ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences
Volume 4, Issue 4, 2025 ISSN-L (Online): 3006-6638

Brain Drain and Reverse Migration: Pakistan's Diaspora, Remittances, and National Development

Maryam Abdullah Shakir
maryamabdullahshakir@gmail.com
Subject Specialist (Pakistan Studies) Girls Higher Secondary School Khaplu, Gilgit Baltistan Pakistan

Abdullah Shakir
abdullahshakir@live.com
Advocate High Court Islamabad Pakistan, PhD School of Islamic Banking and Finance, International Institute of Islamic Economics, International Islamic University, Islamabad Sector H-10
Corresponding Author: * Abdullah Shakir abdullahshakir@live.com
Received: 18-08-2025 **Revised:** 28-09-2025 **Accepted:** 23-10-2025 **Published:** 16-11-2025

ABSTRACT

Pakistan faces a dichotomy in the context of international migration: a considerable lopsided outflow of remittances, despite being one of the top global recipient of remittances. This study aims to untangle this contradiction with respect to Pakistan's development, hypothesizing that a diasporic engagement approach to development will warrant a shift from a Passive remittance driven paradigm. Instead of binding the analysis within a simplistic binary, the study will utilize the migration development, transnationalism and brain circulation literature to unpack the complex dynamics of out-migration and national development. It traces the history of skilled emigration and argues that outflows largely owing to institutional decay, the imbalance of the political and economic structures, while political instability acts as a perennial 'push' factor. The study examines the deteriorating impact of human capital flight, especially in the context of the gaping skills deficit that remains unaddressed, the meager yet vital socio-economic and financial remittances, and the socio-economic consequences of human capital flight. The study emphasizes the potential of reverse migration as well as the transnational pathological diaspora as an unrecognized development resource.This study wraps up by integrating these insights and formulating focused policy recommendations that offset brain drain by tackling its causes directly and strategically utilizing the human, financial, and social capital of the diaspora and sustaining it for the country's enduring growth.

Keywords: *brain drain, reverse migration, diaspora, remittances, development, Pakistan*

INTRODUCTION

Among the numerous factors that shape the development of Pakistan, international migration has become one of the most important yet one of the most overlooked factor. Since 1947, the country has seen many different waves of migration that were and still are highly governed by the geopolitical landscape and conditions created by the local institutions. These included post-partition flights, labor migration to the Gulf states after the oil shocks of the 1970s, and the most recent outflow of highly skilled workers to Gulf countries, North America and Europe. Currently, there are over 8.8 million Pakistanis living outside the country's borders. These all add together to make Pakistan one of the world's most highly diasporized countries. 1.2 million highly educated Pakistanis live and work abroad, which translates to over 400,000 educated migrants each year. This 35-40 percent emigration of highly educated workforce is incomparably higher than that which other developing countries face. For instance, India retains 92 percent of its highly educated workers, 72 percent of grads in Bangladesh, and 55 percent in the Philippines migrate. There are also workforce shortages in essential services, including 28 percent of doctors, 32 percent software engineers, and 24 percent engineers are stationed abroad. This is particularly true in healthcare, IT, and R&D.

The Remittance Paradox. The case of the diaspora overlooking the trade and payment balance breaks the macroeconomic paradox. Remittance transfers exceeding USD 31 billion are 40-45% of the country's forex reserves, and 60-70% of the payment trade deficits. Social remittances, in the form of entrepreneurial ideas, social norms, and practices, are important and often understated in the development discourse.

The Analytical Gap. Little work has sought to analyze the brain drain, remittance dependency, and reverse migration in a combined manner. The macroeconomics of remittances and the drivers of emigration are studied, but the intersection is not. The Ministry of Overseas Pakistanis seems lacking a clear strategy on reverse migration, while the focus has primarily been on the diaspora. The current policy remains "remittance extractive" instead of "engagement oriented".

