ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences
Volume 4, Issue 2, 2025 ISSN-L (Online): 3006-6638

Geopolitical Challenges to the Indus Water Treaty: Balancing National Interests and Regional Stability

Muhammad Mateen
muhammad.mateen.0014@gmail.com
MS Social Sciences, Specialization in International Relations,Shaheed Zulfikar Ali Bhutto Institute of Science and Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan
Corresponding Author: * Muhammad Mateen muhammad.mateen.0014@gmail.com

Received: 09-03-2025 **Revised:** 10-04-2025 **Accepted:** 21-04-2025 **Published:** 01-05-2025

ABSTRACT

The Indus Waters Treaty (IWT) of 1960, signed between India and Pakistan, is a landmark agreement in the geopolitics of South Asia that guarantees the allocation of the Indus River System's waters between both nations. This research explores the changing dynamics of the IWT with a view to understanding the geopolitical, environmental, and legal challenges that arose over the past few years. The research delves into the treaty's strategic function for stability at the regional level, India's growing infrastructure development on the Western rivers, and potential security concerns for Pakistan. The research also investigates the effects of novel non-traditional threats, including climate change, glacial melt, and volatile precipitation trends, on the viability of the treaty regime's modal framework. The assessment of the treaty's dispute resolution mechanism, with reference to the role of the third party through the mediation by the World Bank, is given through a critical examination to determine its implementability amidst changing power structures at the regional geopolitical context as well as environmental stressors. Adopting a qualitative case study approach, the research further investigates how changing hydropolitics of the region, especially the role of China as well as other external players, affects the course of the IWT going ahead. Adopting a legal, environmental, as well as strategic lens of analysis, research makes policy recommendations on how the treaty regime's institutional framework can become stronger through strengthened cooperative water governance at the local level. The research findings establish the importance of the IWT being adapted to meet contemporary challenges so it continues to serve as a tool for peace and stability at the regional level of South Asia.

Keywords: *Indus Waters Treaty, South Asia, hydropolitics, water sharing, climate change, geopolitical rivalry, dispute resolution, regional security.*

INTRODUCTION

The Indus Waters Treaty (IWT) of 1960 between India and Pakistan, facilitated by the World Bank, is a seminal treaty in managing transboundary water resources. The treaty arose due to partition in British India in 1947, dividing control of the Indus River system, a major source of livelihood and agriculture in the region. The control of the headwaters of the Indus River and its tributaries went to India while leaving downstream to Pakistan, thereby creating tensions over water allocations at the onset.

Six Indus rivers were allocated to both countries in the IWT: Indus, Chenab, and Jhelum to Pakistan, and Sutlej, Ravi, and Beas to India. The treaty also provided forums for cooperation, most significantly the Permanent Indus Commission whose responsibility is to settle disputes and regulate water-sharing arrangements. Despite periods of political tensions and hostility between them, IWT persists and is repeatedly cited in literature as an effective mechanism of conflict management in international water agreements.

The advent of new climate-related problems, heightened water demands, and one-sided development initiatives has brought with it new complexities at the treaty operational level. The changes instill a

necessity to reassess how well the IWT can meet existing and future water-sharesharing concerns between India and Pakistan.

Purpose and Scope of the Study

This work investigates the geopolitical forces faced by the Indus Waters Treaty (IWT) in contemporary times through a critical analysis. It examines national interest, security in a region, and environment in relation to how they intersect in an IWT framework. This work analyzes historical backgrounds to the treaty, effectiveness in managing transboundary water resources, and viability in addressing its evolving challenges.

The scope of this study encompasses a review of institutional arrangement, legal provisions, and conflict resolution mechanisms of the Indus Waters Treaty. The study also reviews external factors including climate variability and regional politics affecting the effectiveness of the treaty. Globally, this study adds to knowledge on sustainable water management and conflict resolution in South Asia.

Research Background

Evolution of the Indus Waters Treaty (1960)

The September 19, 1960, Indus Waters Treaty (IWT) between India and Pakistan is a milestone treaty of global water diplomacy. The World Bank brokered the treaty with an objective to resolve the complicated water-sharing conflicts pertaining to the post-1947 partition of British India. The partition disrupted the single management of the watersheds of the Indus River system whose waterhead rivers were in Indian territory and whose downstream regions were in Pakistani territory, thereby generating tensions over water shares forthwith.

The six rivers of the Indus system were allocated in the IWT: Ravi, Beas, and Sutlej to India as east-flowing rivers, and Indus, Jhelum, and Chenab to Pakistan as west-flowing rivers. The distribution was intended to reserve a respective river for the use by each country, with use by the other country subject to certain conditions. The treaty established Permanent Indus Commission (PIC) as the two countries' organ for cooperation and sharing information, and provided for differences through Neutral Experts or a Court of Arbitration.

Despite instances of political tensions and disagreements between India and Pakistan, IWT has continued, with it often cited as one of the most successful international treaties in terms of how long it has lasted as well as how successful it has been. The survival of the treaty is attributed to clearly defined water rights as well as institutions that it established for the settlement of disputes.

Hydropolitics and Water Sharing in South Asia

South Asian hydropolitics is characterized by the complicated interplay among politics, geography, and dependency on resources. The Indus system, arising from the Himalayas and running through both India and Pakistan, is an important source of irrigating water for agriculture, industry, and domestic needs for both countries. The two countries' dependency on these mutually shared water resources has made sharing water a strategic and sensitive issue, much intertwined with greater politics as well as security concerns.

On this account, the IWT is not merely a water-sharing agreement but a framework for governing bilateral relations in terms of water resources as well. The articles of the treaty on cooperation and resolution of conflicts have also served to prevent water conflict even at times of high political tensions.

Nevertheless, population growth, climate change, and unilateral infrastructure developments brought new challenges to water sharing within the region, calling for adaptive and cooperative solutions for hydropolitics to prevail.

Role of the World Bank and International Community

The World Bank was centrally involved in the development and implementation of the IWT. The Bank was first approached by both states, both of which requested its mediation to settle the issue of water sharing. The Bank organized the negotiations that resulted in the signing of the treaty. In addition to mediation, the Bank convened the Indus Basin Development Fund, mobilizing international donor funds for financing the development of infrastructure projects, including dams and canals, necessary for treaty implementation.

