simultaneously fulfills a Western-derived aesthetic of "whiteness," illustrating the impossible, contradictory standards placed upon modern women.

Semiotics of the Tea Trolley: The Domestic Trial

The "Trolley of Chai" is the ultimate stage for domestic performance. Using Social Semiotics, we see that mundane objects are transformed into "moral barometers." The tray is not a tool of hospitality; it is a lie-detector. Dadi's interpretation of the tray's tilt "right means no spine; a tilt to the left means too much spine" (p. 21) is a pseudo-scientific diagnostic tool. The trolley itself is described by Saleha as a "beast of burden that carried the weight of our family's reputation" (p. 20). In your semiotic analysis, the tea-pouring is the "Signified" of female submission. Saleha observes Zeba's performance with cynical clarity: "Zeba walked in, looking like a Japanese doll, the trolley rattling a funeral march" (p. 21). The "funeral march" metaphor is profound—it signifies the death of Zeba's agency. Haider uses the "rattle of the china" to symbolize the internal tremors of the women, which the "Aunties" interpret as a lack of domestic "polishing." To spill the tea is not a physical error; it is a semiotic failure of womanhood.

Haider satirizes the older generation's belief that a woman's entire future as a wife her fertility, her obedience, and her temperament can be predicted by her motor skills in the drawing room. If Zeba spills milk, it is not an accident; it is an "omen" of future familial discord. This effectively strips the woman of her humanity and reduces her to a domestic function. The trolley represents the "path to the altar" a path fraught with tripwires where a single tremor of the hand can result in social excommunication.

The Matriarchal Paradox: The "General in a Gharara"

One of the most complex elements of the novel is the depiction of Matriarchal Power. While the external world is patriarchal, the Bandian home is a sovereign state ruled by Dadi.

Emotional Theatricality as a Weapon

Dadi's power is maintained through the strategic use of "palpitations" and "uncalled-for theatricality" (p. 14). The most iconic scene occurs when Dadi ties her dupatta from her heart to Haroon's hand, forcing a vow: "Say you will never marry an Amreekan" (p. 15). This is a literal and metaphorical binding. Dadi utilizes Emotional Labor as a political tool; by performing "The Dying Matriarch," she frames Haroon's desire for autonomy as an act of attempted murder. Dadi's power is a "performance of fragility." When she feels her authority slipping, she resorts to "Dua-based manipulation," claiming that "the Prophet appeared in my dream and he was weeping because of your stubbornness" (p. 14). This is the ultimate "Matriarchal

Command"—she colonizes the spiritual world to control the physical one. Her "palpitations" are timed with mathematical precision. Saleha notes, "Dadi's heart only ever troubled her when someone else's heart was involved" (p. 15). This satirizes the way the matriarch uses the "weakness" of her aging body as a "fortress" that the younger generation cannot attack without appearing monstrous. This ensures that while men may appear to hold the reins of power, the moral and marital direction of the family remains firmly under Dadi's thumb.

Spiritual Surveillance: "Phoonkification" and the Evil Eye

Dadi uses religion and superstition to justify constant intrusion. She burns red chilies to ward off the *nazar* (evil eye), believing that if the smell isn't pungent, a "black spell" has been broken (p. 5). These rituals serve as a Social Panopticon. By claiming to protect her grandchildren from "black magic," Dadi justifies a total lack of boundaries. If Zeba seeks privacy, it is diagnosed as "susceptibility to spells." Superstition thus becomes a tool for maintaining a "Closed Household" where the individual is always visible to the matriarch.

Tradition vs. Texting: The Digital and Ideological Clash

The younger generation Haroon, Zeba, and Saima represent the "Modern Heart" trying to survive the "Marriage Circus" through various forms of resistance.

Haroon's "Laundered" Modernity

Haroon, the New York-educated MBA, is the novel's pragmatist. He does not try to burn the system down; he tries to "hack" it. His relationship with Saima is a "Laundered Romance." He understands that a "Love Marriage" would be an "apocalypse" for Dadi (p. 28). Therefore, he presents a love-choice through traditional channels, allowing Dadi to believe she is in control while he secures his own happiness. Haider uses Haroon to show that in modern Pakistan, "Arranged" and "Love" are no longer binary opposites but a hybrid negotiation a survival strategy of "deception-as-duty."