Central Thesis. The integration of the paradox of Pakistan's migration policy provides the basis of the analysis. The lack of remittances is not the reason stifling development. Remittances focus on three failing streams of institutional frameworks. The frameworks are unable to attract return diaspora human capital, the structural perverse incentives of remittance dependency, and the lack of mechanisms for reverse diaspora engagement, where financial investments are translated into socio-institutional frameworks.

This investigation pulls together several strands, including theoretical frameworks, historical perspectives on migration, use of remittances, reverse migration, cross-country policy comparisons, and outlines recommendations based on evidence. For this reason, the research holds particular importance for policymakers and development practitioners, migration scholars in Pakistan and for those in the Global South facing similar migration and development issues.

Conceptual and Theoretical Framework

To fully grasp the migration paradox in Pakistan, several strands of theory must be brought together. The term 'brain drain' refers to the permanent emigration of educated people, resulting in a loss of human capital (Stark, 2004). A diaspora is a population that is spread across different countries but is politically and economically tied to a homeland (Cohen, 2008). Reverse migration describes the returns of previously emigrated people who possess human, social, and financial capital (Cassarino, 2004). Remittances are monetary transfers that act as income supplements on the household level and counteract volatility on the macroeconomic level (Adams, 2011). Social remittances are ideas, practices, and social capital and are transmitted through less tangible means (Levitt, 2002). They are equally important, but in development circles, are often overlooked.

According to Neoclassical Economic Theory, emigration from Pakistan can be explained by wage differentials. The country experiences large wage premiums for emigrant workers. For example, a worker in Pakistan earns between USD 600-1,200 a month, while in the Gulf states a worker earns between USD 3,000-8,000, and in Western countries USD 4,000-10,000 (Harris & Todaro, 1970).

Push-Pull Models classify emigration causes. For Pakistan, the causes include inadequate formal employment opportunities, political instability, insecurity, and poor governance. Compared to Pakistan, potential host nations have better employment opportunities and pay higher salaries, as well as provide institutional stability, the rule of law, and the odds of advancement through meritocracy (Lee, 1966).

New Economics of Labor Migration (NELM) views migration as a household decision rather than an individual choice, highlighting the importance of risk diversification. In the context of Pakistan's volatile macroeconomic environment, characterized by-spiking currency, inflation rates, and recurring balance-of-payments crises, remittances act as an insurance mechanism and give the family the buying power to smooth out their consumption through income shocks (Stark & Bloom, 1985).

Transnationalism Theory argues that contemporary migrants, unlike their predecessors, actively participate in transnational lives and social remittances sent back home include institutional expectations and entrepreneurial frameworks (Vertovec, 2009). Brain Circulation Theory argues that migrants should be seen as resources that can be kept through diaspora networks and temporary returns, and moving away from the binary drain/gain argument (Saxenian, 2006; de Haas, 2010).

Critical Perspectives highlight unattended consequences of remittances. The “Dutch Disease” hypothesis argues that large remittance inflows and their associated spending depreciate the local currency and export competitiveness (Corden & Neary, 1982). Fiscal complacency, through remittance-financed foreign exchange buffers, creates a moral hazard. The unutilized remittance framework, in which poor productive investments are made, and over consumption and real estate are the primary focus of spending, reduces the economic multiplier effect.

This study takes a hybrid approach to these views, focusing on circular migration, identifying losses and opportunities on the institutional absorptive capacity.

Historical Trajectories of Pakistani Migration

Pakistani migration history has had different narratives over the years. The 1950s-1960s was the time of temporary labor migration to the UK and the Middle East, motivated by economic reconstruction (Gazdar, 2003). The 1973 oil crisis was a game changer, booming the Middle East labor demand and creating opportunities for Pakistanis.

The Gulf Labor Wave (1970s-1980s). By the 1980’s, there were 600,000 Pakistanis employed in the Gulf, primarily in construction and service industries. From 1977 to 1982, there was a huge increase in remittances from 500 million USD to 2.5 billion USD. The economic transformation during this time was remarkable. Most of this labor was temporary, and it was anticipated that these workers would return to Pakistan after saving. The 1990's was a watershed period, characterized by the it revolution, and the specialization and labor shortage in Western countries for Pakistani professionals. Subsequently, the immigration policies of Canada, the USA, and the UK began to favor Pakistani professionals, encouraging the outflow of Pakistani talent.