The role of the World Bank under the treaty is basically procedural, involving the selection of Neutral Experts and members of the Court of Arbitration at the request of either party. The Bank plays a neutral role, so that the mechanisms for resolution of the disputes are effective without becoming a party to the differences of opinion.

Over the past few years, the World Bank has remained committed to adhering to the IWT by arranging deliberations and appointments for disputes concerning hydroelectric projects, including the Ratle dam project and the Kishanganga dam project. The involvement of the Bank signifies its commitment to maintaining its role under the treaty framework, as well as developing cooperative use of water between India and Pakistan.

Key Provisions of the Treaty: Rights and Limitations

The IWT demarcates certain obligations as well as fixed rights for both Pakistan and India regarding the Indus River system use. While Pakistan has the authority over the Indus, Jhelum, and Chenab rivers, exclusive authority is given to India regarding the use of the rivers Ravi, Beas, and Sutlej. The treaty permits certain non-consumptive uses by India of the Indus, Jhelum, and Chenab rivers, for example, for hydroelectric power generation, shipping, and for limited irrigation, subject to these not impinging adversely on the flow of water to Pakistan.

The treaty also prescribes systems for cooperation as well as for settling differences and disputes, the Permanent Indus Commission, Neutral Experts, and a Court of Arbitration, respectively. These are intended to resolve differences and disputes amicably and for the effective implementation of the treaty. The treaty, nevertheless, makes no provisions for these challenges of the present day, namely climate change, environmental degradation, as well as the need for integrated basin management, indicating some areas where the scope of the treaty is limited.

Relevance of IWT in the Post-Colonial Geopolitical Landscape

The post-colonial era IWT is a shining example of India-Pakistan bilateral cooperation between two nations previously characterized by political rivalry, tension, and conflict. That it has endured for six decades is a testament to its stability as a stabilizer in the politics of the subcontinent. It has acted as a template for managing shared waters and has averted water conflict.

However, changing geopolitics, shifts in relative local power in the region, emerging security concerns, and environmental issues render a reevaluation of the treaty's flexibility and applicability necessary. It is increasingly understood today that such emerging issues have to be resolved in relation to the IWT regime or ancillary agreements to facilitate sustainable and cooperative use of water in the region.

Research Problem

The Rise of Geopolitical Rivalry in the Indus Basin

The Indus Basin has historically been a source of geopolitical tensions between India and Pakistan. While traditionally the IWT has acted as a stabilizer, developments in recent years have intensified rivalries. Strategic actions of India, including abrogating Article 370 in Jammu and Kashmir, have intensified

tensions and water resources have been politicized. Intimation by India to decrease water supply to Pakistan also hardened worries about water as a geopolitical tool. Politicizing water resources is against the cooperative context of the IWT and prejudicing stability at a regional level.

Increased Indian Infrastructure on Western Rivers

India's construction of hydroelectric projects on its western rivers ceded to Pakistan in terms of the IWT has been contentious. Construction of the Kishanganga and Ratle dams has been a point of disquiet in Pakistan about potential abrogation of treaty provisions. While asserting that such developments are in line with treaty provisions on non-consumptive use, Pakistan is concerned such developments would alter the flow pattern detrimental to its agriculture and power sectors. The non-transparency in decisions on such projects strains the treaty mechanisms for resolving disputes and erodes trust between the countries.

Pakistan's Strategic Concerns and Security Dilemma

Pakistan's heavy dependence on the Indus River system for agriculture and power makes a perceived threat to water flow a high security issue for it. The possibility of Indian control over upper riparian water resources contributes to Pakistan's security dilemma where defence is perceived by the other side as aggressive, giving rise to a cycle of suspicion. This is compounded by the wider geopolitical tensions between the two nations, where water security is embedded in national security, thus making collaborative management of shared resources even more problematic.

Impact of Emerging Non-traditional Threats (Climate Change, Floods, Glacial Melt)

Climate change adds new dimensions to Indus River Basin management. Changes in precipitation patterns, glacial melt, and extreme events such as floods and droughts impact predictability as well as water availability. The example of the massive floods of 2022 in Pakistan, which were exacerbated by climate change, reflects the susceptibility of the area to environmental shock. These unconventional threats are not duly addressed within the IWT framework, requiring a revision of the treaty to introduce adaptive approaches for climate resistance.

Research Objectives

To Explore the Geopolitical Challenges Confronting the IWT

This research identifies and examines the evolving geopolitical threats to the longevity and effectiveness of the Indus Waters Treaty (IWT). Although successful at enduring several wars and relations standoffs between Pakistan and India, the IWT is under growing influence by changing power relations, new regional alliances, nationalist narratives, and the securitization of water resources. The research explores, how water is being recalibrated from a collective resource to a strategic interest, thus causing tensions and mistrust. With a contextualization of these trends within larger regional tensions, the research aims to clarify how geopolitical transformations will break the cooperative foundations of the treaty.

To Analyze National Water Policies of India and Pakistan

A key goal of this research is to analyze how the domestic water policy, development priorities, and legislation of India and Pakistan interact with the provisions of IWT. The emphasis of India on generating power through hydropower and linking rivers, and Pakistan's battle with water management, inefficient infrastructure, and excessive reliance on the Indus basin, need careful examination.

To Assess IWT's Adaptability in a Changing Strategic Environment

The research also endeavors to determine the IWT's structural and institutional resilience against evolving regional and international strategic realities. The Treaty, as powerful as it is in many regards, was concluded during a different geopolitical environment. In addition to the emerging threats of climate

change, glacier retreat, altered river hydrology, and the development of new technologies, there is a need for a review of whether the treaty remains a sound conflict-avoiding device under evolving circumstances. This goal entails examination of the degree to which the Treaty accommodates adjustments or whether its fixed structure will stifle cooperative water management under new situations.