Zeba and the Tragedy of Resistance

Unlike Haroon, Zeba refuses to play the game. She rejects the "patheticologists" and refuses to be "plasticine" (p. 16). Her refusal to wear "modest" clothes or adopt "Westernized" thoughts leads to her being labeled "rebellious." Zeba represents the high cost of authenticity. The smartphone is the "Digital Purdah"—it provides a screen that elders cannot see through. While Zeba is being scrutinized by suitors, she is often "furiously clicking away on her Blackberry" (p. 45). This creates what media theorists call a "Private Sphere." The irony is that while Dadi controls the "Drawing Room," she has no jurisdiction over the "Digital Room." The "blue light of the screen" acts as a portal to a world where Zeba is not a "register-paper complexion" girl, but a woman with a voice. Texting is the only space where the characters can use "un-laundered language," free from the polite fictions required by the tea-trolley ritual. While the novel is a comedy, Zeba's depression and the "spinster" stigma she faces at twenty-five are poignant critiques of a society that punishes women for having a "spine." She is the martyr of the "Marriage Circus," proving that those who cannot or will not perform the submissive role are discarded by the system.

"Log Kya Kahenge": The Social Panopticon

The invisible "enemy" of the novel is the community gaze: *Log Kya Kahenge* (What will people say?). Dadi's rivalry with her cousin Qurrat-ul-Aine (p. 9) proves that the "Marriage Circus" is actually a War of

Influence. Marriage is not about the couple's compatibility; it is about the Matriarch's Status. The Bandian home is under constant surveillance by "The Aunties," a collective judge and jury that enforces the status quo through gossip. Dadi is both the jailer and the prisoner of this system; her cruelty toward Zeba is born of her own terror of social humiliation.

Sectarianism: The Silent Divider

The requirement that Haroon marry a "Syedda" (p. 16) is presented not just as a religious preference, but as a biological imperative. To Dadi, marrying a non-Syed is an act of "pollution." Haider uses this to satirize the deep-seated sectarian prejudices within the Pakistani elite. Even when Saima's family arrives, the primary focus is on her lineage rather than her character. This "blood-purity" obsession is a satirical mirror of the caste systems often denied by the modern elite, yet strictly practiced in their drawing rooms.

The Messy Middle Ground

How It Happened does not end with a total victory for either modernity or tradition. Instead, it ends in a compromise. Saima enters the house a modern, working woman but she does so through the "Sacred Tradition" of the drawing-room tea. The novel serves as a "Cultural Autopsy" of the modern Pakistani heart. Through the symbols of the Tea Trolley and the Smartphone, Haider proves that while the tools of engagement have changed, the fundamental structures of sectarianism, colorism, and matriarchal power remain the formidable landscape upon which love must be negotiated.

CONCLUSION

Through the analysis of Shazaf Fatima Haider's *How It Happened*, it becomes evident that the novel is far more than a lighthearted romantic comedy; it is a profound 'cultural autopsy' of the contemporary Pakistani domestic sphere. By juxtaposing the ancestral 'Foundational Myth' of Bhakuraj with the digital-age sensibilities of texting, Haider illustrates a society caught in a state of precarious transition a modern reality where the tools of engagement have changed, but the underlying structures of power remain remarkably static.

The Resilience of the Matriarchal Panopticon

The central conflict of the novel reveals that the 'Sacred Tradition' of arranged marriage is not merely about preserving religious values, but about maintaining matriarchal control and social standing. Dadi, the 'General in a Gharara,' represents the resilient bridge between the 18th-century logic of lineage and 21st-century Karachi. Her power is derived not from physical force, but from emotional theatricality and the weaponization of 'Honor' (*Ghairat*). Through the symbols of the 'Trolley of Chai' and the 'Red Chilies,' Haider demonstrates that the domestic sphere functions as a social panopticon. As theorized by Foucault, the 'guards' (the elders) do not need to be watching at all times because the 'prisoners' (the youth) have internalized the gaze of the community. A woman's worth is still measured by her 'malleability' and the 'fairness' of her skin a biological and psychological lottery that remains the ultimate gatekeeper to social acceptance. Dadi's adherence to these standards, despite her own past suffering under them, underscores the tragic cycle of internalized oppression that sustains the matrimonial spectacle.