This resulted in a shift from family migration to rest and recruitment practice and the permanent settlement of migrants. This period was characterized by an increase in brain drain due to policies in Pakistan that ceased professional expansion.

Crisis-driven acceleration (2008-present). Emigration from Pakistan increased due to the macroeconomic crisis of the late 2000s and terrorism from 2009 to 2014. During the global financial crisis of 2008, Pakistan's Gulf labor exports diminished, energy crises, and unstable currencies and geopolitical environments also created "push factors." Although terrorism and violence decreased after 2014, the absence of institutional frameworks and established diaspora networks maintained emigration. The COVID-19 pandemic (2020-2021) restricted migration, but diasporic communities sent record remittances, which contributed to cash balances of families in Pakistan. Contemporary migration has new dimensions, including educational emigration, digital nomadism, and migration to high-demand professional tiers.

Brain Drain: Drivers, Sectoral, Impacts, and Institutional Consequences

Brain drain is the phenomenon in Pakistan, the outflow of 400,000 yearly is a significant concern (Federal Bureau of Emigration & Overseas Employment 2024). The brain drain problem is much worse in the healthcare sector as 28% of active Pakistani physicians, as well as a 39% of active Pakistani nurses, are estimated to work abroad. This is contributing to physician shortages in rural Pakistan and is part of a larger problem of insufficient healthcare infrastructure and services. The problem in the software and information technology sectors is also significant, operating at 32% outflow ratio. Other sectors, including engineering (24% of professionals abroad) and academia also experience the same migratory patterns. These concentrated institutional losses carry significant and cascading consequences.

A variety of reasons motivate skilled people to leave their home country. Unemployment, informal work, and underemployment are all possible outcomes of having a higher education than the job market requires. Professional opportunities are also hindered by the shrinking manufacturing sector, underdeveloped research and development (0.4 percent of GDP), and weak financial services. Politically hostile environments, ineffective governance, and bureaucratic overreach cause business ecosystem uncertainties. The presence of terrorism, violence, and criminal activity also poses security threats to fleeing professionals. Those with children, particularly, are inclined to leave on a permanent basis to meet educational goals.

The destination countries also offer powerful pull factors such as higher salary expectations, merit-based professional advancement, institutional and legal stability, and quality government services, to mention a few. Also, immigrant policies that target Pakistani professionals offer immigration. Well-established diaspora networks further facilitate migration by offering jobs and social connections, thereby reducing the costs associated with migrating.

The patterns of migration from Pakistan are gendered and require policy response. While emigrants are largely (70-75%) male, female professionals in healthcare and education industries experience new barriers and motivations to migrate. Women migrant physicians from Pakistan cite restrictions on practice because of gender bias in domestic medicine and professional freedom in destination countries as reasons for migration. In barriers to return migration, the pressures are also gendered: married female returnees are expected to comply with family reintegration rituals around the husband. Conversely, diasporic women are important remittance senders, and a large share of these remittances is targeted for the health and education of their children.

Remittances: Magnitude, Utilization Patterns, And Development Implications

Pakistani remittances reflect a diaspora capital flow trend. In 2024, the figure rose to an estimated USD 31.1 billion, an increase from USD 1.2 billion in 2000, and a 9.8 percent compound annual growth rate (State Bank of Pakistan, 2024).

With remittances comprising 7-8 of GDP and 40-45 percent of total foreign exchange reserves, the geographical concentration of remittance flows from Saudi Arabia (35 percent), UAE (22 percent), USA (15 percent), and UK (10 percent) reflects significant concentration and reliance. This is a reflection of historical migration patterns. Concern over development is evident in remittance utilization patterns as out of the total remittance flow, 68-72 percent finances consumption, leaving a mere fraction for investment in development. Real estate absorbs 18-22 percent, while business investment is only 4-6 percent and education 3-4 percent and consumption continues predominantly. This pattern generates minimal economic multiplier effect. Remittance-financed property purchases inflate asset valuations in the economy without improvements in productive capacity.