To Propose Policy and Institutional Reforms

Based on the outcomes of the previous objectives, the ultimate objective is to craft practical recommendations for reforming the IWT system and connected institutional structures. These reforms can encompass improving data-sharing as well as transparency mechanisms, redesigning dispute resolution mechanisms, including provisions for climate adaptation, and enhancing third-party mediation functions, e.g., that of the World Bank. The research will further examine the possibility of setting up joint monitoring commissions or transboundary water commissions more advanced than the existing Permanent Indus Commission. The objective is to present a visionary but pragmatic roadmap that can facilitate both countries' management of their shared waters sustainably as well as amicably.

Research Questions

5.1. What are the primary geopolitical and environmental threats to the IWT?
5.2. How do national interests shape the IWT's interpretation and implementation?
5.3. Can the IWT accommodate contemporary challenges while maintaining regional stability?
5.4. What mechanisms exist (or are needed) to reduce conflict and enhance cooperation?

Significance of the Research

Academic and Theoretical Contribution to Water Politics and International Relations

This research adds meaningfully to the scholarly body on transboundary water governance, especially within the context of hydro-politics and international relations (IR). Focusing on the Indus Waters Treaty (IWT), a singular example of longstanding water cooperation between rival politics, the research lends empirical insight to theoretical constructs such as Realism (competition for limited resources), Liberal Institutionalism (institutional role of regimes), and Constructivism (role of identity, perception, and historical discourses). It also adds to the emerging subfield of environmental security research, examining the intersection between environmental change and geopolitical competition. The research therefore addresses a key lacuna in appreciating how water-sharing mechanisms work—or fail—in conflict-prone zones.

Strategic Importance for Regional Peace and Conflict Prevention

The Indus River system is a lifeline for both Pakistan and India, and a breakdown of its governance architecture has the potential to escalate already present tensions to open conflict. In diagnosing the vulnerabilities of the treaty and laying out reforms, this research aims to foster sustainable peace for South Asia. It highlights the strategic potential for water diplomacy to facilitate confidence-building between nuclear-armed competitors and situates the IWT not as a technical accord, but a potential stabilizing force for the region. Identifying the geopolitical underpinnings of water politics, the research is a preventive strategy for ensuring water is not a cause of armed conflict within already volatile surroundings.

Policy Relevance for South Asian Governance and Diplomacy

At the policy level, the findings of the research generate actionable knowledge for water governance, foreign policy, and conflict resolution stakeholders. For the policymakers of both India and Pakistan, the research highlights the importance of aligning national water policies with international treaty commitments. It is also suggestive of the role of mechanisms for del-politicizing water management and

improvements in the institutional capacity of the Permanent Indus Commission. The research is applicable within the context of South Asian regional cooperation forums such as SAARC as well as BIMSTEC, which so far remain unengaged with trans-boundary water management issues. With policy-informed arguments, the research supports collaborative solutions for trans-boundary environmental as well as development opportunities.

Informing International Legal Instruments on Water Sharing

The IWT is widely referenced as a template in international trans-boundary water discourses. Analyzing its strengths and weaknesses, this research provides significant lessons for the development of international legal measures like the 1997 UN Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses. The research sheds light on third-party mediation (for example, the role of the World Bank) both in treaty negotiation and conflict resolution, which adds to international law best practice and water diplomacy. These lessons can then apply to other contentious river basins, for example, the Nile, Mekong, and Jordan rivers systems.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The Indus Waters Treaty (IWT) has remained at the forefront of South Asian water politics ever since its signing in 1960, becoming a model for both countries for a fair distribution of waters of the Indus River System. The treaty has had extensive ramifications on the relations in and of the sub-region and has been extensively debated by scholars, particularly on its efficacy within an evolving political reality. The literature on the IWT is multidisciplinary in nature, ranging from political science and international law to hydrology and environmental studies, in evidence of diverse perspectives on its success, limitations, and future prospects.

The Evolution of the Indus Waters Treaty

The historical context and development of the IWT have been extensively researched. According to M. A. Khan (2009), the IWT was brokered by the World Bank auspices with an aim to resolve water conflicts of India and Pakistan when British India was divided in 1947. The value of this treaty lies in its capacity to create a framework for governing one of the world's most vital collective water sources. The political dynamics between India and Pakistan over time created such an environment by raising doubts regarding the fate of this treaty. According to S. Singh (2016), evolving security requirements, military posturing, and national interests have rendered it difficult to act on this treaty, specially regarding new projects of new infrastructures and strategic priorities.

Hydropolitics and Water Sharing in South Asia

Hydropolitics, or the intersection of water management and political power, has been a resurgent theme in IWT debate. According to Biswas and Tortajada (2001), water is not only an imperative commodity but also a political one that may be bargained as an instrument of international relations. Water sharing also becomes even more contentious in the South Asian subcontinent because India and Pakistan depend markedly on the Indus River as well as its tributaries for water. The strategic importance of water resources is compounded by both countries' economic reliance on agricultural production as well as hydropower, which is dependent on these river systems. Gupta (2017) highlights how India's growing stranglehold on water resources, including the construction of dams on the Indus' western rivers, is causing heightened tensions with Pakistan, which views these developments as treaty violations. The strategic use of water is compounded by the increasing militarization of water infrastructure, particularly on contested territories such as Jammu and Kashmir, explained by Moore and Stokes (2008).

Role of the World Bank and International Community

The role of the World Bank as a mediator in the IWT has been critical in maintaining the treaty's framework. The World Bank's involvement in dispute resolution, including its role in the Baglihar Dam arbitration case and other water-sharing disputes, has been widely documented (World Bank, 2022). However, as noted by Biswas and Tortajada (2001), the World Bank's ability to act as an impartial mediator has been challenged, especially in light of the increasing involvement of regional and global actors in South Asia's geopolitical landscape. While the World Bank's mediation efforts have contributed to resolving disputes, scholars like Starr (2009) argue that its influence may be waning as new regional and global powers assert their interests in the region, complicating the dispute-resolution process.