The Hybrid Compromise: A Negotiated Agency

The resolution of the novel, marked by the marriage of Haroon and Saima, offers a sobering yet realistic outlook on social change in Pakistan. It is not a story of total revolution, but of negotiated agency. Utilizing

Homi K. Bhabha's theory of 'Hybridity,' we see that Haroon and Saima do not dismantle the traditional system; instead, they learn to 'mimic' its forms to secure their individual desires.

This 'Laundered Romance' suggests that for the modern Pakistani heart to survive, it must pay a 'tax' to tradition. Saima's entrance into the Bandian household as a professional, working woman who must still successfully navigate the 'Tea Tray Trial' represents the messy middle ground where the majority of South Asian youth currently reside. They are hybrid subjects who utilize the 'Third Space' of the smartphone to bypass surveillance, yet they still require the matriarch's blessing to maintain their place within the family fabric.

The Persistence of the Myth

Ultimately, *How It Happened* reminds us that while smartphones and Western degrees have created a digital sanctuary for private rebellion, the *Log Kya Kahenge* ('What will people say?') mindset remains the ultimate judge. Saleha, the witty juvenile narrator, serves as the final witness to this absurdity. Her voice provides the necessary distance to laugh at the 'patheticologists' and the marriage circus, but her presence also signals the continuation of the cycle; as the 'Outsider-Within,' she documents the system even as she prepares to eventually enter it. As the novel ends, the legend of Bhakuraj persists, and the tea trolley remains poised for the next generation. Haider's work stands as a testament to the fact that in the battle between the heart and heritage, the victory is rarely absolute. Instead, it is a continuous, often hilarious, and deeply complex dance of compromise a modern struggle where tradition and texting coexist in a state of permanent, restless negotiation."

REFERENCES

Bakhtin, M. M. (1984). Rabelais and his world (H. Iswolsky, Trans.). Indiana University Press. (Original work published 1965).

Barthes, R. (1972). Mythologies (A. Lavers, Trans.). Hill and Wang. (Original work published 1957).

Bhabha, H. K. (1994). The location of culture. Routledge.

Diary of a PMP Mom. (2017, August 15). How it happened - Book review. http://diaryofapmpmom.com/how-it-happened-book-review/

Foucault, M. (1977). Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison (A. Sheridan, Trans.). Vintage Books. (Original work published 1975).

Frye, N. (1957). Anatomy of criticism: Four essays. Princeton University Press.

Global Social Sciences Review. (2012). Socio-cultural trauma and gender objectification in Haider's How It Happened: A cultural feminist study. Global Social Sciences Review, 1(1), 88–102.

Haider, S. F. (2012). How it happened. Penguin Books.

hooks, b. (2000). Feminism is for everybody: Passionate politics. South End Press.

Janjua, M. M., & Kamal, A. (2024). Understanding the role of patriarchy in perpetuating traditional norms in Pakistan. Journal of Development and Social Sciences, 5(1), 112–125.

Javed, S., & Mughal, M. A. (2021). Ironic nostalgic Pakistani family system in Haider's How It Happened: A postmodern analysis. Humanity Publications, 9(2), 45–58.

Kandiyoti, D. (1988). Bargaining with patriarchy. Gender & Society, 2(3), 274–290. https://doi.org/10.1177/089124388002003004

Lucid Gypsy. (2013, February 1). Review: How it happened by Shazaf Fatima Haider. https://lucidgypsy.wordpress.com/2013/02/01/review-how-it-happened-by-shazaf-fatima- haider/

Mohydin, R. (2013, February 17). Review: How it happened by Shazaf Fatima Haider. Dawn. https://www.dawn.com/news/786720

Pervez, S. (2013, March 24). Book review: How it happened - Floored by Fatima! The Express Tribune. https://tribune.com.pk/story/525628/book-review-how-it-happened-floored-by-fatima

Samuel, S. (2010). The individual and the collective in South Asian literature. Oxford University Press.