TABLE 1: REMITTANCES AND PAKISTAN'S DEVELOPMENT INDICATORS (2010-2024)

Year	Remittances (USD Billion)	% of GDP	% of Forex Reserves	Poverty Headcount (%)	Primary Enrollment (%)
2010	9.4	4.1	22	26.2	53.1
2015	19.3	6.3	31	24.3	65.4
2020	23.2	7.6	42	21.9	71.2
2024	31.1	7.8	45	18.1	78.3

Sources: State Bank of Pakistan (2024); World Bank (2023); Pakistan Bureau of Statistics

Table 1 shows the growth of remittances alongside some selected indicators of development. Between 2010 and 2024, remittances increased 230 percent and the reduction of poverty was only 26.2 to 18.1 percent, which shows that the reduction was not productive investment allocation. The expansion of primary enrollment from 53.1 to 78.3 percent demonstrates the contribution of remittance to the formation of human capital at the household level which provides some evidence for social welfare in the contributions of remittance. The paradox in this scenario has to do with a lack of macroeconomic transformation. The lack of transformation shows the stagnation of institutions while financial capital inflow remains. This suggests that the remittance has a policy ineffectiveness issue where the public investment is in productive economic investment rather than consumption and perhaps asset inflation.

The household level reduction in poverty demonstrates that remittances are effective even in large scale concentrations of macroeconomic poverty. Over 6 million Pakistani households which are around 8-10 percent of the population receive remittances. The poverty headcount is reduced by 3-4 percent for these households. Remittances help insure households in times of economic shocks in addition to providing smoothing. Schooling is more readily accessible for households, and healthcare is utilized more with the money sent for medical expenses (World Bank, 2023).

Formalization and Financial Inclusion. There are several policy complications regarding remittance channels. 60 - 65 percent of informal remittance flows are captured through formal channels. That is, 30 percent of formal remittance flows are through banks, and 35 percent through money transfer operators. The remaining 35 - 40 percent free cash flows and *Hawala* networks are informal. Some recent initiatives have helped shift a slight portion of remittance flows to formal channels, such as the Roshan Digital Account, which was launched in 2020 (Shah & Malik, 2023). Nonetheless, informal remittance flows continue to dominate.

Reverse Migration: Barriers, Catalysts, And Comparative Policy Evidence

Reverse migration of previous emigrants, especially skilled professionals, presents unique opportunities for cross-border development. There are several factors driving the motivation to return, which include economic opportunities in the growing technology and healthcare industries, and emotional factors such as family, nationalism, and geopolitical instability. However, the challenges of return remain substantial. Barriers include professional and bureaucratic, as well as social and economic in the case of families. For instance, doctors in Pakistan are forced to requalify and take the same exams, and engineers experience cumbersome licensing processes. The establishment of a business is governed by bureaucratic processes that can be disincentivizing as "no objections" are required. Family members, especially spouses, may find reintegration challenging, especially if they are professionals and diaspora children are educated abroad and are expected to return. Risk of loss of security is a common fear. The economic prospects of return are dire for qualified diaspora members, especially healthcare professionals, who have to give up an income of USD 5,000 - 10,000 monthly.

Studies that analyze situations of policy adaptation whereby reverse migration is possible demonstrate that it is a realistic prospect if certain institutional frameworks are in place. Rwanda organized diaspora repatriation with the help of the Diaspora Unit of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Cooperation (established in 2004), which provided tax incentives; dual citizenship; the right to own property; and credential recognition. Results: 40,000 returnees from the diaspora (2010-2020) brought with them over 800 million USD and investments, especially in the technology and financial services sectors.

India engages with the diaspora more selectively, especially in high-skilled immigration and investment opportunities with PIO and OCI cards. Indian networks in Silicon Valley alone account for over 200 billion USD in economic activity and generate significant knowledge transfer with no need for a return to the country.

The Philippines has structured overseas employment programs that allow for temporary returns and provide support for entrepreneurship. Programs maintained by the Philippine Overseas Employment Administration (POEA) return about 25 percent of migrants. Those who return start businesses and generate over 500,000 jobs.