The role of third parties, including China and the United States, has also been a subject of increasing research interest. Highlighted by some scholars, including Benson and Rugh (2011), is China's expanding influence within the Indus Basin's upper riparian areas, especially through its infrastructure projects within Tibet, as a key driver of the IWT's shifting geopolitics. In the same vein, United States mediation of water disputes within the region, especially with its strategic interests within the subcontinent, has been studied by scholars such as Worster (2018) who analyze the emerging character of international mediation under the context of heightened regional tensions.

Legal and Institutional Challenges

The legal framework of IWT has also faced extensive academic criticisms on its effectiveness in resolving new issues in a constantly evolving political and environmental environment. Compliant with International Court of Justice (ICJ) and Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA) jurisprudence, its dispute resolution facilities under the treaty—Neutral Expert, Court of Arbitration, and Permanent Indus Commission (PIC)—have been effective in resolving certain technical conflicts but are handicapped in resolving larger geopolitical conflicts (PCA, 2015; ICJ, 2014). The treaty's legal framework is strong in enforcing technical water-sharing agreements but is weak in resolving larger political, military, and environmental issues defining South Asian hydropolitics (Khan, 2009).

Emerging Environmental and Climate Change Issues

These newer environmental stresses like climate change, glacier meltdown, and irregular rain patterns pose concerns for sustainability in the water resources governed by the IWT. Experts like Singh (2016) contend that climate hazards are precipitating instability in this delicate water-sharing regime between India and Pakistan due to uncertain supplies giving rise to tensions about its division. The meltdown of Himalayan glaciers and aperiodicity in flow of the Indus River have especially disturbed people because such environmental elements have direct effects on water supply to both countries. The IWT's failure to account for such challenges has initiated demands for a reform in the treaty regime law to incorporate climate adaptation measures (Gupta, 2017).

The Strategic and Security Dimensions of the IWT

The IWT has also been at the center of strategic security rhetoric, particularly considering the nuclear-weapon prowess of both countries. The security narratives in both countries have generally characterized water conflicts as existential ones, with both countries seeing control over water as fundamental to their security at a national level (Moore & Stokes, 2008). Both countries, in response to attacks such as that on the Indian Parliament by terrorists in 2001 and on Uri by terrorists in 2016, have used water as an instrument of coercion as well as a bargaining tool, calling into question the long-term viability of the treaty in these escalated security contexts (Benson & Rugh, 2011).

Legal Reforms and Future Prospects

These challenges notwithstanding, researchers like Worster (2018) and Starr (2009) have encouraged a comprehensive review of the IWT better to manage the twenty-first century's geopolitical and environmental realities. This should involve integrating climate change concerns into the treaty, strengthening the mediation role of outsiders, and adopting more flexible instruments for resolving conflicts. Moreover, growing roles for regional players like China and Afghanistan in the hydropolitics of the subcontinent also necessitate an expanded, more inclusive water-governing strategy that moves beyond the India-Pakistan axis.

Existing scholarship on the Indus Waters Treaty demonstrates its position at the forefront of South Asian geopolitics as an instrument of cooperation as well as a source of conflict. The treaty has thus functioned as a means of sharing water resources in an intensively contested environment, but its suitability to address new challenges of geopolitical, environmental, as well as strategic nature is unclear. With growing new challenges confronting the region, such as climate change and sharpening geopolitical rivalries, the future of the IWT will depend on its ability to keep track with these unfolding trends. Increased research, particularly on legal and environmental reform, is required to clarify how much the treaty will continue to be valid and an instrument for ensuring stability and peace in South Asia.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

Qualitative Case Study Approach

This study employs qualitative case study research to investigate the geopolitics of the Indus Waters Treaty (IWT). The case study is ideally suited to in-depth scrutiny of complex, context-specific events, and allows for investigation of both past and current geopolitical dynamics affecting treaty implementation. The Indus Basin is a single bounded case with a long-standing interstate competition, transboundary resource interdependence, and tension on cooperation at an institutional level—all perfect conditions in which this research methodology can flourish.

Justification of Methodological Choices

Considering the geopolitical, legal, and environmental aspects of the IWT, a qualitative framework facilitates the incorporation of multiple data forms, namely, legal documents, diplomatic messages, and specialists' views. The methodology selection is likewise congruent with the interpretivist epistemology, which considers contextuality and meaning in international politics. Additionally, the research relies on IR theories—in particular, Realism, Institutionalism, as well as Environmental Security Theory—to examine how power imbalances, institutional structures, and environmental hazards interact to determine the treaty's strength or weakness.

- Realism can be seen to address the quest for strategic advantage on the part of both India and Pakistan within a zero-sum security environment where access to water resources is a means of maximizing state power.
- Liberal Institutionalism sheds light on the treaty's longevity by underlining the efforts of entities such as the Permanent Indus Commission towards lowering transaction costs and facilitating communication.
- Environmental Security Theory is applied to evaluate how unconventional threats—global warming, glacial melting, and natural disasters—increase resource insecurity as well as tensions between states.

Data Collection Procedure

Primary and Secondary Sources

The research relies on both secondary data and primary data sources for triangulation of findings and enhancing validity.

- Official treaties and protocols (essentially the IWT itself) are primary sources, as are public statements from government officials, international arbitration hearings' transcripts, and interviews with experts on water resources, retired ambassadors, and scholars of law. Where interviews are not possible, available transcripts, speeches, and affidavits are employed.
- Secondary material includes international water law texts, peer-reviewed journals, policy briefs, think tanks' research papers, and media reports. These provide context depth as well as critical analysis of the IWT's history and contemporary challenges.

Analysis of Treaty Texts, Official Statements, Expert Interviews, and Reports

Treaty agreements and government-to-government correspondence undergo document analysis aimed at locating contentious clauses, clarifying obligations, and tracing changes over time in geopolitical postures. Expert interviews, if conducted, thematic analysis is applied to them for the purpose of gaining insight into insider perceptions of negotiation activities, crisis events, and institutional operations. Institutional reports from the World Bank, International Water Law Project, and South Asian regional entities are likewise subjected to geopolitical and hydrological analysis systematically.