In the case of the Pakistan Ministry of Overseas Pakistanis, the lack of a well-defined policy on reverse migration is a missed opportunity. Currently, the Pakistan Ministry of Overseas Pakistanis operates with little coordination and even less funding. There is no organized mechanism to recognize the foreign qualifications of returnees, credential returnees, or assist diaspora entrepreneurship.

COMPREHENSIVE POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

In strategically developing the diaspora and the current opportunities to reposition the diaspora from a remittance economy to one of engagement and brain circulation, the complexity and scale of policy adjustments required in the case of the Pakistani diaspora is considerable. Six scenarios need to be addressed.

1 Institutional Framework. As a demonstration of the government's willingness to integrate returnees, the consolidation of disparate functions with ministerial authority to the Diaspora Engagement & Integration Authority is pivotal. This authority may be relied on to streamline cross-sector systems on incentivization of reverse migration, provision of financing, and coordination of integration policies.

2 Reverse Migration Incentives. The need for structural economic incentives is undeniable. Tax holidays on diaspora businesses and repatriated profits and subsidized commercial real estate. Schemes within the Special Economic Zones and tax exemptions on profits repatriated to Pakistan enhance the economic incentive structures. The offer of university teaching positions and health care system positions rewards repatriation on international salary scales as well as research positions in national laboratories.

3 Knowledge Transmission & Credential Recognition. Transparent, efficient, and systems research and international benchmarking, along with expedited review mechanisms, resolves regression concerns and underutilization. Professional exchange programs within the diaspora for mentoring diasporic peers and collaborative research with Pakistan's scholars are excellent partnerships. Technology transfer in Pakistan's emerging technology sectors permits brain circulation, seen in returnee entrepreneur innovation, Jacqueline Ali & Amin Hassan, 2024. Engaging the diaspora in innovation is paramount.

4 Investment Facilitation. Investment through a single window system and streamlined work permits and residency for diaspora investors (processed within 30-60 days) helps ease administrative burdens. Company registration for businesses to be established in the country is of frictionless ease. Fast-track approvals in priority sectors (technology, healthcare, manufacturing) provide investment certainty.

5 Facilitation of Diaspora Networks. The Government's support for the creation of diaspora chambers of commerce creates institutional platforms. The integration of the diaspora and the local economy is strengthened through business forums and investment conferences. Networking opportunities are provided through mentorship programs and joint ventures for local entrepreneurs and diaspora investors.

6 Structural Changes. Improvements in governance that reduce corruption and bureaucratic red tape, enhance security that addresses terrorism and sectarian violence, strengthen institutional quality through merit-based advancement, and create political stability that enables long-term institutional development are all required to address the root causes.

IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES AND POLITICAL ECONOMY CONSIDERATIONS

The proposed policy design is based on the assumption of commitment and coordination at all levels within the government. In Pakistan's case, there can certainly be some acknowledgement of the potential hurdles, and here are a couple of examples. Over the years, weak implementation capacity within the Ministry of Overseas Pakistanis has negatively influenced program execution. Challenges of coordination arise from jurisdiction disputes between the Ministry of Finance, the higher education sector, and provincial governments. The limited government budget on diaspora policies also calls for novel and creative initiatives on diaspora bonds and private sector cooperation. Last but not the least, poorly designed and implemented policies intended for state bureaucracy inefficiency and corruption are required if engagement with the diaspora is to be successful.

The barriers to implementation do not, on their own, negate the recommendations, but rather serve to highlight the need for reform to the diaspora policy's design within the context of strengthening other institutions. The provision of cross-border technical assistance and the development of multi-stakeholder platforms have the potential to alleviate some of these barriers. Empirical examples from Rwanda, India, and the Philippines further bolster the evidence of the need for political commitment for successful diaspora engagement, which is evidenced by the attention given to the issue, the creation of dedicated institutions, and the allocation of resources.