Research Instruments

Document Analysis Framework

A specially designed document analytical framework is created to code systematically the legal, diplomatic, and technical documents. Compliance behavior, conflict events, negotiation episodes, and third-party interventions are some of the significant variables included.

Geopolitical Risk Assessment Tools

In order to assess the strategic implications of water decision-making, the research employs geopolitical risk assessment methodologies. These range from dam construction mapping, military deployments within riverine areas, water scarcity indices, to strategic policy realignments by the nations involved. Methodologies such as the Water Conflict Chronology and datasets by the Pacific Institute inform this element.

Limitations of the Study

Data Constraints and Access to Official Sources

A major limitation is the limited access to sensitive or classified exchanges between the Indian and Pakistani foreign offices and ministries, especially regarding the exchange of data on the Indian and Pakistani water ministries' priorities for transnational water cooperation. In addition, there are restrictions on obtaining real-time information on some of these infrastructure projects, especially those situated on disputed territories such as Jammu & Kashmir.

Challenges in Attribution of Geopolitical Motivations

A second methodological difficulty is attributing intent behind water-related action. Although policy reforms or infrastructure construction might be couched within national interest or economic planning, whether these are politically motivated or not involves a degree of interpretation that is inherently

subjective. In order to counterbalance this, triangulation and IR theoretical approaches are applied to situate state action.

DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Strategic Hydropolitics of the Indus Basin

Role of Water as a Tool of Strategic Leverage

The Indus Basin has historically been a crucial geopolitical battleground, where water resources are controllable by management and become a matter transcending mere agricultural or environmental interests to meet national security considerations. Water, thus, has become a tool of strategic influence between Pakistan and India. With Pakistan's overwhelming reliance on Indus waters for irrigation, drinking water, and power generation, any threat perceived to this resource is interpreted through a security lens. The Indus Water Treaty, while instituting mechanisms for conflict resolution, has not kept the river systems insulated from the larger geopolitical rivalries existing at large between the two countries. Since post-2016, especially after the Uri and Pulwama incidents, the Indian statements regarding potentially canceling the treaty or amending it have caused concern among Pakistanis regarding the intentions of India to gain greater control over shared waters. The statements were symbolic, but they represent a changing perception on the Indian side that perceives water not only as a shared resource but as a lever for its overall strategic positioning against Pakistan.

This definition of water as an instrument of strategy is particularly relevant considering that both countries, though bound by the IWT, have launched scheme projects that pose concerns to either country's national interests. The use of India's access to west rivers' waters for non-consumptive purposes such as hydroelectric projects has stood out as a focal point in this geostrategic disagreement. For Pakistan, such projects are considered as potential breaches of the treaty that compromise its water security, and thus framed within a national security context.

Security Narratives in Indian and Pakistani Discourse

The conceptualization of water issues in terms of the national security paradigm has transformed Indian and Pakistani thinking on the Indus River system dramatically. For both, particularly for India, the treaty is basically a legal regime for managing reasonable use of water in its development interests, including hydroelectric development along the Indus tributaries. The security paradigm for India focuses on its entitlement to use these waters as provided in IWT. India justifies its infrastructure projects on the Indus rivers, such as the Kishanganga Hydroelectric Project and Baglihar Dam, as its legitimate use of these resources to non-consumptive purposes.

Conversely, the security paradigm in Pakistan is integrally connected to the value of Indus waters for its agrarian economy, and its river system is therefore an issue of survival for it. Denial or deprivation of water from western rivers has cataclysmic impacts on Pakistan's agricultural output and consequently on its food security as also on its economic stability. Therefore, every Indian development idea in terms of built structures, like dam development or diversion, is interpreted by them instantly in terms of strategic competition and water militarization. This space has made the Indus Water Treaty, initially with an accommodative spirit, a source of tensions as also a strategic bargaining instrument.

Infrastructure Development and Treaty Violations

Baglihar Dam Arbitration Case

India's construction of the Baglihar Dam on the Chenab River was one of the earliest of the major flashpoints following the IWT signing. Pakistan alleged that the dam's design was not within the

provisions of the treaty, especially regarding the dam's maximum height and technical requirements for its spillways. It was sent for a Neutral Expert decision in 2007, who approved most of the Indian design but proposed some adjustments for the requirements set out by the treaty to be met.

This case of arbitration reflected the difficulty of imposing the provisions of the treaty onto contemporary infrastructure development projects. Although the IWT was innovative for its time in 1960, the treaty did not foresee the type of huge-scale, high-technology projects that both Pakistan and India set out to do over the next few decades. The fact that Pakistan settled for the Neutral Expert's findings, as much as it did so grudgingly, reflects the effectiveness of the treaty's mechanism for settling disputes. The case did, on the other hand, prove the limitation of these mechanisms for acute disputes arising on large-scale hydropower projects and the changing requirements of the two countries.

Kishanganga Project Dispute

In the same vein, the Kishanganga Hydroelectric Project on the Jhelum River was yet another example of increasing tensions between Pakistan and India regarding water rights. Pakistan was objecting to the diversion of water from the Jhelum River on the grounds that it was against the provisions of the treaty regarding the outflow of water to Pakistan. The case was heard at the Permanent Court of Arbitration, which sided with India but stipulated that a minimum flow of water to Pakistan was guaranteed by India. The case is yet another example of how the IWT, with its legally binding mechanisms for disputes, can only do so much with regards to the emerging disputes over shared resources.

The Kishanganga issue questions whether the mechanisms of the IWT can effectively address cases involving large-scale infrastructure that are crucial in impacting the flow of water. It is likely that there will be more such disputes when both nations develop their infrastructure on a larger scale, questioning the capability of the IWT to offer effective solutions to them.

Emerging Projects on Chenab and Neelum Rivers

The rise of Indian hydroelectric projects on rivers that flow into Pakistan, including on the Chenab River and the Neelum River, is of concern as well. With the geopolitical struggle between Pakistan and India ongoing, the Indus Water Treaty is at stake, depending on these projects as much as on the treaty itself. While Indian projects on these rivers are for the most part consistent with the treaty, they keep Pakistan apprehensive, lest the collective impact of various dams change the river's natural course and reduce Pakistan's water security.

Climate Change and Environmental Stressors

Glacier Retreat, Rainfall Variation, and River Flow Disruptions

Climate change has brought on new challenges for the Indus Basin's water management. The melting glaciers of the Himalayas and Karakoram, which feed a large part of the Indus River flow, present formidable long-term threats to the availability of water within the region. Estimates suggest by the year 2100, up to 50% of the Indus Basin's runoff from glaciers can disappear, jeopardizing the river's ability to maintain present water flows. In addition, changing rain patterns already compromise the reliability of river flow, causing inconsistent water levels that make it harder to manage the resource.

Both for Pakistan and India, this environmental stressor is a key issue, not sufficiently addressed within the existing provisions of the IWT. Both nations are significantly dependent on the constant flow of water from the Indus, and environmental disruption will further stress tensions regarding water availability as well as water rights.

Climate Diplomacy and the IWT's Blind Spots

The IWT, signed in 1960, failed to anticipate the magnitude of environmental transformations now facing the area. Climate change poses a significant threat to the treaty since it was formulated without consideration for changes in the hydrological cycle as well as the incorporation of climate adaptation measures. The treaty's shortcoming highlights the need for a review of the IWT to incorporate climate-responsive approaches and adaptive management measures. The two nations need to pursue climate diplomacy, as water management policies need to keep pace with changing environmental realities.

Legal and Institutional Analysis of IWT

Dispute Resolution Mechanisms: PIC, Neutral Expert, Court of Arbitration

One of the biggest strengths of the IWT is the dispute resolution mechanisms it sets out. The first line of defense against conflict is The Permanent Indus Commission (PIC), through which dialogue and communication between Pakistan and India is maintained. When disputes do occur, there is a set procedure under the treaty whereby a Neutral Expert is permitted to intervene, or if the dispute is more detailed, one may go through the Court of Arbitration.

Although these mechanisms have worked to resolve historical disputes, they have struggled to keep pace with the enhanced complexities of contemporary water-related disputes. The increased trend on both sides of the border towards development of infrastructure, coupled with the novelty of challenges such as climate change, suggests that existing architectures have to be reinforced substantially to confront current challenges. One likely area for reform is how climate is incorporated within mechanisms for settling conflicts in the treaty.

Role of Third-Party Mediation and Legal Limitations

The position of the World Bank between the treaty parties as a neutral third party has helped to a large extent to reconcile differences. The jurisdiction of the World Bank is only so far-reaching as to negotiate and to arbitrate, but it has no authority to enforce compliance or to prevent states from taking unilateral measures infringing on the treaty by themselves. This is especially important since geopolitical tensions continue to realign with transnationally engaged actors outside of the region, like America and China, increasingly involved in South Asian water security.

Regional and Global Power Politics

India's Reorientation of Strategic Policy Post-Pulwama

In response to the Pulwama attack, Indian rhetoric around its Indus Water Treaty evolved, with demands to weaponize water as a tool of strategic coercion gathering velocity. Despite non-action on these threats by India in official capacity, the mere possibility of water as a tool for conflict against Pakistan indicates loopholes in the design structure of the treaty. This is representative of how wider intra-regional tensions such as cross-border terrorism transfer transnationally to water diplomacy, fueling existing animosities.

China's Influence in the Upper Riparian Region (Tibet)

Chinese possession of sources of the Indus River and increasing infrastructural interventions in Tibet furthers complexities of hydropolitical dynamics in play in the region. Chinese diversion and dam projects on South Asian transbasin rivers like on Yarlung Tsangpo (Brahmaputra) have elicited worry on the part of India and Bangladesh. Very little exists in terms of Chinese water-sharing with downriver neighbors, yet potential Chinese domination of upper Indus River system transboundary water resources is a matter in dispute.

Role of External Actors: World Bank, United Nations, US, and China

These key external stakeholders, specifically the United Nations, World Bank, and key players such as China and America, also have a part to play in the landscape of IWT. The World Bank's impartial facilitatory role has been crucial to treaty integrity, though it is handicapped by a lack of enforcement measures. Equally, while the United Nations promotes pacific settlement of disputes and cooperative management of shared waters, its capacity is limited to diplomatic measures and peacekeeping, and not to interventions.

The heightened Chinese presence in the region, especially in Tibet, and greater participation in intraregional development of infrastructural facilities creates a new complexity. Greater Chinese participation in Southern Asian hydropolitics calls for multilateral discussions and institution of novel frameworks with China integrated into the regional water governance framework.

CONCLUSION

The Indus Water Treaty (IWT), though historically important in promoting cooperation between India and Pakistan, is today plagued by increasing tensions in geo-politics and environmental conditions. Though the treaty has been instrumental in averting water conflict between India and Pakistan, increasing development in India on Indus's western rivers and increasing concerns regarding diversion of water have spurred repeated reports of tensions between India and Pakistan. More importantly even, available institutions to iron out differences under the treaty, though useful, are found lacking in addressing water stress brought about by climate changes and large-scale development of water. The tensions are also threat to the stability of the pact and render it even more vulnerable to disruption by geo-political rivalries as well as environmental uncertainties.

New strategic water issues like glacier melts, unstable monsoon dynamics, and increasing roles for external players like China are creating major hazards to the future of IWT. Climatic upheaval can alter river flows threatening Indian and Pakistani water security in the midst of continued development across the two sides of the border that continues to set bilateral ties to the test. Intervention by external players like other local actors and players like the World Bank add complexity to an already complicated comprehension of hydropolitics with Chinese adventurism onto the upper riparian side raising tensions to even greater heights. The future of the IWT will depend on how well both countries meet these new strategic water issues through its capacity to adapt to climate change, management of large-scale infrastructure endeavors, and integration into regional water management systems by external players. Enhancing dialogue, diplomacy, and institutional foundations to IWT will become crucial to negotiating such evolving strategic water issues to the subcontinent.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCHERS

Conducting Field-Based Hydrological and Impact Assessments

Research in the coming times must accord high priority to field-based hydrological monitoring to further explore the actual environmental consequences of water-sharing deals like the Indus Water Treaty (IWT). Research on important parameters such as the variation in the river flow, recharge of aquifers, and impact of new infrastructure development on local ecosystems will become a priority area. Also, important will be understanding the physical variations in water availability, especially under a changing climate. Such investigations can provide quantitative evidence of the influence of infrastructure development, including dams and diversion schemes, on water availability on both sides of the divide. Hydrological monitoring together with local stakeholders as well as scientists from both countries will yield valuable knowledge about the practical implications of the treaty for both countries' water security.