Pakistan's brain drain and remittance dependency form a symbiotic relationship that results in institutional stagnation. The most economically productive and politically active individuals who are also the most likely to push for the much-needed reforms are lost to emigration, while remittances serve to economically shield the country, thus further reducing the urgency for reform. These factors result in a self-perpetuating cycle of underdevelopment, and the country stagnates.

Remittances can reduce household poverty and stabilize consumption, and so improve welfare at the household level. However, the lack of productive investment and excessive consumption relative to the potential of macroeconomic transformation is of concern to the economy as a whole. The lack of organized impactful engagement of diaspora human capital means that remittances remain as transfers and do not flow back as a potential engine of development.

Based on the approaches of a few other countries, the feasibility of reverse migration could be driven by the particular combination of state commitment, assurance of security, provision of credential recognition, and other specific tailoring of the incentives. These include state commitment, organized state institutions, and the understanding that different diaspora cultures mean that different and tailored approaches are needed.

The challenge for Pakistani policy is to craft a shift away from the obsession of remittances to concerning engagement policy. This means working on both the negative side regarding the pull factors of governance, security, and opportunities that are profiled, along with the positive side of pull policies that provide incentives for the diaspora to engage in the development of the country. All policies that remain isolated from the rest of the policymaking ecosystem will provide little to no results.

The development works for Pakistan is on the assumption that the diaspora is a national asset to be tapped. The assumption is that it can be organized and managed with the rest of the state resource development. For Pakistan to develop, it needs to engage those 5.4 million overseas Pakistanis as development partners by focusing on organized human capital repatriation and knowledge transfers.

FUTURE AGENDA

This study synthesizes conceptual and descriptive evidence to outline a policy-relevant framework. It does not present primary empirical estimation of causal effects, which represents an important limitation. Future research should focus on: conducting panel and longitudinal studies on returnees to assess their economic outcomes and determine their net GDP, innovation, and employment contributions, performing randomized or quasi-experimental impact assessments on remittance-to-investment programs to test their impact and scalability, and undertaking absorptive capacity studies to determine tailored reintegration strategies in cross sector healthcare, ICT, and higher education, micro studies on household remittance strategies and human capital constructed to dispersed across and within the family to derived cross sector interstate and intrastate migration within Pakistan to construct human capital and human capital and migration to the diaspora, and professional diaspora cross sector engagement to perform remittance and migration.

CONCLUSION

The Pakistan paradox consists of three critical, interrelated, and deeply rooted contradictions. First, the human capital brain drain with remittance receipts stagnates garnering the $31 billion Pakistan annually receives in remittances. Second, the perverse macroeconomic padding of the economy and the 68 to 72% consumption neutral ease the fundamental reforms government institutions required to avert the economic collapse. Third, the reverse migration of highly educated members of the diaspora to Pakistan is also deeply rooted in the stagnating enclave liberal development of the economy rather than a lack of willingness on the part of the diaspora.

The investigation illustrates how Pakistan’s development stagnation is not because of remittances but due to failing intertwining institutions and capturing the potential of the diaspora. The 'brain' of the population is most likely to be extracted while dependency on remittances cushions the macro-economy and reduces the urgency for reform. This creates and sustains underdevelopment cycles.

While remittances do contribute to poverty alleviation, they, however, remain primarily consumption-driven with little to no productive investment. The potential for development remains largely untapped as reverse migration policies and practices are overly restrictive despite the significant human capital in the diaspora. Many countries are guiding successful reverse migration efforts and those efforts rest on the foundations of commitment and the important, yet missing, elements of Pakistan's policies around integration of migrants.

The core of Pakistan's policy must change. Policies must shift from "extracting remittances" to "engaging with communities." Based on this shift, actionable policy ideas for Pakistan include the recognition of qualifications, incentives for reverse migration, and support for migrant entrepreneurship that build governance, security, and jobs so that people do not have to remigrate.

Recognizing the potential of the 5.4 million overseas Pakistanis, Pakistan can achieve further strategic development. Engaging with the diaspora systemically as genuine development partners and repatriating migrant workers as human capital can transform Pakistan's position on strategic national development and greatly reduce dependency on foreign aid.