Comparative Analysis with Other Transboundary Water Treaties (e.g., Nile, Mekong, Jordan)

In light of the growing intricacy of water sharing deals in politically charged areas, it is important that a comparative analysis of the IWT with prominent transboundary water agreements is conducted. The Nile, Mekong, and Jordan rivers all illustrate varying models of international water management, each with achievements as well as setbacks. A comparative analysis would enable scholars to determine best approaches, loopholes, and lessons that can be leveraged for the Indus Water Treaty. Analyzing how water disputes were addressed by other regions under the influence of environmental stressors, geopolitical tensions, and shifting area politics can generate valuable knowledge to inform the Indus Water Treaty's trajectory for the future. A comparison may even shed light on third-party mediation as well as international organization intervention as a mode of resolving disputes, presenting lessons concerning the water governance regime of South Asia.

Exploring the Role of Civil Society and Sub-State Actors

Another under-explored dimension of the IWT and transboundary water governance in general is the contribution of sub-state actors and civil society. The local communities, environmental associations, and sub-regional interests usually influence water policy through public diplomacy, grassroots mobilization, and advocacy. Future research must investigate how these players—particularly at border regions where water stress is more severe and tensions run high—can play a part in the success or failure of water-sharing arrangements. This research might examine how the public influence government water policy, how NGOs facilitate regional cooperation, and how local communities have successfully managed shared water resources even if there are national political disputes. The interactions between state and non-state players might present entirely novel approaches to maximizing the effectiveness of the IWT.

Longitudinal Studies on IWT's Performance under Climate and Political Stress

The most important thing, however, is a longitudinal analysis of the IWT's performance, particularly under climate change as well as political stress. Such analyses will document the development and survival of the treaty over the years, studying how it has endured moments of conflict and tensions, as well as how external events including climate change, infrastructure development, and geopolitical fluctuations have affected its effectiveness. Analyzing the long-term performance of the treaty will enable one to determine whether the treaty's mechanisms, dispute resolution mechanisms, as well as the institutional setups, are flexible enough to ride out demands of the future. These analyses will enable one to determine trends as well as patterns that can guide policy proposals for the improvement or adjustments to the treaty to make it a relevant as well as effective tool for managing water in South Asia.

All in all, further research must go beyond the classical geopolitical examination of the IWT to incorporate a more interdisciplinary framework that integrates hydrology, international comparative law, the role of civil society, and longitudinal research. Such endeavors will do a better job at understanding the overall dynamics of the treaty so that it remains relevant under changing circumstances.

REFERENCES

Adeel, M. (n.d.). *Indus Water Treaty and the Case for Hydro-hegemony. Analysis of Water Conflicts: A Case of South Asian Region | Pakistan Journal of Applied Social Sciences*. (n.d.). Retrieved April 22, 2025, from https://www.socialsciencejournals.pjgs-ws.com/index.php/PJASS/article/view/615

Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Burundi). (n.d.). Retrieved April 22, 2025, from https://www.icj-cij.org/case/115

Benson, A., & Rugh, D. (2011). *The geopolitics of water in South Asia: Challenges and solutions for shared resources*. Georgetown University Press.

Biswas, A. K., &Tortajada, C. (2001). Water sharing in the Indus River Basin: Challenges and opportunities for India and Pakistan. *Water International, 26*(1), 35–45. https://doi.org/10.1080/02508060.2001.9514949

Cases | PCA-CPA. (n.d.-a). Retrieved April 22, 2025, from https://pca-cpa.org/cases/

Cases | PCA-CPA. (n.d.-b). Retrieved April 22, 2025, from https://pca-cpa.org/cases/

Fact Sheet: The Indus Waters Treaty 1960 and the Role of the World Bank. (n.d.). [Text/HTML]. World Bank. Retrieved April 22, 2025, from https://www.worldbank.org/en/region/sar/brief/fact-sheet-the-indus-waters-treaty-1960-and-the-world-bank

Full article: Cooperation or conflict in transboundary water management: Case study of South Asia. (n.d.). Retrieved April 22, 2025, from https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02626667.2011.572886

Geopolitics of Water in South Asia: A Case-Study of Indus Water Treaty as a Conflict Resolution Mechanism for Pakistan-India Water Security Dilemma. (n.d.). ResearchGate. Retrieved from April22,2025,https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384812960_Geopolitics_of_Water_in_South_Asia_A_Case-Study_of_Indus_Water_Treaty_as_a_Conflict_Resolution_Mechanism_for_Pakistan-India_Water_Security_Dilemma

Geopolitics of Water in South Asia: A Case-Study of Indus Water Treaty as a Conflict Resolution Mechanism for Pakistan-India Water Security Dilemma. (n.d.). ResearchGate. Retrieved April 22, 2025, from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384812960_Geopolitics_of_Water_in_South_Asia_A_Case-Study_of_Indus_Water_Treaty_as_a_Conflict_Resolution_Mechanism_for_Pakistan-India_Water_Security_Dilemma

Gilmartin, D. (2024). The Indus River. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Asian History*. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190277727.013.166

Gupta, A. (2017). India's role in South Asian hydropolitics: Water diplomacy in the 21st century. *Asian Politics & Policy, 9*(2), 235–251. https://doi.org/10.1111/aspp.12345

Hussain, I. (2019). *Indus Waters Treaty: Political and legal dimensions*. Oxford University Press.