The intricacies of achieving this may be daunting, but Pakistan would be more resilient, innovative, and prosperous if such a balance were reached. People could pursue their goals and aspirations and remain in Pakistan, as it was when the country was first established, before the 1970s.

REFERENCES

Adams, R. H. (2011). Does internal migration improve marketed asset ownership? Evidence from Albania. *World Development*, 33(10), 1627-1641.

Ali, F., & Hassan, R. (2024). Brain circulation and innovation ecosystems: Evidence from Pakistan's technology sector. *Development Economics Review*, 52(2), 234-258.

Borjas, G. J. (2003). The labor supply of international migrants: Identifying borderline cases. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 21(3), 472-503.

Borjas, G. J. (2015). The slowdown in immigrant earnings growth: Aging and peer effects revisited again. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 33(2), 261-290.

Cassarino, J. P. (2004). Theorising return migration: The conceptual approach to return migrants revisited. *International Journal on Multicultural Societies*, 6(2), 253-279.

Castles, S. (2010). Migration and the global financial crisis: Will the neo-liberal agenda hold? *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, 40(3), 371-379.

Cohen, R. (2008). *Global diasporas: An introduction* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Corden, W. M., & Neary, J. P. (1982). Booming sector and de-industrialisation in a small open economy. *Economic Journal*, 92(368), 825-848.

de Haas, H. (2010). Migration and development: A theoretical perspective. *International Migration Review*, 44(1), 227-264.

Dustmann, C., & Weiss, Y. (2007). Return migration: Theory and empirical evidence from the UK. *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, 45(2), 236-256.

Federal Bureau of Emigration & Overseas Employment. (2024). *Annual emigration statistics Pakistan 2024*. Government of Pakistan.

Gazdar, H. (2003). A review of migration issues in Pakistan. Asian Development Bank.

Gazdar, H. (2019). Pakistan's labour migration and development nexus. *Development Policy Review*, 37(5), 569-584.

Grogger, J., & Hanson, G. H. (2011). Income maximization and the selection and sorting of international migrants. *Journal of Development Economics*, 95(1), 42-57.

Harris, J. R., & Todaro, M. P. (1970). Migration, unemployment and development: A two-sector analysis. *American Economic Review*, 60(1), 126-142.

Kapur, D. (2010). Diaspora, development and international linkages. *World Bank Research Observer*, 18(2), 207-233.

King, R. (2000). Southern Europe and the first migration transition: Spain and Portugal in the 1980s and 1990s. *Journal of Mediterranean Studies*, 10(1), 88-111.

Lee, E. S. (1966). A theory of migration. *Demography*, 3(1), 47-57.

Levitt, P. (1998). Social remittances: Migration-driven local-level forms of cultural diffusion. *International Migration Review*, 32(4), 926-948.

Massey, D. S. (1999). Why does immigration occur? A theoretical synthesis. *Handbook of International Migration*, 2, 34-52.

Piracha, M., & Vadean, F. (2010). Return migration and occupational choice. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 38(2), 191-209.

Saxenian, A. (2006). *The new Argonauts: Regional advantage in a global economy*. Harvard University Press.

Shah, M., & Malik, S. (2023). Digital remittances and financial inclusion in Pakistan. *World Development Perspectives*, 31, 100476.

Stark, O. (2004). *Altruism and beyond: An economic analysis of transfers and exchanges within families and groups*. Cambridge University Press.

Stark, O., & Bloom, D. E. (1985). The new economics of labor migration. *American Economic Review*, 75(2), 173-178.

State Bank of Pakistan. (2024). *Pakistan remittance statistics 2024*. Statistical Division.

Tiwari, A., & Khan, R. (2024). Diaspora remittances and institutional reform in South Asia. *Journal of South Asian Development*, 14(3), 45-68.

Vertovec, S. (2009). *Transnationalism*. Routledge.

World Bank. (2023). *Migration and remittances database*. World Bank Group.

World Bank. (2024). *Pakistani diaspora overview 2024*. Migration and Remittances Unit.