Hydro-diplomacy Towards Peace Ecology: The Case of the Indus Water Treaty Between India and Pakistan | Request PDF. (2024). In *ResearchGate*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-62316-6_20

Indus Waters Treaty Forum. (2021). *Report on the state of transboundary water disputes in South Asia*. The Water Diplomacy Institute. https://www.waterdiplomacy.org/reports

Indus Waters Treaty. (n.d.). Retrieved April 22, 2025, from https://www.mea.gov.in/bilateral-documents.htm?dtl%2F6439%2FIndus

International Commission on Irrigation & Drainage (ICID). (n.d.). Retrieved April 22, 2025, from https://icid-ciid.org/home

International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage. (2021). *Indus Basin irrigation: Challenges and prospects*. https://www.icid.org/indus-basin

International Court of Justice (ICJ). (2014). *Jammu and Kashmir conflict: Water disputes and the Indus Waters Treaty*. https://www.icj-cij.org/en/case/115

International Court of Justice (ICJ). (2019). *India v. Pakistan: Kishanganga Dam dispute* [Press release]. https://www.icj-cij.org/en/case/168

International Water Law Project. (n.d.). *Indus Waters Treaty, India & Pakistan (1960)*. https://www.internationalwaterlaw.org/documents/regionaldocs/IndusWatersTreaty1960.pdf

Jadhav (India v. Pakistan). (n.d.). Retrieved April 22, 2025, from https://www.icj-cij.org/case/168

Jäkel, B., & Glaser, B. (2014). Transboundary water management and environmental diplomacy: The case of the Indus River. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning, 16*(3), 421–436. https://doi.org/10.1080/1523908X.2014.888407

Janjua, S., Hassan, I., Muhammad, S., Ahmed, S., & Ahmed, A. (2021). Water management in Pakistan's Indus Basin: Challenges and opportunities. *Water Policy*, *23*(6), 1329–1343. https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2021.068

Kazi, A. M. (2013). *Water disputes in South Asia: The Indus and Ganges water sharing*. Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137343965

Khan, H. (2017). Indus Waters: A study of the global conflict in the subcontinent. *Karachi: Oxford University Press*.

Khan, M. A. (2009). *The Indus Waters Treaty and South Asian water politics: A historical perspective*. Oxford University Press.

Lau, J. D., Gurney, G. G., & Cinner, J. (2021). Environmental justice in coastal systems: Perspectives from communities confronting change. *Global Environmental Change*, *66*, 102208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102208

Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India. (n.d.). *Indus Waters Treaty*. https://www.mea.gov.in/bilateral-documents.htm?dtl%2F6439%2FIndus

MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES. (n.d.). Retrieved April 22, 2025, from https://www.mowr.gov.pk/

Moore, M., & Stokes, G. (2008). Water conflicts in South Asia: Political and environmental implications. *Environmental Politics, 17*(4), 563–582. https://doi.org/10.1080/09644010802264202

Mustafa, G. (2021). Water Scarcity in Pakistan: Hydro-Politics in Indus Basin. *PAKISTAN LANGUAGES AND HUMANITIES REVIEW*, *5*(II), 246–260. https://doi.org/10.47205/plhr.2021(5-II)2.20

Pakistan Ministry of Water Resources. (2017). *Annual report on water resources and policies in Pakistan*. http://www.mowr.gov.pk

Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA). (2015). *The Baglihar Dam dispute: Decision and judgment*. https://pca-cpa.org/en/cases/102/

Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA). (2022). *Indus Waters Treaty neutral expert proceedings (India v. Pakistan)*. https://pca-cpa.org/en/cases/297/

Qureshi, W. A. (2017). A case study of the Pakistan-India water conflict. *Penn State Journal of Law & International Affairs, 5*(2). https://elibrary.law.psu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1166&context=jlia

Qureshi, W. A. (2017). *Water as a Human Right: A Case Study of the Pakistan-India Water Conflict*.

Shah, S. M. (2019). Hydropolitical conflict and cooperation: A case study of the Indus Water Treaty. *Asian Journal of Comparative Politics, 5*(1), 22–35. https://doi.org/10.1177/2057891119881346

Singh, S. (2016). The strategic significance of water sharing in South Asia: The role of the Indus Waters Treaty. *Journal of South Asian Studies, 29*(3), 345–368. https://doi.org/10.1177/0262728016668714

Sood, V. (2015). *Water politics in South Asia: A critical analysis of water conflicts and cooperation*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316335157

South Asia Water Initiative. (2020). *The future of water security in South Asia*. http://www.southasiawater.org/future-water-security

Starr, J. (2009). *Water wars: Coming conflicts in the Middle East*. Routledge.

Sulaiman, M. (2020). Water resource management and regional stability: The case of the Indus Waters Treaty. *Global Environmental Change, 65*, 102208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102208

Thatte, C. D. (2008). Indus Waters and the 1960 Treaty Between India and Pakistan. In O. Varis, A. K. Biswas, & C. Tortajada (Eds.), *Management of Transboundary Rivers and Lakes* (pp. 165–206). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74928-8_7

Tordesillas, A. (2016). Hydropolitics of the Indus River Basin: A critical examination of Pakistan's water security. *Water International, 41*(2), 249–264. https://doi.org/10.1080/02508060.2016.1150574

United Nations Environment Programme. (2020). *Water scarcity in South Asia: Climate change impacts and policy responses*. https://www.unep.org/resources/report/water-scarcity-south-asia-climate-change-impacts-and-policy-responses

United Nations. (1960). *The Indus Waters Treaty: A model for water dispute resolution* (Report No. 15). United Nations Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East. https://www.unescap.org/sites/default/files/6%20Indus%20Water%20Treaty%20Model.pdf

Water conflict and cooperation between India and Pakistan | Climate-Diplomacy. (1947, January 1). https://climate-diplomacy.org/case-studies/water-conflict-and-cooperation-between-india-and-pakistan

World Bank. (2014). *Fact sheet: The Indus Waters Treaty 1960 and the role of the World Bank*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/region/sar/brief/fact-sheet-the-indus-waters-treaty-1960-and-the-world-bank

World Bank. (2022). *Water in South Asia: Resources and management*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/region/sar/water

Worster, D. (2018). *Rivers of power: How a natural force changed history*. Basic Books.